

Meeting Notes

Project 2021-08 Modifications to FAC-008 Standard Drafting Team Meeting

November 15, 2022 | 1:00 – 3:00 p.m. Eastern

Introduction and Chair's Remarks

Chair J. Zukowski called the meeting to order at 1:00 p.m. Eastern. The Chair welcomed the Standard Drafting Team (SDT) and guests. See **Attachment 1** for those in attendance.

NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines and Public Announcement

The NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines, NERC Participant Conduct Policy, and the Public Announcement were presented and reviewed by the secretary.

Agenda Items

1. Prior Action Items

- a. The proposed agenda was reviewed and approved by unanimous consent.

2. Review Project Scope

The SDT reviewed and modified the items 1 and 2 in the scope and approach document.

1. Clarify the term “jointly owned” as it applies to FAC-008, and what information is required to be shared with neighboring entities. *(Kimberly, Sachna, Paul)*
 - a. Review the term within FAC-008 and define what it means in that context and identify what level of data sharing is required between entities
 - i. Modify language in FAC-008-5 to provide clarity
 1. Identify what level of data sharing is required between entities
 - ii. Propose modification(s) to the RSAW
 - iii. Add implementation guidance
 - iv. Possibly propose adjustments to CMEP
 - b. Found in MOD-028, PRC-004 and TPL-007: Term is used consistently amongst the other standards, but the same vagueness exists.
 - i. 2 subsections- A transmission line that has clear demarcation
 - ii. Then have a second sub-requirement that deals with “Jointly Owned” within facility.
 - iii. Resolution could be additions to the applicability section, footnote to define what is included under the “jointly owned”
 - iv. Ambiguity

1. Multiple Owners of Same Equipment:
 - a. How to ensure owners agree on equipment ratings?
 - b. Possible multiple FRMs for same piece of equipment.
 - c. Who is responsible for maintaining the ratings of equipment?
 - d. How do these ratings fit into overall Facility Rating?
 - e. Who is the official entity to coordinate these rating to other entities? Single Point of Contact?
 - f. Contractual obligations, common fix here.
 - g. Should the effort be on the coordination rather than the request?
 - h. Possibly utilize solely owned equipment and jointly owned equipment. Equipment owners can develop a process by which they will rate that equipment.
 2. Line of Demarcation/Electrically Connected:
 - a. How much rating information is needed for each entity to accurately maintain the facility?
 - b. R8-Does specify only the most limiting equipment of the facilities. GO cannot request the information via R8 if they do not apply to R2.
 - c. How do we document the burden of documentation for another entity?
 - d. Possibly modify R2 and R3 to include a process for gathering the most limiting element in this configuration.
 3. Hybrid of the above
Possible Solutions (not necessarily recommendations):
 - a. Add Supplemental Material within the standard for any needed clarification
 - b. Add additional subparts to requirements that dictate coordination efforts of jointly owned ratings in FRM
 - c. Define terms within standard
 - i. Use words to describe as opposed to defining as a term
 - ii. Foot Note
 - iii. Can use the Measures section to add clarity
2. Examine the appropriateness and effectiveness of Requirement 1 for the development of Facility Ratings information for Generation Facilities. (Steve)

- a. Review R1 and additional standards (IRO-010, MOD-025, MOD-032, TOP-003, and possibly others) to determine what specifically is redundant or overlapping to FAC-008.
 - i. Modify language in FAC-008-5 to provide clarity
 - ii. Propose modification(s) to the RSAW
 - iii. Add implementation guidance
- b. Ryan to look into facility modifications and how they are handled within the other standards.
- c. What type of modifications are considered, is there any gaps that would be created if R1 no longer existed?
 - i. How do we require equipment and Facility ratings to be based on “technically sound principles”?
- d. FAC-002 requires coordination and cooperation with the TP, MOD-032, TOP-003 and IRO-010 data specifications could be a way to request Ratings Changes for TOPs, RCs and BAs.
- e. There is a need for consistency in Facility Rating data across all of these standards, how do we achieve this?
 - i. Consider the need when modifying language in FAC-008
 - ii. MOD-032 allows the TP to come up with the data requirements

3. Other

- a. Next Meeting: November 29, 2022 | 1:00 – 3:00 p.m. Eastern
Other: None.

4. Adjournment

The Chair adjourned the meeting at 3:07 p.m. Eastern by consent.

Attachment 1

| Name | Company | Member/Observer | Date |
|--------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------|
| Ryan Stewart | NATF | Observer | 11/15/2022 |
| Ben Wu | NERC | Secretary | 11/15/2022 |
| Sachna Bobal | Hydro One | Member | 11/15/2022 |
| Joey Zukowski | PGE | Chair | 11/15/2022 |
| Toni Orth | USBR | Observer | 11/15/2022 |
| Robert Hirschak | Cleco | Observer | 11/15/2022 |
| Paul Luciani | Ameren | Member | 11/15/2022 |
| Ryan Walter | Tri-State GT | Vice Chair | 11/15/2022 |
| Kimberly Jursic | Southern Company | Member | 11/15/2022 |
| Dominique Thompson | NERC | NERC Staff | 11/15/2022 |
| Terrance Clingan | FERC | Observer | 11/15/2022 |
| Zoraini Rodriguez | PSEG | Observer | 11/15/2022 |
| Andrew Gallo | ERCOT | Observer | 11/15/2022 |
| John Zong | EPE Consultant | Member | 11/15/2022 |
| Mike Johnson | PGE | Observer | 11/15/2022 |
| Syed Ahmad | FERC | Observer | 11/15/2022 |
| Dave Krueger | SERC | Observer | 11/15/2022 |