Comment Report

**Project Name:** NPCC Quebec Regional Variance | PRC-006-3

**Comment Period Start Date:** 5/8/2017

**Comment Period End Date:** 6/21/2017

**Associated Ballots:**

There were 0 sets of responses, including comments from approximately 0 different people from approximately 0 companies representing 0 of the Industry Segments as shown in the table on the following pages.
Questions

1. Do you agree the proposed standard/variance was developed in a fair and open process, using the associated Regional Reliability Standards Development Procedure?

2. Does the proposed standard/variance pose an adverse impact to reliability or commerce in a neighboring region or interconnection?

3. Does the proposed standard/variance pose a serious and substantial threat to public health, safety, welfare, or national security?

4. Does the proposed standard/variance pose a serious and substantial burden on competitive markets within the interconnection that is not necessary for reliability?

5. Does the proposed regional reliability standard/variance meet at least one of the following criteria?
   - The proposed standard/variance has more specific criteria for the same requirements covered in a continent-wide standard.
   - The proposed standard/variance has requirements that are not included in the corresponding continent-wide reliability standard.
   - The proposed regional difference is necessitated by a physical difference in the bulk power system.

6. Do you agree the development of PRC-006-3 met the “Open” criteria as outlined above? If “No”, please explain in the comment area below.

7. Do you agree the development of PRC-006-3 met the “Inclusive” criteria as outlined above? If “No”, please explain in the comment area below.

8. Do you agree the development of PRC-006-3 met the “Balanced” criteria as outlined above? If “No”, please explain in the comment area below.

9. Do you agree the development of PRC-006-3 met the “Due Process” criteria as outlined above? If “No”, please explain in the comment area below.

10. Do you agree the development of PRC-006-3 met the “Transparent” criteria as outlined above? If “No”, please explain in the comment area below.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization Name</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Segment(s)</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Group Name</th>
<th>Group Member Name</th>
<th>Group Member Organization</th>
<th>Group Member Segment(s)</th>
<th>Group Member Region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>