The Background, VRF/VSLs, and Guidelines and Technical Basis Sections have been removed for this informal posting. The Project 2016-02 is seeking comments around the concept of the Requirement/Measure language at this time. All other sections will be modified prior to the initial posting.

A. Introduction

1. Title: Cyber Security —-BES Cyber System Logical Isolation Electronic Security Perimeter(s)

2. Number: CIP-005-76

3. Purpose: To protect BES Cyber Systems against compromise by allowing only known and controlled communication to and from the system and logically isolating all other communication. manage electronic access to BES Cyber Systems by specifying a controlled Electronic Security Perimeter in support of protecting BES Cyber Systems against compromise that could lead to misoperation or instability in the BES.

4. Applicability:

- **4.1. Functional Entities:** For the purpose of the-requirements in this standard contained herein, the following list of functional entities will be collectively referred to as "Responsible Entities." For requirements in this standard where a specific functional entity or subset of functional entities are the applicable entity or entities, the functional entity or entities are explicitly specified explicitly.
 - 4.1.1. Balancing Authority
 - **4.1.2. Distribution Provider** that owns one or more of the following Facilities, systems, and equipment for the protection or restoration of the BES:
 - 4.1.2.1. Each underfrequency Load shedding (UFLS) or undervoltage Load shedding (UVLS) system that:
 - **4.1.2.1.1.** is part of a Load shedding program that is subject to one or more requirements in a NERC or Regional Reliability Standard; and
 - **4.1.2.1.2.** performs automatic Load shedding under a common control system owned by the Responsible Entity, without human operator initiation, of 300 MW or more.

- **4.1.2.2.** Each Remedial Action Scheme (RAS) where the RAS is subject to one or more requirements in a NERC or Regional Reliability Standard.
- **4.1.2.3.** Each Protection System (excluding UFLS and UVLS) that applies to Transmission where the Protection System is subject to one or more requirements in a NERC or Regional Reliability Standard.
- **4.1.2.4.** Each Cranking Path and group of Elements meeting the initial switching requirements from a Blackstart Resource up to and including the first interconnection point of the starting station service of the next generation unit(s) to be started.
- 4.1.3. Generator Operator
- 4.1.4. Generator Owner
- 4.1.5. Interchange Coordinator or Interchange Authority
- 4.1.6.4.1.5. Reliability Coordinator
- 4.1.7.4.1.6. Transmission Operator
- 4.1.8.4.1.7. Transmission Owner
- **4.2. Facilities:** For the purpose of the requirements in this standard contained herein, the following Facilities, systems, and equipment owned by each Responsible Entity in Section 4.1 above are those to which these requirements are applicable. For requirements in this standard where a specific type of Facilities, system, or equipment or subset of Facilities, systems, and equipment are applicable, these are explicitly specified. explicitly.
 - **4.2.1. Distribution Provider:** One or more of the following Facilities, systems and equipment owned by the Distribution Provider for the protection or restoration of the BES:
 - **4.2.1.1** Each UFLS or UVLS System that:
 - **4.2.1.1.1** is part of a Load shedding program that is subject to one or more requirements in a NERC or Regional Reliability Standard; and
 - **4.2.1.1.2** performs automatic Load shedding under a common control system owned by the Responsible Entity, without human operator initiation, of 300 MW or more.
 - **4.2.1.2** Each RAS where the RAS is subject to one or more requirements in a NERC or Regional Reliability Standard.

- **4.2.1.3** Each Protection System (excluding UFLS and UVLS) that applies to Transmission where the Protection System is subject to one or more requirements in a NERC or Regional Reliability Standard.
- **4.2.1.4** Each Cranking Path and group of Elements meeting the initial switching requirements from a Blackstart Resource up to and including the first interconnection point of the starting station service of the next generation unit(s) to be started.
- 4.2.2. Responsible Entities listed in 4.1 other than Distribution Providers:

All BES Facilities.

