

Meeting Agenda Project 2016-02 Modifications to CIP Standards Drafting Team Conference Gall

March 21, 2019

Administrative

1. Review NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines and Public Announcement¹

Jordan Mallory reminded the group of the Antitrust Compliance Guidelines and Public Announcment.

2. Roll Call

Jordan Mallory Completed roll call.

3. Introductions/Chair's remarks

Matt Hyatt thanked everyone for being on the phone and reminded observers that they are encouraged to be engaged with disucssions and drafting.

4. Review meeting agenda and objectives

Agenda Items

1. Outstanding Action Items – Review (Jordan Mallory)

Jordan Reviewed the outstanding action items. The CIP-003 SDT review has been extended for leadership to complete their review prior to sending to the team.

- 2. CIP-005 Requirement R1 Ad Hoc Group Working Session (Matt hyatt)
 - a. Do you agree with moving away from topology language within the requirements; allowing other types of security mechanisms to be allowed?

Jay Cribb provided an overview of the CIP-005 Requiement R1 Reduced Topology Approach document. Discussion was held around the newly proposed "Network Reachability" term and that in the virutalization world, network may be better replaced with device or system. There was a good amount of time spent on this discussion and the team decided to take a step back see if everyone agrees with the overall concept before tackling what the term should be.

Then discussion started around the term Reachability and if this word needs to be updated. The term access was suggested, but there needs to be a difference between the word reachability and accessibility. The team will continue to think on this before out next CIP meeting.

¹ See page 5.



Following the discussion of Newtwork Reachability, it was asked if the term "routable" is the correct word to use in the ERC definition. The team will continue discussion around this definition at a later date.

The team reviewed the proposed CIP-005 R1 modification. It was agreed that the requirement is in a place to develop our hypothetical Pinecone Power entity and put it to the test. A small ad hoc group (Jake Brown, Jay Cribb, Matt Hyatt, Robert Garcia, and John Helmen) was formed to develop Pinecone Power and address the items below.

- What type of utility (big (high/med.), little (lows) or both?)
- This will be used as an example to use against the CIP-005 R1 example.
- Need a sample architecture
- Dual centers?
- Super ESP
- Data diode
- Zero trust (extreme eg)
- Containers
- NERC Registration/Applicability (TOs/TOPs)

3. Updates

Jordan provided update for each item below.

- a. Case for Change White Paper Update (Tasha Ward/Matt Hyatt)
 - The CIP SDT will continue to firm up the Case for Change White Paper at its March 26-28, 2019 SDT in-person meeting. Tasha will clean the document up prior to the start of next weeks meeting.
- b. Elevator Speech Review/Discuss (Jordan Mallory)
 - The team received a couple of modified elevator speeches from observers and will review during our March 26 in-person SDTs meeting.
- c. V5TAG White Paper Reminder (Jordan Mallory)
 - The CIP SDT is currently reviewing the V5TAG white paper and any edits are due by March 26, 2019.
- d. Informal Virtualization Comment Response Document Touch Base (Jordan Mallory)
 - An email was sent to SDT members to vote on the "What We Heard" White Paper. Votes are due by March 26, 2019.
- e. CIP-002/CIP-003 Update (Jordan Mallory)



CIP-002 is a work in progress with the FAC SOL team reagarding the Criterion 2.6 and 2.9. There is a meeting on Friday, March 22 to determine a path forward and then to receive feedback rom both SDTs.

CIP-003 is being reviewed by CIP leadership and will be sent to the CIP SDT for review soon.

f. CIP SharePoint – Update (Jordan Mallory)

The CIP SharePoint site is still being cleaned up and active obserers will receive access within the next couple of weeks.

4. Outreach SDT Opportunities (Jordan Mallory)

- a. CIPC March 2019 Meeting (March 5-6, 2019) (Jim Fletcher)
- b. WECC April Workshop (Scott Klauminzer)
- c. April 9 NATF Task Force (Forrest Krigbaum)
- d. RF Workshop May 1, 2019 (Baltimore, MD) (Heather Morgan/Matt Hyatt)

5. Future In-person Meetings (Jordan Mallory)

- a. March 26–28, 2019 (San Antonio, TX CPS Energy)
- b. April 16–18, 2019 (Atlanta, GA NERC)
- c. May 21–23, 2019 (Taylor, TX ERCOT)
- d. June 25-27, 2019 (Atlanta, GA NERC)

6. Adjourn

<u>Action</u>					
<u>Action</u>	<u>Owner</u>	<u>Due Date</u>	Comments	<u>Status</u>	
SDT Review of V5TAG White Paper	CIP SDT	March 26, 2019		In-progress	
SDT Review of "What we Heard" Whitepaper from Virtualization Informal Posting	CIP SDT	March 14, 2014	 SDT to review and provide comments by March 14 call Ready for SDT to vote. Couple of edits received. 	In-progress	
CIP-002 IROL Ad Hoc Group	Mark Riley/Jay Cribb	March 22, 2019	Couple of CIP folks and FAC SOL folks are working together on the side to draft justification that the criterion 2.6 (regarding the IROL)	In-progress	



			is for long term planning and not short term. This draft will be sent to the bigger team upon completion of a proposal. Need Commission Date addressed before can move forward with additional ballot.	
Draft Case for Change White Paper	Jay Cribb, Forrest K., Jake Brown, Robert Garcia, Tasha Ward, Jim Fletcher, Jerry Freese	March 26, 2019	 Each person has been assigned their ownership topics. Focus at our March 26 meeting. Need to complete this and the CIP-005 R1 example to prepare for upcoming webinar and presentations. 	In-progress
Case for Change Editorial Review	Jerry Freese	April 5, 2019	One week to review and then to pass off to the SDT to read prior to our March in-person meeting	In-progress
CIP-003 Final Ballot review from SDT	CIP SDT	March 22, 2019	Review and ensure there are no edits needed before QR.	
CIP-003 Final Ballot – CIP SDT Approval to move to QR	CIP SDT	March 26, 2019	*note: depending on completion of connecting actions, this could be completed on or before March 26.	
CIP-003 QR	QR Team (NERC staff, couple of SC members, and Jerry)	April 5, 2019	Review changes made and submit comments to Jordan for the SDT to review.	
CIP-003 QR Review/edits/approval	CIP SDT	April 11, 2019	If approved during our Thursday call. We will	



	1		T	1
			post for a 10-day final	
			ballot.	
CIP-002 "Commissioning	Jordan	March 26,	SDT needs to talk	
upon" Phrase		2019	through this term.	
Pincone power	Jake Brown	March 26	Jake to set-up webex	
 What type of utility (big 	John Helme		for Friday, March 26.	
(high/med.), little (lows)	Jay Cribb			
or both?)	Matt Hyatt			
- This will be used as an	Robert G.			
example to use against				
the CIP-005 R1 example.				
- Need a sample				
architecture				
- Dual centers?				
- Super ESP				
- Data diode				
 Zero trust (extreme eg) 				
- Containers				
- NERC				
Registration/Applicability				
(TOs/TOPs)				

Parking Lot Items				
<u>Discussion Item</u> <u>Comments</u>				

Attachment 1 (March 21, 2019)					
Name	Company	Member/ Observer	Straw Vote (X)	Conference Call/Web (Y/N)	
Jay Cribb	Southern Company	Co-Chair		Υ	
Matthew Hyatt	Tennessee Valley Authority	Co-Chair		Y	



Attachment 1 (March 21, 2019) Conference Member/ Straw Vote (X) Call/Web Company Name **Observer** (Y/N) Steven Brain **Dominion Energy** Member Υ Υ Jake Brown **ERCOT** Member **Gerald Freese NIPSCO** Member Ν Scott Klauminzer Tacoma Public Utilities Member Ν Υ **Bonneville Power** Member Forrest Krigbaum Administration Heather Morgan **EDP Renewables** Member Υ Υ Mark Riley Calpine Member Jordan Mallory **NERC NERC Staff** Υ Marisa Hecht **NERC NERC Staff** Υ **NERC NERC Staff** Ν Shamai Elstein Lonnie Ratliff **NERC NERC Staff** Ν Michael Keane **FERC FERC** Υ Jorge Reig **FERC FERC** Ν **Bonneville Power PMOS** Ν Ken Lanehome Administration **PMOS** Υ Kirk Rosener **CPS Energy**



NERC Antitrust Guidelines

It is NERC's policy and practice to obey the antitrust laws and to avoid all conduct that unreasonably restrains competition. This policy requires the avoidance of any conduct that violates, or that might appear to violate, the antitrust laws. Among other things, the antitrust laws forbid any agreement between or among competitors regarding prices, availability of service, product design, terms of sale, division of markets, allocation of customers or any other activity that unreasonably restrains competition. It is the responsibility of every NERC participant and employee who may in any way affect NERC's compliance with the antitrust laws to carry out this commitment.

Disclaimer

Participants are reminded that this meeting is public. Notice of the meeting was posted on the NERC website and widely distributed. The notice included the number for dial-in participation. Participants should keep in mind that the audience may include members of the press and representatives of various governmental authorities, in addition to the expected participation by industry stakeholders.

NERC Standards Development Process-Participant Conduct Policy

http://www.nerc.com/pa/Stand/Documents/Standards%20Development%20Process-Participant%20Conduct%20Policy.pdf

NERC Email Listserv Policy

http://www.nerc.com/pa/Stand/Documents/Email%20Listserv%20Policy%2004012013.pdf