Standard Development Timeline

This section is maintained by the drafting team during the development of the standard and will be removed when the standard is adopted by the NERC Board of Trustees (Board).

Description of Current Draft

This is the fifth draft of the proposed standard.

Completed Actions	Date
Standards Committee (SC) approved Standard Authorization Request (SAR) for posting	March 9, 2016
SAR posted for comment	March 23–April 21, 2016
SAR posted for comment	June 1–June 30, 2016
SC Accepted the SAR	July 20, 2016
60-day formal comment period with initial ballot	January 21–March 22, 2021
63-day formal comment period with additional ballot	June 30 –September 1, 2021
53-day formal comment period with additional ballot	February 18 – April 12, 2022
45-day formal comment period with additional ballot	August 17 – October 3, 2022

Anticipated Actions	Date
45-day formal comment period with additional ballot	October – November 2023
Final Ballot	November 2023
Board adoption	December 2023

New or Modified Term(s) Used in NERC Reliability Standards

This section includes all new or modified terms used in the proposed standard that will be included in the *Glossary of Terms Used in NERC Reliability Standards* upon applicable regulatory approval. Terms used in the proposed standard that are already defined and are not being modified can be found in the *Glossary of Terms Used in NERC Reliability Standards*. The new or revised terms listed below will be presented for approval with the proposed standard. Upon Board adoption, this section will be removed.

Term(s): See separate document containing all proposed of modified terms titled "Project 2016-02 Draft 5 Definitions".

A. Introduction

1. Title: Cyber Security — Configuration Change Management and Vulnerability

Assessments

1.2. Number: CIP-010-4<u>5</u>

Purpose: To prevent and detect unauthorized changes to BES Cyber Systems (BCS) by specifying configuration change management and vulnerability assessment requirements in support of protecting BES Cyber Systems BCS from compromise that could lead to misoperation or instability in the Bulk Electric System (BES).

2.3. Applicability:

2.1.3.1. Functional Entities: -For the purpose of the requirements contained herein, the following list of functional entities will be collectively referred to as "Responsible Entities."- For requirements in this standard where a specific functional entity or subset of functional entities are the applicable entity or entities, the functional entity or entities are specified explicitly.

4.1.1. Balancing Authority

- **4.1.2. Distribution Provider** that owns one or more of the following Facilities, systems, and equipment for the protection or restoration of the BES:
 - **4.1.2.1.** Each underfrequency Load shedding (UFLS) or undervoltage Load shedding (UVLS) system that:
 - **4.1.2.1.1.** is part of a Load shedding program that is subject to one or more requirements in a NERC or Regional Reliability Standard; and
 - **4.1.2.1.2.** performs automatic Load shedding under a common control system owned by the Responsible Entity, without human operator initiation, of 300 MW or more.
 - **4.1.2.2.** Each Remedial Action Scheme (RAS) where the RAS is subject to one or more requirements in a NERC or Regional Reliability Standard.
 - **4.1.2.3.** Each Protection System (excluding UFLS and UVLS) that applies to Transmission where the Protection System is subject to one or more requirements in a NERC or Regional Reliability Standard.
 - **4.1.2.4.** Each Cranking Path and group of Elements meeting the initial switching requirements from a Blackstart Resource up to and including the first interconnection point of the starting station service of the next generation unit(s) to be started.

4.1.3. Generator Operator

- 4.1.4. Generator Owner
- 4.1.5. Reliability Coordinator
- 4.1.6. Transmission Operator
- 4.1.7. Transmission Owner
- **2.2.3.2. Facilities:** For the purpose of the requirements contained herein, the following Facilities, systems, and equipment owned by each Responsible Entity in Section 4.1 above are those to which these requirements are applicable. For requirements in this standard where a specific type of Facilities, system, or equipment or subset of Facilities, systems, and equipment are applicable, these are specified explicitly.
 - **4.2.1. Distribution Provider:** One or more of the following Facilities, systems and equipment owned by the Distribution Provider for the protection or restoration of the BES:
 - **4.2.1.1.** Each UFLS or UVLS System that:
 - **4.2.1.1.1.** is part of a Load shedding program that is subject to one or more requirements in a NERC or Regional Reliability Standard; and
 - **4.2.1.1.2.** performs automatic Load shedding under a common control system owned by the Responsible Entity, without human operator initiation, of 300 MW or more.
 - **4.2.1.2.** Each RAS where the RAS is subject to one or more requirements in a NERC or Regional Reliability Standard.
 - **4.2.1.3.** Each Protection System (excluding UFLS and UVLS) that applies to Transmission where the Protection System is subject to one or more requirements in a NERC or Regional Reliability Standard.
 - **4.2.1.4.** Each Cranking Path and group of Elements meeting the initial switching requirements from a Blackstart Resource up to and including the first interconnection point of the starting station service of the next generation unit(s) to be started.
 - **4.2.2.** Responsible Entities listed in **4.1** other than Distribution Providers: All BES Facilities.
 - **4.2.3. Exemptions:** The following are exempt from Standard CIP-010-45:
 - **4.2.3.1.** Cyber Assets Systems at Facilities regulated by the Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission.
 - **4.2.3.2.** Cyber Assets Systems associated with communication networks and data communication links between discrete Electronic

Security Perimeters (ESP).

- 4.2.3.3. Cyber Systems, associated with communication networks and data communication links, between Cyber Systems providing confidentiality and integrity of an ESP that extends to one or more geographic locations.
- 4.2.3.3.4. The systems, structures, and components that are regulated by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission under a cyber security plan pursuant to 10 C.F.R. Section 73.54.
- 4.2.3.4.4.2.3.5. For Distribution Providers, the systems and equipment that are not included in section 4.2.1 above.
- 4.2.3.5.4.2.3.6. Responsible Entities that identify that they have no BES Cyber Systems categorized as high impact or medium impact according to the CIP-002 identification and categorization processes.
- 3. Effective Date: See Implementation Plan for Project 2019-03.
- 4. Background: Standard CIP-010 exists as part of a suite of CIP Standards related to cyber security, which require the initial identification and categorization of BES Cyber Systems and require a minimum level of organizational, operational and procedural controls to mitigate risk to BES Cyber Systems.