- **4.2.3. Exemptions:** The following are exempt from Standard CIP-005-76:
 - **4.2.3.1.** Cyber Assets at Facilities regulated by the Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission.
 - <u>4.2.3.2.</u> Cyber Assets associated with communication networks and data communication links between <u>BES Cyber Systems' Logical Isolation Zonesdiscrete Electronic Security Perimeters</u>.
 - **4.2.3.2.4.2.3.3.** Cyber Assets associated with communication networks and data communication links used to extend a Logical Isolation Zone to more than one geographic location.
 - 4.2.3.3.4.2.3.4. The systems, structures, and components that are regulated by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission under a cyber security plan pursuant to 10 C.F.R. Section 73.54.
 - **4.2.3.4.4.2.3.5.** For Distribution Providers, the systems and equipment that are not included in section 4.2.1 above.
 - 4.2.3.5.4.2.3.6. Responsible Entities that identify that they have no BES Cyber Systems categorized as high impact or medium impact according to the CIP-002-5 identification and categorization processes.

5. Effective Date:

See Implementation Plan for Project 2016 03CIP-005-7.

B. Requirements and Measures

- R1. Each Responsible Entity shall implement one or more documented processes to mitigate the risk posed by unauthorized communications to and from applicable systems -that collectively include each of the applicable requirement parts in CIP-005-76 Table R1 Logical Isolation Zones. These processes exclude consideration of time-sensitive protection or control functions between intelligent electronic devices (e.g., communications using protocol IEC TR-61850-90-5 R-GOOSE) Electronic Security Perimeter.
- **M1.** Evidence must include each of the applicable documented processes that collectively include each of the applicable requirement parts in CIP-005-76 Table R1 Logical Isolation Zones -Electronic Security Perimeter and additional Evidence to demonstrate implementation as described in the Measures column of the table.

| | CIP-005-6 Table R1 – Electronic Security Perimeter Logical Isolation Zones | | | | |
|------|--|---|--|--|--|
| Part | Applicable Systems | Requirements | Measures | | |
| 1.13 | Electronic Access Points for High Impact BES Cyber Systems Electronic Access Points for Medium Impact BES Cyber Systems | Have one or more methods to logically isolate applicable systems, either individually or as a group, by only allowing: 1.1.1. RequireCommunication that has documented inbound and outbound access permissions, including the reason for granting access; and, and deny all other access by default. 1.2.1. Serial port connectivity such as RS-232 and RS-485. | Evidence may include, but is not limited to, configuration of systems that enforce logical isolation such as network infrastructure configuration (ACL, VLAN, VXLAN, MPLS), compute configuration (e.g., Hypervisor, containers), storage system configuration (e.g., SAN, NAS, DAS). An example of evidence may include, but is not limited to, a list of rules (firewall, access control lists, etc.) that demonstrate that only permitted access is allowed and that each access rule has a documented reason. | | |
| | | in support of this Part becomes part of the Secure Configuration of the applicable system. | | | |

| | CIP-005-6 Table R1 – Electronic Security Perimeter Logical Isolation Zones | | | | |
|------|---|---|---|--|--|
| Part | Applicable Systems | Requirements | Measures | | |
| 1.2 | High Impact BES Cyber Systems and their associated PCS Medium Impact BES Cyber Systems and their associated PCS | Protect the data traversing communication networks used to provide connectivity between components of a Logically Isolated Zone that spans multiple geographic locations to preserve confidentiality and integrity. | Evidence may include, but is not limited to, architecture documents detailing the methods used to mitigate the risk of unauthorized disclosure. Examples include physical protection and the points where encryption initiates and terminates. | | |
| 1.34 | High Impact BES Cyber Systems with Dial-up Connectivity and their associated PCSA Medium Impact BES Cyber Systems with Dial-up Connectivity and their associated PCSA | Where technically feasible, Pperform authentication when establishing Dialup Connectivity with applicable Cyber Assets-per system capability. | An example of evidence may include, but is not limited to, a documented process that describes how the Responsible Entity is providing authenticated access through each dial-up connection. | | |
| 1.45 | Electronic Access Points for High Impact BES Cyber Systems and their associated PCS Electronic Access Points for Medium Impact BES Cyber Systems at Control Centers and their associated PCS | Have one or more methods for detecting known or suspected malicious inbound and outbound communications to and from applicable systems either individually or as grouped in Part 1.1, excluding serial port connectivity such as RS-232 and RS-485. | An example of eEvidence may include, but is not limited to, documentation that malicious communications detection methods (e.g. intrusion detection system, application layer firewall, privileged introspection, etc.) are implemented. | | |

- **R2.** Each Responsible Entity shall implement one or more documented processes to mitigate the risk posed by exploitation of Interactive Remote Access that collectively include the applicable requirement parts, where technically feasible, per system capability, in CIP-005-6 Table R2 –Remote Access Management.
- **M2.** Evidence must include the documented processes that collectively address each of the applicable requirement parts in *CIP-*005-6 Table R2 Remote Access Management and additional evidence to demonstrate implementation as described in the Measures column of the table.

