Comment Report

Project Name:Regional Reliability Standard (SERC) | PRC-006-SERC-03Comment Period Start Date:8/25/2021

Comment Period End Date: 10/8/2021

Associated Ballots:

There were 6 sets of responses, including comments from approximately 27 different people from approximately 16 companies representing 5 of the Industry Segments as shown in the table on the following pages.

Questions

1. Do you agree the proposed Regional Reliability Standard was developed in a fair and open process, using the associated Regional Reliability Standards Development Procedure?

2. Does the proposed Regional Reliability Standard pose an adverse impact to reliability or commerce in a neighboring region or interconnection?

3. Does the proposed Regional Reliability Standard pose a serious and substantial threat to public health, safety, welfare, or national security?

4. Does the proposed Regional Reliability Standard pose a serious and substantial burden on competitive markets within the interconnection that is not necessary for reliability?

5. Does the proposed Regional Reliability Standard meet at least one of the following criteria?

- The proposed Regional Reliability Standard has more specific criteria for the same requirements covered in a continent-wide standard.
- The proposed Regional Reliability Standard has requirements that are not included in the corresponding continent-wide standard.
- The proposed regional difference is necessitated by a physical difference in the Bulk Power System.

Organization Name	Name	Segment(s)	Region	Group Name	Group Member Name	Group Member Organization	Group Member Segment(s)	Group Member Region
Duke Energy	Kim Thomas	1,3,5,6	FRCC,RF,SERC,Texas RE	Duke Energy	Laura Lee	Duke Energy	1	SERC
					Dale Goodwine	Duke Energy	5	SERC
					Greg Cecil	Duke Energy	6	RF
	Pamela 1, Hunter	1,3,5,6	SERC	Southern Company	Matt Carden	Southern Company - Southern Company Services, Inc.	1	SERC
					Joel Dembowski	Southern Company - Alabama Power Company	3	SERC
					Ron Carlsen	Southern Company - Southern Company Generation	6	SERC
					Jim Howell	Southern Company - Southern Company Services, Inc. - Gen	5	SERC
Associated Electric Cooperative, Inc.	Todd Bennett	1,3,5,6		AECI	Michael Bax	Central Electric Power Cooperative (Missouri)	1	SERC
					Adam Weber	Central Electric Power Cooperative (Missouri)	3	SERC
					Stephen Pogue	M and A Electric Power Cooperative	3	SERC
					William Price	M and A Electric Power Cooperative	1	SERC
					Peter Dawson	Sho-Me Power Electric Cooperative	1	SERC

	Mark Ramsey	N.W. Electric Power Cooperative, Inc.	1	NPCC
	John Stickley	NW Electric Power Cooperative, Inc.	3	SERC
	Tony Gott	KAMO Electric Cooperative	3	SERC
	Micah Breedlove	KAMO Electric Cooperative	1	SERC
	Kevin White	Northeast Missouri Electric Power Cooperative	1	SERC
	Skyler Wiegmann	Northeast Missouri Electric Power Cooperative	3	SERC
	Ryan Ziegler	Associated Electric Cooperative, Inc.	1	SERC
	Brian Ackermann	Associated Electric Cooperative, Inc.	6	SERC
	Brad Haralson	Associated Electric Cooperative, Inc.	5	SERC

1. Do you agree the proposed Regional Reliability Standard was developed in a fair and open process, using the associated Regional Reliability Standards Development Procedure?			
Fodd Bennett - Associated Electric Cooperative, Inc 1,3,5,6, Group Name AECI			
Answer	Yes		
Document Name			
Comment			
Likes 0			
Dislikes 0			
Response			
Richard Gilbert - Florida Reliability Coord	dinating Council – Member Services Division - 8 - SERC		
Answer	Yes		
Document Name			
Comment			
Likes 0			
Dislikes 0			
Response			
Kim Thomas - Duke Energy - 1,3,5,6 - SE	RC,RF, Group Name Duke Energy		
Answer	Yes		
Document Name			
Comment			
Likes 0			
Dislikes 0			
Response			
Dennis Chastain - Tennessee Valley Authority - 1,3,5,6 - SERC			
Answer	Yes		

Document Name				
Comment				
Likes 0				
Dislikes 0				
Response				
Pamela Hunter - Southern Company - So	uthern Company Services, Inc 1,3,5,6 - SERC, Group Name Southern Company			
Answer	Yes			
Document Name				
Comment				
Likes 0				
Dislikes 0				
Response				
Carl Pineault - Hydro-Qu?bec Production	า - 1,5			
Answer				
Document Name				
Comment				
Hydro-Quebec Production reviewed the current project and have no comments since Hydro-Quebec is not part of the SERC				
Likes 0				
Dislikes 0				
Response				

2. Does the proposed Regional Reliability Standard pose an adverse impact to reliability or commerce in a neighboring region or interconnection?