Most requirements open with, "Each Responsible Entity shall implement one or more documented [processes, plan, etc.] that include the applicable items in [Table Reference]." The referenced table requires the applicable items in the procedures for the requirement's common subject matter.

The term documented processes refers to a set of required instructions specific to the Responsible Entity and to achieve a specific outcome. This term does not imply any particular naming or approval structure beyond what is stated in the requirements. An entity should include as much as it believes necessary in its documented processes, but it must address the applicable requirements in the table.

The terms program and plan are sometimes used in place of documented processes where it makes sense and is commonly understood. For example, documented processes describing a response are typically referred to as plans (i.e., incident response plans and recovery plans). Likewise, a security plan can describe an approach involving multiple procedures to address a broad subject matter.

Similarly, the term *program* may refer to the organization's overall implementation of its policies, plans, and procedures involving a subject matter. Examples in the standards include the personnel risk assessment program and the personnel training program. The full implementation of the CIP Cyber Security Standards could also be

referred to as a program. However, the terms *program* and *plan* do not imply any additional requirements beyond what is stated in the standards.

Responsible Entities can implement common controls that meet requirements for multiple high and medium impact BES Cyber Systems. For example, a single training program could meet the requirements for training personnel across multiple BES Cyber Systems.

Measures for the initial requirement are simply the documented processes themselves. Measures in the table rows provide examples of evidence to show documentation and implementation of applicable items in the documented processes. These measures serve to provide guidance to entities in acceptable records of compliance and should not be viewed as an all-inclusive list.

Throughout the standards, unless otherwise stated, bulleted items in the requirements and measures are items that are linked with an "or," and numbered items are items that are linked with an "and."

Many references in the Applicability section use a threshold of 300 MW for UFLS and UVLS. This particular threshold of 300 MW for UVLS and UFLS was provided in Version 1 of the CIP Cyber Security Standards. The threshold remains at 300 MW since it is specifically addressing UVLS and UFLS, which are last ditch efforts to save the BES. A review of UFLS tolerances defined within regional reliability standards for UFLS program requirements to date indicates that the historical value of 300 MW represents an adequate and reasonable threshold value for allowable UFLS operational tolerances.

"Applicable Systems" Columns in Tables:

- 4.3. <u>":</u> Each table has an "Applicable Systems" column to further define the scope of systems to which a specific requirement rowpart applies. The CSO706 SDT adapted this concept from the National Institute of Standards and Technology ("NIST") Risk Management Framework as a way of applying requirements more appropriately based on impact and connectivity characteristics. The following conventions are used in the applicability column as described.
- High Impact BES Cyber Systems Applies to BES Cyber Systems categorized as high impact according to the CIP-002 identification and categorization processes.
- Medium Impact BES Cyber Systems Applies to BES Cyber Systems categorized as medium impact according to the CIP-002 identification and categorization processes.
- Electronic Access Control or Monitoring Systems (EACMS) Applies to each
 Electronic Access Control or Monitoring System associated with a referenced
 high impact BES Cyber System or medium impact BES Cyber System. Examples

- may include, but are not limited to, firewalls, authentication servers, and log monitoring and alerting systems.
- Physical Access Control Systems (PACS) Applies to each Physical Access
 Control System associated with a referenced high impact BES Cyber System or
 medium impact BES Cyber System with External Routable Connectivity.
- Protected Cyber Assets (PCA) Applies to each Protected Cyber Asset
 associated with a referenced high impact BES Cyber System or medium impact
 BES Cyber System.
- **4. Effective Date:** See "Project 2016-02 Modifications to CIP Standards Implementation Plan."

B. Requirements and Measures

- **R1.** Each Responsible Entity shall implement one or more documented process(es) to manage configuration changes, individually or by group, that collectively include each of the applicable requirement parts in CIP-010-45 Table R1 Configuration Change Management. [Violation Risk Factor: Medium] [Time Horizon: Operations Planning].
- **M1.** Evidence must include each of the applicable documented processes that collectively include each of the applicable requirement parts in *CIP-010-45* Table R1 Configuration Change Management and additional evidence to demonstrate implementation as described in the Measures column of the table.

	CIP-010-45 Table R1 — Configuration Change Management			
Part	Applicable Systems	Requirements	Measures	
1.1	High Impact BES Cyber Systems and their associated: Medium Impact BES Cyber Systems and their associated: 1. EACMS; 2. PACS; and 3. PCA	Develop a baseline configuration, individually or by group, which shall include the following items: 1.1.1. Operating system(s) (including version) or firmware where no independent operating system exists; 1.1.2. Any commercially available or open-source application software (including version) intentionally installed; 1.1.3. Any custom software installed; 1.1.4. Any logical network accessible ports; and 1.1.5. Any security patches applied.	 Examples of evidence may include, but are not limited to: A spreadsheet identifying the required items of the baseline configuration for each Cyber Asset, individually or by group; or A record in an asset management system that identifies the required items of the baseline configuration for each Cyber Asset, individually or by group. 	
1.2	High Impact BES Cyber Systems and their associated: 1. EACMS;	Authorize and document changes that deviate from the existing baseline configuration.	Examples of evidence may include, but are not limited to: A change request record and	

	CIP-010-15 Table R1 — Configuration Change Management		
Part	Applicable Systems	Requirements	Measures
	2. PACS; and 3. PCA Medium Impact BES Cyber Systems and their associated: 1. EACMS; 2. PACS; and 3. PCA		associated electronic authorization (performed by the individual or group with the authority to authorize the change) in a change management system for each change; or Documentation that the change was performed in accordance with the requirement.
1.3	High Impact BES Cyber Systems and their associated: 1. EACMS; 2. PACS; and 3. PCA Medium Impact BES Cyber Systems and their associated: 1. EACMS; 2. PACS; and 3. PCA	For a change that deviates from the existing baseline configuration, update the baseline configuration as necessary within 30 calendar days of completing the change.	An example of evidence may include, but is not limited to, updated baseline documentation with a date that is within 30 calendar days of the date of the completion of the change.
1.41	High Impact BES Cyber Systems impact BCS and their associated: 1. Electronic Access Control or	For a change Authorize changes that deviates from affect Applicable Systems where those changes alter the existing baseline configuration: 1.4.1. Prior to the change, determine required behavior of one or more cyber security controls, excluding procedural and physical controls, serving one or more requirement	An example Examples of evidence may include, but isare not limited to, a listone or more documented process(es) that authorize changes that affect Applicable Systems where those changes alter the behavior of one or more cyber security controls verified or tested along with the dated test results, excluding procedural and physical controls, serving one or more requirement parts in CIP-005 or CIP-007, as

	CIP-010-45 Table R1 - Configuration Change Management			
Part	Applicable Systems	Requirements	Measures	
Part	4:1.EACMS; 5:2.PACS; and 6:3.PCA SCI supporting an Applicable System in this Part.	parts in CIP-005 andor CIP-007-that could be impacted, as defined by the change; 1.4.2. Following the change, verify that required cyber security controls determined in 1.4.1 are not adversely affected; and Document the results of the verification Responsible Entity.	defined by the Responsible Entity, such as: Change records documenting the authorization. Change records authorizing systems to automate changes to Applicable Systems. Examples of changes that may alter the behavior of one or more cyber security controls may include, but are not limited to: Installation, removal, or update of operating system, firmware, software, or cyber security patches, including changes to VCA parent images from which Applicable Systems will be instantiated (CIP-007 R1.1, R2) Configuration changes that affect routable protocol network accessibility (CIP-007 R1.1) Configuration changes affecting the establishment of, or access control through, an ESP (CIP-005 R1, R2) Configuration of malicious code prevention methods (CIP-007 R3) Configuration of security event logging/alerting (CIP-007 R4)	
			 Configuration changes to authentication methods (e.g., a password enforcement policy change, but not users changing their password) (CIP-007 R5) 	

	CIP-010-45 Table R1 — Configuration Change Management			
Part	Applicable Systems	Requirements	Measures	
			• Configuration changes to CPU/memory sharing of VCAs on SCI (CIP-007 R1.3)	
1.52	High Impact BES Cyber Systemsimpact BCS	Where technically feasible, for each change that deviates from the existing baseline configuration: 1.2.1. Prior to implementing any change from Part 1.1 in the production environment, except during a CIP Exceptional Circumstance, test the changes in a test environment that minimizes differences with the production environment or test the changes in a production environment where the test is performed in a manner that minimizes adverse effects, that models the baseline configuration to ensure that required cyber security controls in CIP-005 and CIP-007 are not adversely affected; and 1.2.2. Document the results of the testing and, if a test environment was used, the differences between the test environment, including a description of the measures used to account for any differences in operation between the test and production environments.	An example of evidence may include, but is not limited to, a list of cyber security controls tested along with successful test results and a list of differences between the production and test environments with descriptions of how any differences were accounted for, including the date of the test- or logs from systems that automatically remediate deviations in required cyber security controls in CIP-005 and CIP-007.	
1.6 <u>3</u>	High Impact BES Cyber Systems impact BCS and their associated:	Prior to a change that deviates from the existing baseline configuration	An example of evidence may include, but is not limited to a change request record that	

	CIP-010-45 Table R1 — Configuration Change Management			
Part	Applicable Systems	Requirements	Measures	
	1. EACMS; and 2. PACS Medium Impact BES Cyber Systemsimpact BCS and their associated: 1. EACMS; and 2. PACS SCI supporting an Applicable System in this Part. Note: Implementation does not require the Responsible Entity to renegotiate or abrogate existing contracts (including amendments to master agreements and purchase orders). Additionally, the following issues are beyond the scope of Part 1.6: (1) the actual terms and conditions of a procurement contract; and (2) vendor performance and adherence to a contract.	associated with baseline items in Parts 1.1.1, 1.1.2, and 1.1.5, installation of operating systems, firmware, software, or software patches and when the method to do so is available to the Responsible Entity from the software source: 1.63.1 Verify the identity of the software source; and 1.63.2 Verify the integrity of the software obtained from the software source.	demonstrates the verification of identity of the software source and integrity of the software was performed prior to the baseline change installation or a process which documents the mechanisms in place that would automatically ensure the identity of the software source and integrity of the software.	
1.4	High impact BCS and their associated: 1. EACMS; 2. PACS; and 3. PCA Medium impact BCS and their associated: 1. EACMS; 2. PACS; and 3. PCA SCI supporting an Applicable System in this Part.	As a part of the changes authorized per Part 1.1, verify that the behavior(s) of the altered cyber security controls were not adversely affected.	 An example of evidence may include, but is not limited to: System generated evidence of automated verification of required behaviors. Records from a verification process showing that, as a part of the change process, the required behavior(s) of the altered security controls remain effective, were corrected, or the change was reversed. 	

- **R2.** Each Responsible Entity shall implement one or more documented process(es) to monitor configuration changes that collectively include each of the applicable requirement parts in CIP-010-45 Table R2 Configuration Monitoring. [Violation Risk Factor: Medium] [Time Horizon: Operations Planning].
- **M2.** Evidence must include each of the applicable documented processes that collectively include each of the applicable requirement parts in CIP-010-45 Table R2 Configuration Monitoring and additional evidence to demonstrate implementation as described in the Measures column of the table.