| | CIP-005-76 Table R2 —Remote Access Management Remote Access Management | | | | |
|------|---|---|--|--|--|
| Part | Applicable Systems | Requirements | Measures | | |
| 2.1 | High Impact BES Cyber Systems and their associated ÷ PCSA Medium Impact BES Cyber Systems with External Routable Connectivity and their associated ÷ PCSA | Have one or more methods to For all Interactive ensure that Interactive Remote Access, to applicable systems is through an Intermediate System that is isolated from the BES Cyber System and restricts Interactive Remote Access to only authorized users, utilize an Intermediate System such that the Cyber Asset initiating Interactive Remote Access does not directly access an applicable Cyber Asset. | Examples of evidence may include, but are is not limited to, network diagrams or architecture documents. | | |
| 2.2 | High Impact BES Cyber Systems and their associated: PCS A Medium Impact BES Cyber Systems with External Routable Connectivity and their associated: | Have one or more methods to mitigate the risks posed by unauthorized modification and unauthorized disclosure of data during For all Interactive Remote Access sessions, that terminate at an Intermediate System utilize | An example of eEvidence may include, but is not limited to, architecture documents detailing the methods used to mitigate the risk of unauthoreized disclosure. Examples include illustrating where encryption initiates and terminates. | | |

CIP-005-76 — Cyber Security – Electronic Security Perimeter(s)

| | CIP-005-76 Table R2 — Remote Access Management Remote Access Management | | | | |
|------|---|---|--|--|--|
| Part | Applicable Systems | Requirements | Measures | | |
| | • _PCSA | encryption that terminates at an Intermediate System. | | | |
| 2.3 | High Impact BES Cyber Systems and their associate d: PCS | Have one or more methods to Rrequire multi-factor authentication for all Interactive Remote Access sessions. | An example of eEvidence may include, but is not limited to, architecture documents detailing the authentication factors used. | | |
| | Medium Impact BES Cyber Systems with External Routable Connectivity and their associated PCSA | | Examples of authenticators may include, but are not limited to, Something the individual knows such as passwords or PINs. This does not include User ID; Something the individual has such as tokens, digital certificates, or smart cards; or Something the individual is such as fingerprints, iris scans, or other biometric characteristics. | | |

Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 pt, Add space between paragraphs of the same style, Line spacing: single, No bullets or numbering, Don't keep with next

| | CIP-005- <u>76</u> Table R2 — Remote Access Management Remote Access Management | | | | |
|------|--|---|---|--|--|
| Part | Applicable Systems | Requirements | Measures | | |
| 2.4 | High Impact BES Cyber Systems and their associated : PCS A Medium Impact BES Cyber Systems with External Routable Connectivity and their associated : PCSA | Have one or more methods for determining active vendor remote access sessions (including Interactive Remote Access and system-to-system remote access). | Examples of eEvidence may include, but isare not limited to documented methods such as: • Methods for accessing logged information or monitoring information to determine active vendor remote access sessions; • Methods for monitoring activity (e.g. connection tables or rule hit counters in a firewall, or user activity monitoring) or open ports (e.g. netstat or related commands to display currently active ports) to determine active system to system remote access sessions; or • Methods that control vendor initiation of remote access such as requiring vendors to call and request calling and requesting a second factor toin order to initiate remote access. | | |

| | CIP-005-76 Table R2 —Remote Access Management Remote Access Management | | | | | |
|------|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Part | Applicable Systems | Requirements | Measures | | | |
| 2.5 | High Impact BES Cyber Systems and their associated PCS A Medium Impact BES Cyber Systems with External Routable Connectivity and their associated PCSA | Have one or more method(s) to disable active vendor remote access (including Interactive Remote Access and system-to-system remote access). | Examples of eEvidence may include, but are is not limited to, documentedion of the methods(s) used to disable active vendor remote access (including Interactive Remote Access and system-to-system remote access), such as: • Methods to disable vendor remote access at the applicable Electronic Access Point for system-to-system remote access; or • Methods to disable vendor Interactive Remote Access at the applicable Intermediate System. | | | |

Formatted: Add space between paragraphs of the same style, Line spacing: single, No bullets or numbering

Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, Add space between paragraphs of the same style, Line spacing: single, No bullets or numbering

R3. Each Responsible Entity shall implement one or more documented processes to mitigate the risk posed by unrestricted access for communication between the management plane.¹ and the data plane² that collectively include the applicable requirement parts, in CIP-005-6 Table R3 – Isolation of Management Plane and Data Plane.

¹ Management plane of a system is that element that configures, monitors, and provides management, monitoring and configuration services to, all layers of the network stack and other parts of the system.

² Data plane (sometimes known as the user plane, forwarding plane, carrier plane or bearer plane) is the part of a network that carries user traffic.

M3. Evidence must include the documented processes that collectively address each of the applicable requirement parts in *CIP-O05-6 Table R3* – Isolation of Management Plane and Data Plane and additional evidence to demonstrate implementation as described in the Measures column of the table.

| | CIP-005-6 Table R3 — Isolation of Management Plane and Data Plane | | | | |
|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Part | Applicable Systems | <u>Requirements</u> | <u>Measures</u> | | |
| 3.1 | High Impact BES Cyber Systems and their associated: 1. EACS 2. PCS Medium Impact BES Cyber Systems and their associated PCS: 1. EACS 2. PCS | Have one or more methods per system capability to: 1. Restrict access to the management plane; and 2. Logically isolate the management plane from the data plane. | An example of evidence may include but is not limited to documentation that includes the following: Configuration of systems that enforce authentication and logical isolation such as network infrastructure configuration (ACL, VLAN, VXLAN, MPLS), compute configuration (e.g. Hypervisor, containers), storage system configuration (e.g. SAN, NAS, DAS). | | |

C. Compliance

1. Compliance Monitoring Process

- **1.1. Compliance Enforcement Authority:** "Compliance Enforcement Authority" means NERC or the Regional Entity, or any entity as otherwise designated by an Applicable Governmental Authority, in their respective roles of monitoring and/or enforcing compliance with mandatory and enforceable Reliability Standards in their respective jurisdictions.
- 1.2. Evidence Retention: The following evidence retention period(s) identify the period of time an entity is required to retain specific evidence to demonstrate compliance. For instances where the evidence retention period specified below is shorter than the time since the last audit, the Compliance Enforcement Authority may ask an entity to provide other evidence to show that it was compliant for the full-time period since the last audit.

The applicable entity shall keep data or evidence to show compliance as identified below unless directed by its Compliance Enforcement Authority to retain specific evidence for a longer period of time as part of an investigation.

- Each applicable entity shall retain evidence of each requirement in this standard for three calendar years.
- If an applicable entity is found non-compliant, it shall keep information related to the non-compliance until mitigation is complete and approved or for the time specified above, whichever is longer.
- The CEA shall keep the last audit records and all requested and submitted subsequent audit records.
- 1.3. Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement Program: As defined in the NERC Rules of Procedure, "Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement Program" refers to the identification of the processes that will be used to evaluate data or information for the purpose of assessing performance or outcomes with the associated Reliability Standard.

D. Regional Variances None.

E. Associated Documents

None.

Version History

| Version | Date | Action | Change Tracking |
|---------|----------|---|---|
| version | Date | Action | Change Tracking |
| 1 | 1/16/06 | R3.2 — Change "Control Center" to "control center." | 3/24/06 |
| 2 | 9/30/09 | Modifications to clarify the requirements and to bring the compliance elements into conformance with the latest guidelines for developing compliance elements of standards. | |
| | | Removal of reasonable business judgment. Replaced the RRO with the RE as a responsible entity. | |
| | | Rewording of Effective Date. Changed compliance monitor to Compliance Enforcement Authority. | |
| 3 | 12/16/09 | Updated version number from -2 to -3 Approved by the NERC Board of Trustees. | |
| 3 | 3/31/10 | Approved by FERC. | |
| 4 | 12/30/10 | Modified to add specific criteria for Critical Asset identification. | Update |
| 4 | 1/24/11 | Approved by the NERC Board of Trustees. | Update |
| 5 | 11/26/12 | Adopted by the NERC Board of Trustees. | Modified to coordinate with other CIP standards and to revise format to use RBS Template. |
| 5 | 11/22/13 | FERC Order issued approving CIP-005-5. | |
| 6 | 07/20/17 | Modified to address certain directives in FERC Order No. 829. | Revised |
| 6 | 08/10/17 | Adopted by the NERC Board of Trustees. | |