

A. Introduction

1. **Title:** Reliability Coordination – Monitoring and Analysis
2. **Number:** IRO-002-45
3. **Purpose:** ~~To Provide~~ provide System Operators with the capabilities necessary to monitor and analyze data needed to perform their reliability functions.
4. **Applicability**
 - 4.1. **Functional Entities**
 - 4.1.4.1.1 Reliability Coordinator
5. **Effective Date:**

See Implementation Plan.
6. ~~Background:~~

See the Project 20142016-03-01.

B. Requirements and Measures

Rationale for Requirements R1 and R2: The proposed changes address directives for redundancy and diverse routing of data exchange capabilities (FERC Order No. 817 Para 47).

Redundant and diversely routed data exchange capabilities consist of infrastructure that will provide continued functionality despite failure or malfunction of an individual component within the Reliability Coordinator's (RC) Control Center. Requirement R2 does not require automatic or instantaneous fail-over of data exchange capabilities. Redundancy and diverse routing may be achieved in various ways depending on the arrangement of the infrastructure or hardware within the RC Control Center.

Infrastructure that is not within the RC's Control Center is not addressed by the proposed requirement.

- R1.** Each Reliability Coordinator shall have data exchange capabilities with its Balancing Authorities and Transmission Operators, and with other entities it deems necessary, for it to perform its Operational Planning Analyses, ~~Real-time monitoring, and Real-time Assessments~~. [Violation Risk Factor: ~~High~~Medium] [Time Horizon: Operations Planning, ~~Same-Day Operations, Real-time Operations~~]

- M1.** Each Reliability Coordinator shall have, and provide upon request, evidence that could include but is not limited to a document that lists its data exchange capabilities

with its Balancing Authorities and Transmission Operators, and with other entities it deems necessary, for it to perform its ~~operational~~ Operational Planning Analyses, ~~Real-time monitoring, and Real-time Assessments.~~

R2. Each Reliability Coordinator shall have data exchange capabilities, with redundant and diversely routed data exchange infrastructure within the Reliability Coordinator's Control Center, for the exchange of Real-time data with its Balancing Authorities and Transmission Operators, and with other entities it deems necessary, for performing its Real-time monitoring and Real-time Assessments. [Violation Risk Factor: High] [Time Horizon: Same-Day Operations, Real-time Operations]

M2. Each Reliability Coordinator shall have, and provide upon request, evidence that could include but is not limited to a document that lists its data exchange capabilities, with redundant and diversely routed data exchange infrastructure within the Reliability Coordinator's Control Center, for the exchange of Real-time data with its Balancing Authorities and Transmission Operators, and with other entities it deems necessary, as specified in the requirement.

Rationale for Requirement R3: The revised requirement addresses directives for testing of data exchange capabilities (FERC Order No. 817 Para 51).

A test for redundant functionality demonstrates that data exchange capabilities will continue to operate despite the malfunction or failure of an individual component. An entity's testing practices should, over time, examine the various failure modes of its data exchange capabilities. When an actual event successfully exercises the redundant functionality, it can be considered a test for the purposes of the proposed requirement.

R3. Each Reliability Coordinator shall test its data exchange capabilities specified in Requirement R2 for redundant functionality at least once each calendar month. If the test is unsuccessful, the Reliability Coordinator shall initiate action within two hours to restore redundant functionality. [Violation Risk Factor: Medium] [Time Horizon: Operations Planning]

M3. Each Reliability Coordinator shall have, and provide upon request, evidence that it tested its data exchange capabilities specified in Requirement R2 for redundant functionality; and if the test was unsuccessful, initiated action within two hours to restore redundant functionality. Evidence could include, but is not limited to: dated and time-stamped test records, operator logs, voice recordings, or electronic communications.

R2,R4. Each Reliability Coordinator shall provide its System Operators with the authority to approve planned outages and maintenance of its telecommunication, monitoring and analysis capabilities. [Violation Risk Factor: High] [Time Horizon: Operations Planning, Same-Day Operations, Real-time Operations]

M1-M4. Each Reliability Coordinator shall have and provide upon request evidence that could include but is not limited to a documented procedure or equivalent evidence that will be used to confirm that the Reliability Coordinator has provided its System Operators with the authority to approve planned outages and maintenance of its telecommunication, monitoring and analysis capabilities.

