

Meeting Notes

Project 2022-03 Energy Assurance with Energy-Constrained Resources

March 2, 2023 | 12:00 – 2:00 p.m. Eastern

WebEx

Administrative

1. Introductions

The meeting was brought to order by the Chair, David Mulcahy, at 1:03 p.m. Eastern. The Chair provided the Standard Drafting Team (SDT) with opening remarks and welcomed members and guests.

2. Determination of Quorum

The rule for NERC SAR DT states that a quorum requires two-thirds of the voting members of the SARDT. Quorum was achieved as eleven of the fifteen members were present. See Attachment 1 for those in attendance.

3. NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines and Public Announcement

NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines and public announcement were reviewed by Dominique Love. There were no questions raised.

Agenda

1. Review of Meeting Notes from Previous Meetings

N/A

2. Discussion

- a. S. Elstein presented on the legal framework used to evaluate Standards as they are drafted. His overview focused on two main points from Section 215 of the Federal Powers Act; the definition of a reliability standard and the Savings Provision section. In summary, if the only thing a Corrective Action Plan (CAP) does is require an entity to build more capacity, then there is a chance that a court may conclude that is functionally equivalent to a reliability standard requiring someone to construct new capacity. If there are other options to address the energy shortfall via the CAP, then the Standard would not require the build of additional capacity.
- b. D. Mulcahy started discussion on how to start standard drafting. Drafting team started by reviewing TOP-002 as the BA is a functional entity in scope. D. Love proposed to look at the defined terms as a starting place. M. Kuras mentioned BAL-502-RF-3 and volunteered to use the standard as a good starting place for the planning time horizon. There were further team discussions about how to address both time horizons. D. Love mentioned that NERC would like to see the Operations time horizon completed first but would support the team in whichever decision the team makes. D. Lemmons commented that breaking into subgroups could be an option for the team.
- c. Drafting team voted to work in sub teams with the goal of each team work on their respective time horizon and at the in-person meeting, both teams will present their work.
- d. **Action Item Review**
 - a. D. Love to email two doodle polls where team members will respond to which horizon they're planning to focus on.
 - b. D. Love to email the BAL-502-RF-3 to all.

3. Future meeting(s)

- a. TBD

4. Adjourn

The meeting adjourned at 1:59 p.m. Eastern by consent.

Name	Company	Member/ Observer	Conference Call (Y/N)
David Mulcahy	Illuminate Power Analytics	Member	Y
Ruth Kloecker	ITC	Member	Y
Mike Knowland	New England ISO	Member	N
Julie Jin	ERCOT	Member	Y
John Stevenson	New York ISO	Member	Y
John Brewer	US Dept of Energy	Member	Y
Guillaume Tarel	Hydro Quebec	Member	Y
Layne Brown	WECC	Member	N
Mark Kuras	PJM	Member	Y
Philip Wiginton	TVA	Member	N
Brian Evans-Mongeon	Utility Services	Member	Y
Derek Hawkins	SPP	Member	Y
Sean Boyle	Constellation Energy	Member	N
Brent Duncan	Southern Company Services	Member	Y
Clyde Loutan	CAISO	Member	Y
Dominique Love	NERC	Developer	Y
Elsa Prince	NERC	Observer	N
Kiel Lyons	NERC	Observer	Y
Candice Castaneda	NERC	Observer	N
Shamai Elstein	NERC	Observer	Y
Mohamed Osman	NERC	Observer	Y
Ken Lanehome	BPA	Observer	Y

Name	Company	Member/ Observer	Conference Call (Y/N)
Joseph Gatten	Xcel Energy	Observer	Y