	CIP-010-15 Table R2 – Configuration Monitoring			
Part	Applicable Systems	Requirements	Measures	
2.1	High Impact BES Cyber Systems and their associated: 1. EACMS; and 2. PCA SCI supporting an Applicable System in this Part.	Monitor Methods to monitor, per system capability, at least once every 35 calendar days, for changes to unauthorized changes that affect Applicable Systems, where those changes alter the baseline configuration (as described behavior of one or more cyber security controls, excluding procedural and physical controls, serving one or more requirement parts in Requirement R1, Part CIP-007, as defined by the Responsible Entity; that include at least one cyber security control for each of the following: 2.1.1) Configuration on each Applicable System that affects its routable protocol network accessibility; 2.1.2. Configuration of CPU or memory sharing of VCAs on SCI; 2.1.3. Installation, removal, and update of operating system, firmware, software, and cyber security patches.	An example of evidence may include, but is not limited to, logs from adocumented methods to monitor at least once every 35 calendar days. Monitoring system that is monitoring the configuration or procedural controls demonstrating monitoring of at least one cyber security control for 2.1.1 through 2.1.7. Examples of evidence may include, but are not limited to, reports generated from automated tools or manual reviews along with records of investigation for any unauthorized changes that were detected. Note: monitoring of VCA parent images from which Applicable Systems will be instantiated is an example of an automated control for 2.1.3.	

	CIP-010-45 Table R2 – Configuration Monitoring			
Part	Applicable Systems	Requirements	Measures	
		2.1.4. Configuration of malicious code protection methods;		
		2.1.5. Configuration of security event logging or alerting;		
		2.1.6. Configuration of authentication methods; and		
		2.1.7. Changes to the enabled or disabled status of accounts.		
		Document and investigate detected unauthorized changes.		

- R3. Each Responsible Entity shall implement one or more documented process(es) that collectively include each of the applicable requirement parts in CIP-010-35 Table R3— Vulnerability Assessments. [Violation Risk Factor: Medium] [Time Horizon: Long-term Planning and Operations Planning]
- **M3.** Evidence must include each of the applicable documented processes that collectively include each of the applicable requirement parts in CIP-010-35 Table R3 Vulnerability Assessments and additional evidence to demonstrate implementation as described in the Measures column of the table.

	CIP-010- 5 Table R3 - Vulnerability Assessments			
Part	Applicable Systems	Requirements	Measures	
3.1	High Impact BES Cyber Systems and their associated: 1. EACMS; 2. PACS; and 3. PCA Medium Impact BES Cyber Systems and their associated: 1. EACMS; 2. PACS; and 3. PCA SCI supporting an Applicable System in this Part.	At least once every 15 calendar months, conduct a paper or active vulnerability assessment.	Examples of evidence may include, but are not limited to: • A document listing the date of the assessment (performed at least once every -15 calendar months), the controls assessed for each BES Cyber System along with the method of assessment; or • A document listing the date of the assessment and the output of any tools used to perform the assessment.	

	CIP-010-15 Table R3 - Vulnerability Assessments			
Part	Applicable Systems	Requirements	Measures	
3.2	High Impact BES Cyber Systems. SCI supporting an Applicable System in this Part.	Where technically feasible, at At least once every 36 calendar months, per system capability: 3.2.1 Perform an active vulnerability assessment in a test environment that minimizes differences with the production environment, or perform an active vulnerability assessment in a production environment where the test is performed in a manner that minimizes adverse effects, that models the baseline configuration of the BES Cyber System in a production environment; and	An example of evidence may include, but is not limited to, a document listing the date of the assessment (performed at least once every 36 calendar months), the output of the tools used to perform the assessment, and a list of differences between the production and test environments with descriptions of how any differences were accounted for in conducting the assessment.	
		3.2.2 Document the results of the testing and, if a test environment was used, the differences between the test environment and the production environment, including a description of the measures used to account for any differences in operation between the test and production environments.		

	CIP-010- 5 Table R3 — Vulnerability Assessments			
Part	Applicable Systems	Requirements	Measures	
3.3	High Impact BES Cyber Systems impact BCS and their associated: 1. EACMS; and 2. PCA SCI supporting an Applicable System in this Part.	Prior to addingbecoming a new applicable Cyber Asset to a production environmentApplicable System, perform an active vulnerability assessment of the new Cyber AssetApplicable System, except for CIP Exceptional Circumstances and like: • Like replacements of the same type of Cyber Assetor additions with a baselinepreviously assessed configuration that modelsof an existing baseline configuration of the previous or other existing Cyber Asset.Applicable System; or • CIP Exceptional Circumstances.	An example of evidence may include, but is not limited to, a document listing: • The output of tools used to perform the assessment; or • Reports from automated assessment and remediation mechanisms (remediation VLANs, quarantine systems, 802.1x mechanisms that assess and remediate, etc.) that documents the date of the assessment (performed prior to the commissioning of the becoming a new Cyber Asset) and the output of any tools used to perform the assessment. Applicable System.	

	CIP-010-15 Table R3 - Vulnerability Assessments					
Part	Applicable Systems	Requirements	Measures			
3.4	High Impact BES Cyber Systems impact BCS and their associated: 1. EACMS; 2. PACS; and 3. PCA Medium Impact BES Cyber Systems impact BCS and their associated: 1. EACMS; 2. PACS; and 3. PCA SCI supporting an Applicable System in this Part.	Document the results of the assessments conducted according to Parts 3.1, 3.2, and 3.3 and the action plan to remediate or mitigate vulnerabilities identified in the assessments including the planned date of completing the action plan and the execution status of any remediation or mitigation action items.	An example Examples of evidence may include, but isare not limited to, a document: • Reports or logs from automated mechanisms that perform remediation of VCAs at instantiation; or • Documentation listing the results or the review or assessment, a list of action items, documented proposed dates of completion for the action plan, and records of the status of the action items (such as minutes of a status meeting, updates in a work order system, or a spreadsheet tracking the action items).			