R3-R5. Each Reliability Coordinator shall monitor Facilities, the status of ~~Special Protection System~~ **Remedial Action Schemes**, and non-BES facilities identified as necessary by the Reliability Coordinator, within its Reliability Coordinator Area and neighboring Reliability Coordinator Areas to identify any System Operating Limit exceedances and to determine any Interconnection Reliability Operating Limit exceedances within its Reliability Coordinator Area. *[Violation Risk Factor: High] [Time Horizon: Real-Time Operations]*

M5. Each Reliability Coordinator shall have, and provide upon request, evidence that could include but is not limited to Energy Management System description documents, computer printouts, SCADA data collection, or other equivalent evidence that will be used to confirm that it has monitored Facilities, the status of ~~Special Protection System~~ **Remedial Action Schemes**, and non-BES facilities identified as necessary by the Reliability Coordinator, within its Reliability Coordinator Area and neighboring Reliability Coordinator Areas to identify any System Operating Limit exceedances and to determine any Interconnection Reliability Operating Limit exceedances within its Reliability Coordinator Area.

R4-R6. Each Reliability Coordinator shall have monitoring systems that provide information utilized by the Reliability Coordinator's operating personnel, giving particular emphasis to alarm management and awareness systems, automated data transfers, and synchronized information systems, over a redundant infrastructure. *[Violation Risk Factor: High] [Time Horizon: Real-time Operations]*

M6. The Reliability Coordinator shall have, and provide upon request, evidence that could include but is not limited to Energy Management System description documents, computer printouts, SCADA data collection, or other equivalent evidence that will be used to confirm that it has monitoring systems consistent with the requirement.

C. Compliance

1. Compliance Monitoring Process

1.1. Compliance Enforcement Authority

As defined in the NERC Rules of Procedure, "Compliance Enforcement Authority" (CEA) means NERC or the Regional Entity, or any entity as otherwise designated by an Applicable Governmental Authority, in their respective roles of monitoring and/or enforcing compliance with the NERC mandatory and enforceable Reliability Standards in their respective jurisdictions.

~~1.2. Compliance Monitoring and Assessment Processes:~~

~~As defined in the NERC Rules of Procedure, “Compliance Monitoring and Assessment Processes” refers to the identification of the processes that will be used to evaluate data or information for the purpose of assessing performance or outcomes with the associated reliability standard.~~

~~1.3.1.2. Data Evidence Retention~~

~~The following evidence retention period(s) identify the period of time an entity is required to retain specific evidence to demonstrate compliance. For instances where the evidence retention period specified below is shorter than the time since the last audit, the Compliance Enforcement Authority may ask an entity to provide other evidence to show that it was compliant for the full-time period since the last audit.~~

~~The applicable entity shall keep data or evidence to show compliance as identified below unless directed by its Compliance Enforcement Authority to retain specific evidence for a longer period of time as part of an investigation.~~

~~The Reliability Coordinator shall keep data or evidence to show compliance as identified below unless directed by its Compliance Enforcement Authority to retain specific evidence for a longer period of time as part of an investigation:~~

The Reliability Coordinator shall retain its current, in force document and any documents in force for the current year and previous calendar year for Requirements R1, R2, and ~~R3R4 and~~ Measures M1, M2, and ~~M3M4~~.

~~The Reliability Coordinator shall retain evidence for Requirement R3 and Measure M3 for the most recent 12 calendar months, with the exception of operator logs and voice recordings which shall be retained for a minimum of 90 calendar days.~~

The Reliability Coordinator shall keep data or evidence for Requirements ~~R5 and R6 R4~~ and Measures ~~M5 and M6 M4~~ for the current calendar year and one previous calendar year.

