Unofficial Comment Form

Project 2021-04 Modifications to PRC-002 – Phase II

**Do not** use this form for submitting comments. Use the [Standards Balloting and Commenting System (SBS)](https://sbs.nerc.net/) to submit comments on **Project** **2021-04 Modifications to PRC-002 – Phase II** by **8 p.m. Eastern, Friday, June 14, 2024.**

Additional information is available on the [project page](https://www.nerc.com/pa/Stand/Pages/Project-2021-04-Modifications-to-PRC-002-2.aspx). If you have questions, contact Senior Standards Developer, [Ben Wu](mailto:ben.wu@nerc.net) (via email), or at 470-542-6882.

## Background Information

This project will be completed in two phases. The first phase addressed the scope regarding notifications relative to the sequence of events recording (SER) and fault recording (FR) data, and to clearly identify the BES Element owners that need to have SER and FR data for transformers and transmission lines with the associated identified bus in the Glencoe Light and Power SAR.

The second phase will address gaps the Inverter-Based Resource Performance Task Force (IRPTF) identified within the PRC-002. The goal is to modify the requirements to ensure adequate data is available and periodically assessed to facilitate the analysis of BES disturbances, including in areas of the Bulk Power System (BPS) that may not be covered by the existing requirements.

## Questions

1. Do you agree with the modification in “**Applicability, Section 4.2. Facilities**” in PRC-028-1 to remove “Non-BES Inverter Based Resources …”?

Yes

No

Comments:

1. Do you agree with removing “**Inverter Based Resources**” and “**IBR Unit**” under Term(s) for Reliability Standards PRC-002-5 and PRC-028-1?

Yes

No

Comments:

1. Do you agree with the standard drafting team removing Requirement R9 in Reliability Standard PRC-028-1 and adding it to the Implementation Plan since it is more like a process, not a Requirement?

Yes

No

Comments:

1. Do you agree with the Implementation Plan for revised PRC-002-5 and new Standard PRC-028-1?

Yes

No

Comments:

1. Do you agree the modifications made in PRC-002-5 and new Standard PRC-028-1 are cost effective?

Yes

No

Comments:

1. Provide any additional comments for the standard drafting team to consider, if desired.

Comments: