

## Questions and Answers from the Industry Webinar

June 27, 2023

1. Could you please go over the implementation schedule?

MOD-032-2 Requirement R1 – two years after the approval

MOD-032-2 Requirements R2, R3, R4 – additional one year after R1

2. What if the TO can't get non-BES DER data from an unregistered DP?

The TO will need to establish agreements/processes with unregistered DPs to provide the data. The SDT views this as similar to how a TO obtains load data from the same DP. TOs and DPs (registered or not) should know what facilities (load and DER) are being connected to their systems.

3. My questions are:

Does the proposed standard allow the PC/TP to define a threshold below which DER modeling is not required?

NERC has recommended a zero MVA threshold for gathering DER information:

[https://www.nerc.com/comm/RSTC\\_Reliability\\_Guidelines/DERStudyReport.pdf](https://www.nerc.com/comm/RSTC_Reliability_Guidelines/DERStudyReport.pdf)

It is expected that PC/TP procedures may specify thresholds and technical justification for inclusion of DER models (or not) in any particular study – this issue is more aligned with the SAR for TPL-001 that will be addressed in the second phase of this project 2022-02.

Is the intent of the standard to model every DER, regardless of aggregate size or impact on each feeder?

The intent of MOD-032 is to ensure that appropriate data to model aggregate DER and its potential BES impacts is available for PC/TP assessments rather than local impacts on distribution feeders.