~~If a Reliability Coordinator is found non-compliant, it shall keep information related to the non-compliance until found compliant.~~

~~The Compliance Enforcement Authority shall keep the last audit records and all requested and submitted subsequent audit records.~~

~~1.3. Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement Program~~

~~As defined in the NERC Rules of Procedure, “Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement Program” refers to the identification of the processes that will be used to evaluate data or information for the purpose of assessing performance or outcomes with the associated Reliability Standard.~~

~~1.4. Additional Compliance Information~~

None.

Table of Compliance Elements

R #	Violation Severity Levels			
	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL
R1	The Reliability Coordinator did not have data exchange capabilities <u>for performing its Operational Planning Analyses</u> with one applicable entity, or 5% or less of the applicable entities, whichever is greater.	The Reliability Coordinator did not have data exchange capabilities <u>for performing its Operational Planning Analyses</u> with two applicable entities, or more than 5% or less than or equal to 10% of the applicable entities, whichever is greater.	The Reliability Coordinator did not have data exchange capabilities <u>for performing its Operational Planning Analyses</u> with three applicable entities, or more than 10% or less than or equal to 15% of the applicable entities, whichever is greater.	The Reliability Coordinator did not have data exchange capabilities <u>for performing its Operational Planning Analyses</u> with four or more applicable entities or greater than 15% of the applicable entities, whichever is greater.
R2	<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>The Reliability Coordinator had data exchange capabilities with its Balancing Authorities and Transmission Operators, and with other entities it deems necessary, for performing Real-time monitoring and Real-time Assessments, but did not have redundant and</u>	<u>The Reliability Coordinator did not have data exchange capabilities with its Balancing Authorities and Transmission Operators, and with other entities it deems necessary, for performing Real-time monitoring and Real-time Assessments as specified in the requirement.</u>

R #	Violation Severity Levels			
	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL
			<u>diversely routed data exchange infrastructure within the Reliability Coordinator's Control Center, as specified in the requirement.</u>	
R3	<u>The Reliability Coordinator tested its data exchange capabilities specified in Requirement R2 for redundant functionality at least once each calendar month but, following an unsuccessful test, initiated action to restore the redundant functionality in more than 2 hours and less than or equal to 4 hours.</u>	<u>The Reliability Coordinator tested its data exchange capabilities specified in Requirement R2 for redundant functionality at least once each calendar month but, following an unsuccessful test, initiated action to restore the redundant functionality in more than 4 hours and less than or equal to 6 hours.</u>	<u>The Reliability Coordinator tested its data exchange capabilities specified in Requirement R2 for redundant functionality at least once each calendar month but, following an unsuccessful test, initiated action to restore the redundant functionality in more than 6 hours and less than or equal to 8 hours.</u>	<u>The Reliability Coordinator did not test its data exchange capabilities specified in Requirement R2 for redundant functionality at least once each calendar month;</u> <u>OR</u> <u>The Reliability Coordinator tested its data exchange capabilities specified in Requirement R2 for redundant functionality at least once each calendar month but, following an unsuccessful test, did not initiate action to restore the redundant functionality in more than 8 hours.</u>
R2 R4	N/A	N/A	N/A	The Reliability Coordinator failed to provide its System Operator with the authority to

Standard IRO-002-4.5 — Reliability Coordination — Monitoring and Analysis

R #	Violation Severity Levels			
	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL
				approve planned outages and maintenance of its telecommunication, monitoring and analysis capabilities.
R3 R5	N/A	N/A	N/A	The Reliability Coordinator did not monitor Facilities, the status of Special Protection System Remedial Action Schemes, and non-BES facilities identified as necessary by the Reliability Coordinator, within its Reliability Coordinator Area and neighboring Reliability Coordinator Areas to identify any System Operating Limit exceedances and to determine any Interconnection Reliability Operating Limit exceedances within its Reliability Coordinator Area.
R4 R6	N/A	N/A	N/A	The Reliability Coordinator did not have monitoring systems that provide information utilized by the Reliability Coordinator’s operating personnel, giving particular emphasis to alarm

R #	Violation Severity Levels			
	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL
				management and awareness systems, automated data transfers, and synchronized information systems, over a redundant infrastructure.

D. Regional Variances

None.

E. Interpretations

None.