Pamela Hunter - Southern Company - Southern Company Services, Inc 1,3,5,6 - SERC, Group Name Southern Company		
Answer	No	
Document Name		
Comment		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Dennis Chastain - Tennessee Valley Auth	pority - 1 3 5 6 - SERC	
Answer	No	
Document Name		
Comment		
Comment		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Kim Thomas Duka Energy 1256 SE		
Kim Thomas - Duke Energy - 1,3,5,6 - SERC,RF, Group Name Duke Energy		
Answer	No	
Document Name		
Comment		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Richard Gilbert - Florida Reliability Coordinating Council – Member Services Division - 8 - SERC		
Answer	No	

Document Name		
Comment		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Todd Bennett - Associated Electric Coop	perative, Inc 1,3,5,6, Group Name AECI	
Answer	No	
Document Name		
Comment		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Carl Pineault - Hydro-Qu?bec Production	n - 1,5	
Answer		
Document Name		
Comment		
Hydro-Quebec Production reviewed the current project and have no comments since Hydro-Quebec is not part of the SERC		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		

3. Does the proposed Regional Reliability Standard pose a serious and substantial threat to public health, safety, welfare, or national security?

Todd Bennett - Associated Electric Cooperative, Inc 1,3,5,6, Group Name AECI			
Answer	No		
Document Name			
Comment			
Likes 0			
Dislikes 0			
Response			
Richard Gilbert - Florida Reliability Coord	dinating Council – Member Services Division - 8 - SERC		
Answer	No		
Document Name			
Comment			
Likes 0			
Dislikes 0			
Response			
Kim Thomas - Duke Energy - 1,3,5,6 - SE	RC,RF, Group Name Duke Energy		
Answer	No		
Document Name			
Comment			
Likes 0			
Dislikes 0			
Response			
Dennis Chastain - Tennessee Valley Aut	Dennis Chastain - Tennessee Valley Authority - 1,3,5,6 - SERC		
Answer	No		

Document Name				
Comment				
Likes 0				
Dislikes 0				
Response				
Pamela Hunter - Southern Company - So	uthern Company Services, Inc 1,3,5,6 - SERC, Group Name Southern Company			
Answer	No			
Document Name				
Comment				
Likes 0				
Dislikes 0				
Response				
Carl Pineault - Hydro-Qu?bec Production	า - 1,5			
Answer				
Document Name				
Comment				
Hydro-Quebec Production reviewed the current project and have no comments since Hydro-Quebec is not part of the SERC				
Likes 0				
Dislikes 0				
Response				

4. Does the proposed Regional Reliability Standard pose a serious and substantial burden on competitive markets within the interconnection that is not necessary for reliability?

Pamela Hunter - Southern Company - Southern Company Services, Inc 1,3,5,6 - SERC, Group Name Southern Company		
Answer	No	
Document Name		
Comment		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Dennis Chastain - Tennessee Valley Auth	nority - 1,3,5,6 - SERC	
Answer	No	
Document Name		
Comment		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Kim Thomas - Duke Energy - 1,3,5,6 - SERC,RF, Group Name Duke Energy		
Answer	No	
Document Name		
Comment		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Richard Gilbert - Florida Reliability Coord	dinating Council – Member Services Division - 8 - SERC	
Answer	No	

Document Name		
Comment		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Todd Bennett - Associated Electric Coop	perative, Inc 1,3,5,6, Group Name AECI	
Answer	No	
Document Name		
Comment		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Carl Pineault - Hydro-Qu?bec Production	n - 1,5	
Answer		
Document Name		
Comment		
Hydro-Quebec Production reviewed the current project and have no comments since Hydro-Quebec is not part of the SERC		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		

5. Does the proposed Regional Reliability Standard meet at least one of the following criteria?

- The proposed Regional Reliability Standard has more specific criteria for the same requirements covered in a continent-wide standard.
- The proposed Regional Reliability Standard has requirements that are not included in the corresponding continent-wide standard.
- The proposed regional difference is necessitated by a physical difference in the Bulk Power System.

Todd Bennett - Associated Electric Cooperative, Inc 1,3,5,6, Group Name AECI		
Answer	Yes	
Document Name		
Comment		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Richard Gilbert - Florida Reliability Coord	dinating Council – Member Services Division - 8 - SERC	
Answer	Yes	
Document Name		
Comment		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Kim Thomas - Duke Energy - 1,3,5,6 - SE	RC,RF, Group Name Duke Energy	
Answer	Yes	
Document Name		
Comment		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		

Dennis Chastain - Tennessee Valley Authority - 1,3,5,6 - SERC		
Yes		
outhern Company Services, Inc 1,3,5,6 - SERC, Group Name Southern Company		
Yes		
on - 1,5		
Hydro-Quebec Production reviewed the current project and have no comments since Hydro-Quebec is not part of the SERC		