Unofficial Comment Form

Project 2007-06.2 Phase 2 of System Protection Coordination  
TOP-009-1

**DO NOT** use this form for submitting comments. Use the [electronic form](https://sbs.nerc.net/) to submit comments on draft one of **TOP-009-1 – Knowledge of Composite Protection Systems and Remedial Action Schemes and Their Effects**. The electronic form must be submitted by **8:00 p.m. Eastern, Friday, September 11, 2015.**

Documents and information about this project are available on the [project page](http://www.nerc.com/pa/Stand/Pages/Project-2007-06_2-System-Protection-Coordination.aspx). If you have questions, contact Standards Developer, [Scott Barfield-McGinnis](mailto:scott.barfield@nerc.net), (via email) or at (404) 446-9689.

## Background Information

This draft 1 posting is soliciting formal comment through a 45-day comment period and an initial ballot to be conducted in the last 10 days of the comment period.

**Phase 1 (2007-06)**

The System Protection Coordination Standard Drafting Team (SPCSDT) is creating a new results-based standard, PRC-027-1 to address coordination of Protection System performance during Faults This standard incorporates and clarifies the Protection System coordination aspects of Requirements R3 and R4 contained in PRC-001-1.1 that is proposed for complete retirement.

**Phase 2 (2007-06.2)**

Phase 2 is addressing the remaining Requirements R1, R2, R5, and R6 in PRC-001-1.1 that is proposed for complete retirement. See the Mapping Document for a complete explanation on how Requirement R1 is being addressed by TOP-009-1 (*Knowledge of Composite Protection Systems and Remedial Action Schemes and Their Effects*) and how the reliability objective of Requirements R2, R5, and R6 are addressed by TOP/IRO standards that are awaiting regulatory approval.

The proposed TOP-009-1 standard incorporates PRC-001-1.1(ii), Requirement R1 into individual requirements for the Balancing Authority, Generator Operator, and Transmission Operator. Splitting the three applicable entities from PRC-001-1.1(ii) into individual requirements by entity improves clarity concerning the reliability objective of each function.

## Questions

1. As the Transmission Operator, do you agree that TOP-009-1, Requirement R1 addresses the reliability need of the first requirement in the existing PRC-001-1.1(ii) for the Transmission Operator? If not, please explain why or why not.

Yes

No

Comments:

1. As the Balancing Authority, do you agree that TOP-009-1, Requirement R2 addresses the reliability need of the first requirement in the existing PRC-001-1.1(ii) for the Balancing Authority? If not, please explain why or why not.

Yes

No

Comments:

1. As the Generator Operator, do you agree that TOP-009-1, Requirement R3 addresses the reliability need of the first requirement in the existing PRC-001-1.1(ii) for the Generator Operator? If not, please explain why or why not.

Yes

No

Comments:

1. Do you agree with the proposed Violation Risk Factors (VRF) and Violation Severity Levels (VSL) for the proposed requirements? If not, please provide a basis for revising a VRF and/or what would improve the clarity of the VSLs.

Yes

No

Comments:

1. Does TOP-009-1, Application Guidelines provide sufficient guidance, basis for approach, and examples to support performance of the requirements? If not, please provide specific detail that would improve the Application Guidelines.

Yes

No

Comments:

1. Do you agree with implementation period (i.e., 12 months) of the proposed standard based on the considerations listed in the Implementation Plan? If not, please provide a justification for changing the proposed implementation period.

Yes

No

Comments:

1. Are you aware of any conflicts between the proposed standard and any regulatory function, rule, order, tariff, rate schedule, legislative requirement, or agreement? If so, please identify the conflict here.

Yes

No

Comments:

1. Are you aware of the need for a regional variance or business practice that should be considered with this project? If so, please identify it here.

Yes

No

Comments:

1. If you have any other comments on this Standard that you haven’t already mentioned above, please provide them here:

Comments: