

## Comment Report

**Project Name:** NPCC Quebec Regional Variance | PRC-006-3  
Comment Period Start Date: 5/8/2017  
Comment Period End Date: 6/21/2017  
Associated Ballots:

There were 0 sets of responses, including comments from approximately 0 different people from approximately 0 companies representing 0 of the Industry Segments as shown in the table on the following pages.

## Questions

1. Do you agree the proposed standard/variance was developed in a fair and open process, using the associated Regional Reliability Standards Development Procedure?
2. Does the proposed standard/variance pose an adverse impact to reliability or commerce in a neighboring region or interconnection?
3. Does the proposed standard/variance pose a serious and substantial threat to public health, safety, welfare, or national security?
4. Does the proposed standard/variance pose a serious and substantial burden on competitive markets within the interconnection that is not necessary for reliability?
5. Does the proposed regional reliability standard/variance meet at least one of the following criteria?
  - The proposed standard/variance has more specific criteria for the same requirements covered in a continent-wide standard.
  - The proposed standard/variance has requirements that are not included in the corresponding continent-wide reliability standard.
  - The proposed regional difference is necessitated by a physical difference in the bulk power system.
6. Do you agree the development of PRC-006-3 met the “Open” criteria as outlined above? If “No”, please explain in the comment area below.
7. Do you agree the development of PRC-006-3 met the “Inclusive” criteria as outlined above? If “No”, please explain in the comment area below.
8. Do you agree the development of PRC-006-3 met the “Balanced” criteria as outlined above? If “No”, please explain in the comment area below.
9. Do you agree the development of PRC-006-3 met the “Due Process” criteria as outlined above? If “No”, please explain in the comment area below.
10. Do you agree the development of PRC-006-3 met the “Transparent” criteria as outlined above? If “No”, please explain in the comment area below.

<b>Organization Name</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Segment(s)</b>	<b>Region</b>	<b>Group Name</b>	<b>Group Member Name</b>	<b>Group Member Organization</b>	<b>Group Member Segment(s)</b>	<b>Group Member Region</b>
--------------------------	-------------	-------------------	---------------	-------------------	--------------------------	----------------------------------	--------------------------------	----------------------------