- **R4.** Each Responsible Entity, for its high impact and medium impact BES Cyber Systems, associated PCA, and associated Protected Cyber Assets CI, shall implement, except under CIP Exceptional Circumstances, one or more documented plan(s) for Transient Cyber Assets (TCA) and Removable Media that include the sections in Attachment 1. [Violation Risk Factor: Medium] [Time Horizon: Long-term Planning and Operations Planning]
- M4. Evidence shall include each of the documented plan(s) for Transient Cyber Assets TCAs and Removable Media that collectively include each of the applicable sections in Attachment 1 and additional evidence to demonstrate implementation of plan(s) for Transient Cyber Assets TCA and Removable Media. Additional examples of evidence per section are located in Attachment 2. If a Responsible Entity does not use Transient Cyber Asset TCA(s) or Removable Media, examples of evidence include, but are not limited to, a statement, policy, or other document that states the Responsible Entity does not use Transient Cyber Asset TCA(s) or Removable Media.

C. Compliance

- 1. Compliance Monitoring Process
 - 1.1. Compliance Enforcement Authority: "Compliance Enforcement Authority" (CEA) means NERC or the Regional Entity, or any entity as otherwise designated by an Applicable Governmental Authority, in their respective roles of monitoring and/or enforcing compliance with mandatory and enforceable Reliability Standards in their respective jurisdictions.
 - **1.2. Evidence Retention:** The following evidence retention period(s) identify the period of time an entity is required to retain specific evidence to demonstrate compliance. For instances where the evidence retention period specified below is shorter than the time since the last audit, the CEA may ask an entity to provide other evidence to show that it was compliant for the full-time period since the last audit.

The applicable entity shall keep data or evidence to show compliance as identified below unless directed by its CEA to retain specific evidence for a longer period of time as part of an investigation.

- Each applicable entity shall retain evidence of each requirement in this standard for three calendar years.
- If an applicable entity is found non-compliant, it shall keep information related to the non-compliance until mitigation is complete and approved or for the time specified above, whichever is longer.
- The CEA shall keep the last audit records and all requested and submitted subsequent audit records.
- **1.3.** Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement Program: As defined in the NERC Rules of Procedure, "Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement Program" refers to the identification of the processes that will be used to evaluate data or information for the purpose of assessing performance or outcomes with the associated Reliability Standard.

Violation Severity Levels

- "	Violation Severity Levels				
R #	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL	
R1.	The Responsible Entity has documented and implemented a configuration change management process(es) that includes only four of the required baseline items listed in 1.1.1 through 1.1.5. (1.1)	The Responsible Entity has documented and implemented a configuration Entity's change management process(es) that includes only threedoes not include one of the required baseline items listed in 1.42.1 through 1.2.2. (Requirement R1 Part 1.2); OR The Responsible Entity's change management process(es) does not include one of the required items listed in 1.5. (3.1-through 1.3.2. (Part 1.3)	The Responsible Entity has documented and implemented a configuration change management process(es) that includes only two of the did not include authorization for changes as required baseline in Part 1.1. (Part 1.1) OR The Responsible Entity's change management process(es) does not include the two required items listed in 1.1/2.1 through 1.2.2. (Part 1.5. (1.1)/2); OR The Responsible Entity has a Entity's change management process as specified in Part 1.6 to verify the identity of the software source (1.6.1) but(es) does not include the two required items listed in 1.3.1 through 1.3.2. (Part 1.3) OR	The Responsible Entity has not neither documented or nor implemented any configuration change management process(es). (R1) OR The Responsible Entity has documented and implemented a configuration change management process(es) that includes only one of the include required baseline items listed in Part 1.1.1 through 1.1.5. (1.1) OR The Responsible Entity does not have a process(es) that requires authorization and documentation of changes that deviate from the existing baseline configuration. (1.2 Part.)	

R# -	Violation Severity Levels				
К#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL	
			have a The Responsible Entity's change management process as specified in (es) does not include verification, as required by Part 1.6 to verify the integrity of the software provided by the software source when the method to do so is available to the Responsible Entity from the software source. (1.6.2 4. (Part 1.4)	The Responsible Entity does not have a process(es) to update baseline configurations within 30 calendar days of completing a change(s) that deviates from the existing baseline configuration.(1.3) OR The Responsible Entity does not have a process(es) to determine required security controls in CIP-005 and CIP-007 that could be impacted by a change(s) that deviates from the existing baseline configuration. (1.4.1 (Requirement R1) OR The Responsible Entity has a process(es) to determine required security controls in CIP-005 and	

. "	Violation Severity Levels				
R #	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL	
	LOWE! V3L	INIOUEI ALE VOL	HIGH VOL	the required controls were not adversely affected following the change. (1.4.2 & 1.4.3) OR The Responsible Entity does not have a process for testing changes in an environment that models the baseline configuration prior to implementing a change that deviates from baseline configuration. (1.5.1) OR The Responsible Entity does not have a process to document the test results and, if using a test environment, document the differences between the test and production environments. (1.5.2) OR	
				The Responsible Entity does not have a process as	
				specified in Part 1.6 to verify	

D.#	Violation Severity Levels			
R #	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL
				the identity of the software source and the integrity of the software provided by the software source when the method to do so is available to the Responsible Entity from the software source. (1.6)
R2.	N/AThe Responsible Entity did not monitor within 35 calendar days, but less than 70 calendar days as required by Part 2.1.	N/AThe Responsible Entity's documented and implemented configuration monitoring process(es) does not include one or two of the required Parts 2.1.1 through 2.1.7 for Applicable Systems as required in Part 2.1. OR The Responsible Entity did not monitor within 70 calendar days, but less than 105 calendar days as required by Part 2.1.	N/AThe Responsible Entity's documented and implemented configuration monitoring process(es) does not include three or four of the required Parts 2.1.1 through 2.1.7 for Applicable Systems as required in Part 2.1. OR The Responsible Entity did not monitor within 105 calendar days, but less than 140 calendar days as required by Part 2.1.	The Responsible Entity has not neither documented or nor implemented a configuration monitoring process(es) to); or the process does not include five or more of the required Parts 2.1.1 through 2.1.7 for Applicable Systems as required in Part 2.1. OR The Responsible Entity did not monitor for, investigate, and document within 140 calendar days or more as required by Part 2.1. OR The Responsible Entity neither documented nor investigated detected unauthorized changes to the baseline at

