

Meeting Notes

Project 2021-07 Extreme Cold Weather Grid Operations, Preparedness, and Coordination Standard Drafting Team

July 6, 2023 | 1:00 - 3:00 p.m. Eastern

Review NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines and Public Announcement

Alison Oswald, NERC staff, called attention to the NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines and the public meeting notice.

Roll Call and Determination of Quorum

A team roll call was taken and quorum was determined. The member attendance sheet is attached as attachment 1.

FAQ Document Review

The team reviewed a chart added by David Deerman illustrating the CAP requirements and declaration process. R1 and R6 were added to the illustration as the requirements have the ability to lead to a CAP. Clarifying language was added regarding implementation of CAP and notification to BA.

A question was raised about a statement in the FAQ specifying individual constraints for each plant/unit. The team discussed and determined it is not clear that this is required by the standard. The team removed references to “like units” so FAQ now states “declaration should be independent of other declarations of the same unit” to allow flexibility where same issue exists at multiple sites.

The team then discussed a question regarding clarification on “freezing” terminology. The team proposal to note that SDT plans to clarify that freezing means freezing water to ice and other forms of freezing. SDT will consider clarifying changes, not substantive, to address request for clarification and feedback from comment/ballot period. Next, a question on consideration of all types of precipitation was addressed. The SDT noted and agreed that the standard should only cover freezing precipitation.

Implementation Plan

Jill Loewer reviewed the comments received on the Implementation Plan which are summarized as follows: Results: Yes – 33, No – 24

No Voters Providing Comments Summary:

- Recommend 24 months for both Standards – 1 vote
- TOP-002: recommend 18 months – 6 votes
- Recommend 18 months for both Standards – 5 votes

- EOP-011: recommend 36 months – 3 votes
- Recommend 48 months – 1 vote
- At least 24 months up to 36 months – 1 vote

The SDT discussed and agreed that phased-in implementation plan is not desirable. EOP-011 comments noted that changes to UFLS program may require staggered approach for identification of changes and implementation of changes. Concerns were noted about the implementation timelines for R7 for DPs identified after initial performance. The current standard language may mean that DPs are required to implement on day one following notification. A proposal was made to change requirement language to specify implementation within 18 months of notification. The team decided to change timelines for EOP-011 R7 to 36 months. A strawman with language changes will be brought to SDT next meeting to address identified issues.

Next, comments from TOP-002 suggesting moving implementation timelines from 12 to 18 months were discussed. A team member commented that BAs have been aware of cold weather issues since 2012; should be able to adjust process to address known issues. On the contrary, it was noted that BAs may need additional data to perform process which could be used as justification for 18-month timeline. Team to review comments for supporting language.

Attachment 1

Name	Organization	7/6
Kenneth Luebbert	Evergy, Inc.	Y
Matthew Harward	Southwest Power Pool, Inc.	N
Venona Greaff	Oxy	Y
Derek Kassimer	ReliabilityFirst	N
Jonathan Davidson	City Utilities of Springfield	Y
David McRee	Duke Energy	Y
Thor Angle	Puget Sound Energy	Y
Keith Smith	Orsted Onshore North American	Y
Chad Wiseman	Newfoundland & Labrador Hydro	Y
Bradley Pabian	Louisville Gas & Electric and Kentucky Utilities	Y
Collin Martin	Oncor Electric Delivery, LLC	Y
Jill Loewer	Utility Services	Y
David Kezell	Electric Reliability Council of Texas, Inc. (ERCOT)	Y
Ryan Salisbury	Oklahoma Gas & Electric	Y
David Deerman	Southern Company Services	Y