F. Associated Documents

The Implementation Plan and other project documents can be found on the project page. ~~None.~~

Version History

Version	Date	Action	Change Tracking
0	April 1, 2005	Effective Date	New
0	August 8, 2005	Removed “Proposed” from Effective Date	Errata
1	November 1, 2006	Adopted by Board of Trustees	Revised
1	April 4, 2007	Replaced Levels of Non-compliance with the Feb 28, BOT approved Violation Severity Levels (VSLs) Corrected typographical errors in BOT approved version of VSLs	Revised to add missing measures and compliance elements
2	October 17, 2008	Adopted by NERC Board of Trustees	Deleted R2, M3 and associated compliance elements as conforming changes associated with approval of IRO-010-1. Revised as part of IROL Project
2	March 17, 2011	Order issued by FERC approving IRO-002-2 (approval effective 5/23/11)	FERC approval
2	February 24, 2014	Updated VSLs based on June 24, 2013 approval.	VSLs revised
3	July 25, 2011	Revised under Project 2006-06	Revised

Standard IRO-002-45 — Reliability Coordination — Monitoring and Analysis

3	August 4, 2011	Approved by Board of Trustees	Retired R1-R8 under Project 2006-06.
4	November 13, 2014	Approved by Board of Trustees	Revisions under Project 2014-03
4	November 19, 2015	FERC approved IRO-002-4. Docket No. RM15-16-000	
<u>5</u>	<u>June 2016</u>	<u>Revised under Project 2016-01</u>	<u>Revised</u>

Guidelines and Technical Basis

None

Rationale

During development of IRO-002-5, text boxes are embedded within the standard to explain the rationale for various parts of the standard. Upon Board adoption of IRO-002-5, the text from the rationale text boxes will be moved to this section.

Rationale text from the development of IRO-002-4 in Project 2014-03 follows. Additional information can be found on the Project 2014-03 project page.

~~Rationale:~~

~~During development of this standard, text boxes were embedded within the standard to explain the rationale for various parts of the standard. Upon BOT approval, the text from the rationale text boxes was moved to this section.~~

Changes made to the proposed definitions were made in order to respond to issues raised in NOPR paragraphs 55, 73, and 74 dealing with analysis of SOLs in all time horizons, questions on Protection Systems and Special Protection Systems in NOPR paragraph 78, and recommendations on phase angles from the SW Outage Report (recommendation 27). The intent of such changes is to ensure that Real-time Assessments contain sufficient details to result in an appropriate level of situational awareness. Some examples include: 1) analyzing phase angles which may result in the implementation of an Operating Plan to adjust generation or curtail transactions so that a Transmission facility may be returned to service, or 2) evaluating the impact of a modified Contingency resulting from the status change of a Special Protection Scheme from enabled/in-service to disabled/out-of-service.

Rationale for Requirements:

The data exchange elements of Requirements R1 and R2 from approved IRO-002-2 have been added back into proposed IRO-002-4 in order to ensure that there is no reliability gap. The ~~SDT~~Project 2014-03 SDT found no proposed requirements in the current project that covered the issue. Voice communication is covered in proposed COM-001-2 but data communications needs to remain in IRO-002-4 as it is not covered in proposed COM-001-2. Staffing of communications and facilities in corresponding requirements from IRO-002-2 is addressed in approved PER-004-2, Requirement R1 and has been deleted from this draft.

Rationale for R2:

Requirement R2 from IRO-002-3 has been deleted because approved EOP-008-1, Requirement R1, part 1.6.2 addresses redundancy and back-up concerns for outages of analysis tools. New Requirement R4 has been added to address NOPR paragraphs 96 and 97: *“...As we explain above, the reliability coordinator’s obligation to monitor SOLs is important to reliability because a SOL can evolve into an IROL during deteriorating system conditions, and for potential system conditions such as this, the reliability coordinator’s monitoring of SOLs provides a necessary backup function to the transmission operator...”*

Rationale for ~~R4~~R6:

Standard IRO-002-45— Guidelines and Technical Basis

Requirement ~~R4~~ R6 was added back from approved IRO-002-2 as the Project 2014-03 SDT found no proposed requirements that covered the issues.