D.#	Violation Severity Levels			
R #	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL
				least once every 35 calendar days. (. (Part 2.1)
R3.	The Responsible Entity has implemented one or more documented vulnerability assessment processes for each of its applicable BES Cyber Systems, but has performed a vulnerability assessment more than 15 months, but less than 18 months, since the last assessment on one of its applicable BES Cyber Applicable Systems. (Part 3.1) OR	The Responsible Entity-has implemented one or more documented vulnerability assessment processes for each of its applicable BES Cyber Systems, but has performed a vulnerability assessment more than 18 months, but less than 21 months, since the last assessment on one of its applicable BES Cyber Applicable Systems. (Part 3.1) OR	The Responsible Entity—has implemented one or more documented vulnerability assessment processes for each of its applicable BES Cyber Systems, but has performed a vulnerability assessment more than 21 months, but less than 24 months, since the last assessment on one of its applicable BES Cyber Applicable Systems. (Part 3.1) OR	The Responsible Entity hasdid not implemented implement any vulnerability assessment processes for one of its applicable BES CyberApplicable Systems. (Requirement R3) OR The Responsible Entity has implemented one or more documented vulnerability assessment processes for each of its applicable BES Cyber Systems, but has
	The Responsible Entity has implemented one or more documented active vulnerability assessment processes for Applicable Systems, but has performed an active vulnerability assessment more than 36 months, but less than 39 months, since the last active assessment on one of its applicable BES	The Responsible Entity has implemented one or more documented active vulnerability assessment processes for Applicable Systems, but has performed an active vulnerability assessment more than 39 months, but less than 42 months, since the last active assessment on one of its applicable BES	The Responsible Entity-has implemented one or more documented active vulnerability assessment processes for Applicable Systems, but has performed an active vulnerability assessment more than 42 months, but less than 45 months, since the last active assessment on one of its applicable BES	performed a vulnerability assessment more than 24 months since the last assessment on one of its applicable BES Cyber Systems. (Part 3.1) OR The Responsible Entity has implemented one or more documented active vulnerability assessment processes for Applicable

D. 4	Violation Severity Levels				
R #	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL	
	CyberApplicable Systems. (Part 3.2)	CyberApplicable Systems. (Part 3.2)	CyberApplicable Systems. (Part 3.2)	Systems, but has performed an active vulnerability assessment more than 45 months since the last active assessment on one of its applicable BES Cyber Systems. (. (Part 3.2)	
				The Responsible Entity has	
				documented one or more vulnerability assessment processes for each of its applicable BES Cyber Systems, but did not perform the active vulnerability assessment inof a manner	
				that modelsCyber System prior to it becoming an existing baseline	
				configuration of its applicable BES	
				CyberApplicable Systems. (Part 3.3)	
				OR	
				The Responsible Entity has implemented one or more documented vulnerability assessment processes for each	

D.#	Violation Severity Levels			
R #	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL
				of its applicable BES CyberApplicable Systems, but has not documented the results of the vulnerability assessments, the action plans to remediate or mitigate vulnerabilities identified in the assessments, the planned date of completion of the action plan, and the execution status of the mitigation plans. (Part 3.4)
R4.	The Responsible Entity documented its plan(s) for Transient Cyber Assets and Removable Media, but failed todid not manage its Transient Cyber Asset(s) according to CIP-010-4, Requirement R4, Attachment 1, Section 1.1. (Requirement R4) OR The Responsible Entity documented its plan(s) for Transient Cyber Assets and Removable Media, but failed todid not document the Removable Media sections	The Responsible Entity documented its plan(s) for Transient Cyber Assets and Removable Media, but failed todid not implement the Removable Media sections according to CIP-010-4, Requirement R4, Attachment 1, Section 3. (Requirement R4) OR The Responsible Entity documented its plan(s) for Transient Cyber Assets and Removable Media plan, but failed todid not document mitigation of software vulnerabilities, mitigation for	The Responsible Entity documented its plan(s) for Transient Cyber Assets and Removable Media, but failed todid not authorize its Transient Cyber AssetTCA(s) according to CIP-010-4, Requirement R4, Attachment 1, Section 1.2. (R4) OR The Responsible Entity documented its plan(s) for Transient Cyber Assets and Removable Media, but failed todid not implement mitigation of software vulnerabilities, mitigation for	The Responsible Entity failed todid not document or implement one or more plan(s) for Transient Cyber Assets TCAs and Removable Media according to CIP-010-4, Requirement R4. (Requirement R4)

R #	Violation Severity Levels			
K#	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL
	according to CIP 010 4, Requirement R4, Attachment 1, Section 3. (Requirement R4) OR The Responsible Entity documented its plan(s) for Transient Cyber Assets and Removable Media, but failed todid not document authorization for Transient Cyber Assets TCA managed by the Responsible Entity according to CIP-010-4, Requirement R4, Attachment 1, Section 1.2. (Requirement R4)	the introduction of malicious code, or mitigation of the risk of unauthorized use for Transient Cyber AssetsTCA managed by the Responsible Entity according to CIP 010 4, Requirement R4, Attachment 1, Sections 1.3, 1.4, and 1.5. (Requirement R4) OR The Responsible Entity documented its plan(s) for Transient Cyber Assets and Removable Media, but failed todid not document mitigation of software vulnerabilities or mitigation for the introduction of malicious code for Transient Cyber Assets managed by a party other than the Responsible Entity according to CIP-010-4, Requirement R4, Attachment 1, Sections 2.1, 2.2, and 2.3. (Requirement R4)	the introduction of malicious code, or mitigation of the risk of unauthorized use for Transient Cyber AssetsTCAs managed by the Responsible Entity according to CIP 010 4, Requirement R4, Attachment 1, Sections 1.3, 1.4, and 1.5. (Requirement R4) OR The Responsible Entity documented its plan(s) for Transient Cyber Assets and Removable Media, but failed todid not implement mitigation of software vulnerabilities or mitigation for the introduction of malicious code for Transient Cyber AssetsTCAs managed by a party other than the Responsible Entity according to CIP 010 4, Requirement R4, Attachment 1, Sections 2.1, 2.2, and 2.3. (Requirement R4)	

D. Regional Variances

None.

