Unofficial Comment Form

Project 2015-06 Interconnection Reliability Operations and Coordination – IRO-006-East & IRO-009

**DO NOT** use this form for submitting comments. Use the [electronic form](https://sbs.nerc.net/) to submit comments on the draft Five-Year Review Recommendation on the IRO body of standards. Two Five-Year Review templates that show the scope of the recommended changes is also posted for information. The electronic comment form must be completed by 8:00 p.m. ET **April 15, 2015**.

If you have questions please contact Katherine Street (via email) or by telephone at 404-446-9702.

[Project Page](http://www.nerc.com/pa/Stand/Pages/Project-2012091-Interconnection-Reliability-Operations-Coordination-IRO006East-and-IRO009.aspx)

**Background Information**

The Standards Committee assigned eight subject matter experts to review the IRO standards as part of NERC’s obligation to conduct periodic reviews of its standards. The Five-Year Review Team recommended certain revisions to the IRO standards to provide greater clarity and to sharpen industry focus on tasks that have a more direct impact on reliability. This recommendation is being posted for stakeholder comment prior to initiation of the Project 2015-06 Interconnection Reliability Operations and Coordination Standards Drafting Team.

The IRO Five-Year Review Team (FYRT) recommended the following actions on the standards reviewed, as further explained in the corresponding review template for each standard:

**IRO-006-East:** Revise Requirement R1 under Criterion B7 of Paragraph 81 and retire Requirement R3 under Criterion B1 of Paragraph 81. The IRO FYRT further recommends revising Requirements R2 and R4.

**IRO-009-1:** Revise Requirements R1, R4, R5, the Purpose Statement, as well as the High VSL for Requirement R4.

## Questions

1. Do you agree with the recommendation regarding IRO-006-East? If not, please explain specifically what aspects of the recommendation you disagree with.

[ ]  Yes

[ ]  No

Comments:

2. Do you agree with the recommendation regarding IRO-009-1? If not, please explain specifically what aspects of the recommendation you disagree with.

[ ]  Yes

[ ]  No

Comments:

3. If you have any other comments on the Five-Year Review Recommendation that you have not already mentioned above, please provide them here:

Comments: