

Meeting Notes

Project 2018-04 Modifications to PRC-024-2 Standard Drafting Team

May 1, 2019 | Noon – 2:00 p.m. Eastern

[WebEx](#) | Dial-in: 415.655.0002 | Access Code: 736 679 497

Administrative

Mat Bunch reviewed the NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines and noted that the meeting was public. Quorum was achieved, as all members of the standard drafting team (SDT or team) were in attendance. The SDT chair, B. Burch, welcomed the members, thanked them for supporting the project, and reviewed the meeting objectives.

PRC-024-3 Voltage Clarifications Section

M. Bunch polled the team on the following question:

“Do you believe the Evaluating Protection Settings section of PRC-024 is either confusing or creates a reliability gap?”

Majority of the team members indicated that there is no confusion regarding the section, as indicated in the straw poll below. J. Billo raised the issue of whether or the section implies that an entity must conduct a study when demonstrating compliance with Requirement R2 (although a study is only one of several examples of evidence, as outlined in Measure M2).

R. Mujumder asked why the .95 lagging power factor is being imposed. Using .95 may make certain generating resources more likely to trip. J. Billo clarified that a study is not necessary to demonstrate compliance.

Y. Zhao stated that the purposes of the section is provide entities basic assumptions if they choose to use them and noted that some entities do use them and others other assumptions believed to be the most probable.

J. Billo suggested removing the section completed and noted that it contains Implementation Guidance language. Soo Jin Kim asserted that the section is not Implementation Guidance, as it does not list specific scenarios or address specific equipment. Further discussion was held around particular studies and the manner in which the section was used. M. Bunch stated that removing this section is not specified in the Standard Authorization Request and reminded the team that enough technical justification would be needed to make major modifications. The SDT agreed to table the discussion and address the topic if the comments reflect that it is a majority issue.

M. Bunch reviewed the project logistics, thanked the members in attendance, and adjourned the meeting at 1:16 p.m. Eastern.

Role	Name	Entity (Straw Vote)	Present?
Chair	S. Bryan Burch	Southern Company (N)	Y
Vice Chair	Jeff Billo	ERCOT (Y)	Y
Members	Louis Fonte	California ISO (N)	Y
	Noel Aubut	Hydro-Quebec (N)	Y
	Amir Mohammednur	Southern California Edison	
	Peter Wybierala	NextEra Energy	
	Tracy MacNicoll	Utility Services, Inc. (N)	Y
	Rajat Majumder	Siemens Gamesa (Y)	Y
	Yishan Zhao	Duke Energy (N)	Y
	Mark Kuras	PJM (N)	Y
	Gary Custer	SMA-America	Y
	John Anderson	Xcel Energy (N)	Y
PMOS Liaison	Linda Lynch	Florida Power & Light	
NERC Staff	Mat Bunch, Standards Developer	North American Electric Reliability Corp	Y
	Marisa Hecht, Legal	North American Electric Reliability Corp	Y
	Ryan Mauldin, Compliance	North American Electric Reliability Corp	Y
	Al McMeekin, Risk Issue Management	North American Electric Reliability Corp	Y