E. Associated Documents

- See "Project 2016-02 Modifications to CIP Standards Implementation Plan for Project 2019-03.".
- CIP-010-45 Technical Rationale

Version History

	i iistoi y		
Version	Date	Action	Change Tracking
1	11/26/12	Adopted by the NERC Board of Trustees.	Developed to define the configuration change management and vulnerability assessment requirements in coordination with other CIP standards and to address the balance of the FERC directives in its Order 706.
1	11/22/13	FERC Order issued approving CIP-010-1. (Order becomes effective on 2/3/14.)	
2	11/13/14	Adopted by the NERC Board of Trustees.	Addressed two FERC directives from Order No. 791 related to identify, assess, and correct language and communication networks.
2	2/12/15	Adopted by the NERC Board of Trustees.	Replaces the version adopted by the Board on 11/13/2014. Revised version addresses remaining directives from Order No. 791 related to transient devices and low impact BES Cyber Systems.
2	1/21/16	FERC Order issued approving CIP-010-3. Docket No. RM15-14-000	
3	07/20/17	Modified to address certain directives in FERC Order No. 829.	Revised
3	08/10/17	Adopted by the NERC Board of Trustees.	
3	10/18/2018	FERC Order approving CIP-010-3Docket No. RM17-13-000.	
4	08/01/2019	Modified to address directives in FERC Order No. 850.	Revised
4	11/05/2020	Adopted by the NERC Board of Trustees.	
<u>5</u>	TBD	Modified by Project 2016-02.	

CIP-010-4 - Attachment 1

Required Sections for Plans for Transient Cyber Assets and Removable Media

Responsible Entities shall include each of the sections provided below in their plan(s) for Transient Cyber Assets and Removable Media as required under Requirement R4.

- **Section 1.** Transient Cyber AssetTCA(s) Managed by the Responsible Entity.
 - 1.1. <u>Transient Cyber AssetTCA Management</u>: Responsible Entities shall manage <u>Transient Cyber AssetTCA</u>(s), individually or by group: (1) in an ongoing manner to ensure compliance with applicable requirements at all times, (2) in an ondemand manner applying the applicable requirements before connection to a <u>BES Cyber System</u>, or (3) a combination of both (1) and (2) above.
 - **1.2.** <u>Transient Cyber AssetTCA</u> Authorization: For each individual or group of <u>Transient Cyber AssetTCA</u>(s), each Responsible Entity shall authorize:
 - **1.2.1.** Users, either individually or by group or role;
 - 1.2.2. Locations, either individually or by group; and
 - **1.2.3.** Uses, which shall be limited to what is necessary to perform business functions.
 - 1.3. <u>Software Vulnerability Mitigation</u>: Use one or a combination of the following methods to achieve the objective of mitigating the risk of vulnerabilities posed by unpatched software on the <u>Transient Cyber Asset</u> (per Transient Cyber Asset (per TCA capability):
 - Security patching, including manual or managed updates;
 - Live operating system and software executable only from read_only media;
 - System hardening; or
 - Other method(s) to mitigate software vulnerabilities.
 - **1.4.** <u>Introduction of Malicious Code Mitigation</u>: Use one or a combination of the following methods to achieve the objective of mitigating the <u>risk of</u> introduction of malicious code (per <u>Transient Cyber Asset</u>TCA capability):
 - Antivirus software, including manual or managed updates of signatures or patterns;
 - Application whitelisting; or
 - System hardening; or
 - Other method(s) to mitigate the introduction of malicious code.
 - **1.5.** <u>Unauthorized Use Mitigation</u>: Use one or a combination of the following methods to achieve the objective of mitigating the risk of unauthorized use of <u>Transient Cyber AssetTCA</u>(s):
 - Restrict physical access;

- Full-disk encryption with authentication;
- Multi-factor authentication; or
- Other method(s) to mitigate the risk of unauthorized use.
- **Section 2.** Transient Cyber Asset TCA(s) Managed by a Party Other than the Responsible Entity.
 - 2.1. Software Vulnerabilities Mitigation: Use one or a combination of the following methods to achieve the objective of mitigating the risk of vulnerabilities posed by unpatched software on the Transient Cyber Asset (per Transient Cyber Asset TCA (per TCA capability):
 - Review of installed security patch(es);
 - Review of security patching process used by the party;
 - Review use of live operating system and software executable only from read only media;
 - Review of other vulnerability mitigation performed by the party; or
 - OtherReview of other method(s) to mitigate software vulnerabilities.
 - **2.2.** <u>Introduction of malicious code mitigation</u>: Use one or a combination of the following methods to achieve the objective of mitigating <u>the risk of introduction</u> <u>of malicious code</u> (per <u>Transient Cyber AssetTCA</u> capability):
 - Review of antivirus update level;
 - Review of antivirus update process used by the party;
 - Review of application whitelisting used by the party;
 - Review use of live operating system and software executable only from read only media;
 - Review of system hardening used by the party; or
 - OtherReview of other method(s) to mitigate the risk of introduction of malicious code.
 - **2.3.** For any method used to mitigate software vulnerabilities or malicious code as specified in 2.1 and 2.2, Responsible Entities shall determine whether any additional mitigation actions are necessary and implement such actions prior to connecting the Transient Cyber Asset TCA.
- Section 3. Removable Media
 - **3.1.** Removable Media Authorization: For each individual or group of Removable Media, each Responsible Entity shall authorize:
 - **3.1.1.** Users, either individually or by group or role; and
 - **3.1.2.** Locations, either individually or by group.
 - **3.2.** <u>Malicious Code Mitigation</u>: To achieve the objective of mitigating the threat of introducing malicious code to high impact or medium impact BES Cyber Systems and their associated Protected Cyber Assets, each Responsible Entity shall:

- **3.2.1.** Use method(s) to detect malicious code on Removable Media using a Cyber Asset other than a BES Cyber System or Protected Cyber Assetsprior to connecting; and
- **3.2.2.** Mitigate the threat of detected malicious code-on Removable Media prior to connecting the Removable Media to a high impact or medium impact BES Cyber System or associated Protected Cyber Assets.

CIP-010-45 - Attachment 2

Examples of Evidence for Plans for Transient Cyber Assets and Removable Media

- Section 1.1: Examples of evidence for Section 1.1 may include, but are not limited to, the method(s) of management for the Transient Cyber AssetTCA(s). This can be included as part of the Transient Cyber Asset planTCA(s), part of the documentation related to authorization of Transient Cyber AssetTCA(s) managed by the Responsible Entity or part of a security policy.
- Section 1.2: Examples of evidence for Section 1.2 may include, but are not limited to, documentation from asset management systems, human resource management systems, or forms or spreadsheets that show authorization of Transient Cyber AssetTCA(s) managed by the Responsible Entity. Alternatively, this can be documented in the overarching plan document.
- Section 1.3: Examples of evidence for Section 1.3 may include, but are not limited to, documentation of the method(s) used to mitigate the risk of software vulnerabilities posed by unpatched software such as security patch management implementation, the use of live operating systems system and software executable only from readonly media, the use of controls that maintain the state of the operating system and software such that it is in a known state prior to execution, system hardening practices or other method(s) to mitigate the risk of software vulnerability posed by unpatched software. Evidence can be from change management systems, automated patch management solutions, procedures or processes associated with using live operating systems, methods to maintain the known good state of the OS and all software, or procedures or processes associated with system hardening practices. If a Transient Cyber Asset TCA does not have the capability to use method(s) that mitigate the risk from unpatched software, evidence may include documentation by the vendor or Responsible Entity that identifies that the Transient Cyber AssetTCA does not have the capability.
- Section 1.4: Examples of evidence for Section 1.4 may include, but are not limited to, documentation of the method(s) used to mitigate the introduction of malicious code such as antivirus software and processes for managing signature or pattern updates, application whitelisting practices, processes to restrict communication, or other method(s) to mitigate the introduction of malicious code. If a Transient Cyber Asset does not have the capability to use method(s) that mitigate the introduction of malicious code, evidence may include documentation by the vendor or Responsible Entity that identifies that the Transient Cyber Asset TCA does not have the capability.
- Section 1.5: Examples of evidence for Section 1.5 may include, but are not limited to, documentation through policies or procedures of the method(s) to restrict physical access; method(s) of the full-disk encryption solution along with the authentication protocol; method(s) of the multi-factor authentication solution; or documentation of other method(s) to mitigate the risk of unauthorized use.
- Section 2.1: Examples of evidence for Section 2.1 may include, but are not limited to, documentation from change management systems, electronic mail or procedures that document a review of installed security patch(es); memoranda, electronic mail,

policies or contracts from parties other than the Responsible Entity that identify the security patching process or vulnerability mitigation performed by the party other than the Responsible Entity; memoranda, electronic mail, policies or contracts from parties other than the Responsible Entity that that document a review of the use of live operating system and software executable only from read only media; memoranda, electronic mail, policies, or contracts from parties other than the Responsible Entity that that document a review of the use of controls that maintain the state of the operating system and software such that it is in a known state prior to execution; evidence from change management systems, electronic mail, system documentation or contracts that identifies acceptance by the Responsible Entity that the practices of the party other than the Responsible Entity are acceptable; or documentation of other method(s) to mitigate software vulnerabilities for Transient Cyber Asset TCA(s) managed by a party other than the Responsible Entity. If a Transient Cyber AssetTCA does not have the capability to use method(s) that mitigate the risk from unpatched software, evidence may include documentation by the Responsible Entity or the party other than the Responsible Entity that identifies that the Transient Cyber AssetTCA does not have the capability.

- Section 2.2: Examples of evidence for Section 2.2 may include, but are not limited to, documentation from change management systems, electronic mail or procedures that document a review of the installed antivirus update level; memoranda, electronic mail, system documentation, policies or contracts from the party other than the Responsible Entity that identify the antivirus update process, the use of application whitelisting, use of live of operating systems or and system hardening performed by the party other than the Responsible Entity; evidence from change management systems, electronic mail or contracts that identifies the Responsible Entity are acceptable; or documentation of other method(s) to mitigate malicious code for Transient Cyber Asset TCA(s) managed by a party other than the Responsible Entity. If a Transient Cyber Asset TCA does not have the capability to use method(s) that mitigate the introduction of malicious code, evidence may include documentation by the Responsible Entity or the party other than the Responsible Entity that identifies that the Transient Cyber Asset TCA does not have the capability.
- Section 2.3: Examples of evidence for Section 2.3 may include, but are not limited to, documentation from change management systems, electronic mail, or contracts that identifies a review to determine whether additional mitigations are necessary and that they have been implemented prior to connecting the Transient Cyber AssetTCA managed by a party other than the Responsible Entity.
- Section 3.1: Examples of evidence for Section 3.1 may include, but are not limited to, documentation from asset management systems, human resource management systems, forms or spreadsheets that shows authorization of Removable Media. The documentation must identify Removable Media, individually or by group of Removable Media, along with the authorized users, either individually or by group or role, and the authorized locations, either individually or by group.
- Section 3.2: Examples of evidence for Section 3.2 may include, but are not limited to, documented process(es) of the method(s) used to mitigate malicious code such as

results of scan settings for Removable Media, or implementation of on-demand scanning. Documented process(es) for the method(s) used for mitigating the threat of detected malicious code on Removable Media, such as logs from the method(s) used to detect malicious code that show the results of scanning and that show mitigation of detected malicious code on Removable Media or documented confirmation by the entity that the Removable Media was deemed to be free of malicious code.