Comment Report

There were 10 sets of responses, including comments from approximately 12 different people from approximately 11 companies representing 6 of the Industry Segments as shown in the table on the following pages.

Questions

1. Do you agree the proposed Regional Reliability Standard was developed in a fair and open process, using the associated Regional Reliability Standards Development Procedure?

2. Does the proposed Regional Reliability Standard pose an adverse impact to reliability or commerce in a neighboring region or interconnection?

3. Does the proposed Regional Reliability Standard pose a serious and substantial threat to public health, safety, welfare, or national security

4. Does the proposed Regional Reliability Standard pose a serious and substantial burden on competitive markets within the interconnection that is not necessary for reliability?

5. Does the proposed Regional Reliability Standard meet at least one of the following criteria?

- The proposed Regional Reliability Standard has more specific criteria for the same requirements covered in a continent-wide standard.
- The proposed Regional Reliability Standard has requirements that are not included in the corresponding continent-wide standard.
- The proposed regional difference is necessitated by a physical difference in the Bulk Power System.

Organization Name	Name	Segment(s)	Region	Group Name	Group Member Name	Group Member Organization	Group Member Segment(s)	Group Member Region
Southwest Power Pool, Inc. (RTO)	Shannon Mickens	2	MRO,SPP RE,WECC	SPP RTO	Shannon Mickens	Southwest Power Pool Inc.	2	MRO
					Alan Wahlstrom	Southwest Power Pool Inc.	2	WECC

1. Do you agree the proposed Regional Reliability Standard was developed in a fair and open process, using the associated Regional Reliability Standards Development Procedure?			
Aatthew Jaramilla - Salt River Project - NA - Not Applicable - WECC			
Answer	Yes		
Document Name			
Comment			
No comments.			
Likes 0			
Dislikes 0			
Response			
Alyssia Rhoads - Public Utility District No	o. 1 of Snohomish County - 1,4,5		
Answer	Yes		
Document Name			
Comment			
No designation as to yes or no. Insufficient i NERC. Feedback regarding consideration o	nformation to conclude one way or another, a comment period was provided for the proposed changes by f comments provided is expected.		
No designation as to yes or no. Insufficient i NERC. Feedback regarding consideration o Likes 0	nformation to conclude one way or another, a comment period was provided for the proposed changes by f comments provided is expected.		
NERC. Feedback regarding consideration o	nformation to conclude one way or another, a comment period was provided for the proposed changes by f comments provided is expected.		
NERC. Feedback regarding consideration o	nformation to conclude one way or another, a comment period was provided for the proposed changes by f comments provided is expected.		
NERC. Feedback regarding consideration o Likes 0 Dislikes 0	nformation to conclude one way or another, a comment period was provided for the proposed changes by f comments provided is expected.		
NERC. Feedback regarding consideration o Likes 0 Dislikes 0	f comments provided is expected.		
NERC. Feedback regarding consideration of Likes 0 Dislikes 0 Response	f comments provided is expected.		
NERC. Feedback regarding consideration of Likes 0 Dislikes 0 Response Daniela Atanasovski - APS - Arizona Pub	f comments provided is expected.		
NERC. Feedback regarding consideration of Likes 0 Dislikes 0 Response Daniela Atanasovski - APS - Arizona Pub Answer	f comments provided is expected.		
NERC. Feedback regarding consideration of Likes 0 Dislikes 0 Response Daniela Atanasovski - APS - Arizona Pub Answer Document Name	f comments provided is expected.		
NERC. Feedback regarding consideration of Likes 0 Dislikes 0 Response Daniela Atanasovski - APS - Arizona Pub Answer Document Name	f comments provided is expected.		
NERC. Feedback regarding consideration of Likes 0 Dislikes 0 Response Daniela Atanasovski - APS - Arizona Pub Answer Document Name Comment	f comments provided is expected.		

Answer	Yes	
Document Name		
Comment		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Donna Wood - Tri-State G an	Γ Association, Inc 1,3,5	
Answer	Yes	
Document Name		
Comment		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
	rporation - 1,3,5,6 - MRO,WECC	
Answer	Yes	
Document Name		_
Comment		
Likes 0 Dislikes 0		
Response		
Cain Braveheart - Bonneville Power Administration - 1,3,5,6 - WECC		
Answer	Yes	
Document Name		
Comment		

Likes 0			
Dislikes 0			
Response			
Richard Jackson - U.S. Bureau of Reclar	nation - 1,5		
Answer	Yes		
Document Name			
Comment			
Likes 0			
Dislikes 0			
Response			
Shannon Mickens - Southwest Power Po	ool, Inc. (RTO) - 2 - MRO,WECC, Group Name SPP RTO		
Answer	Yes		
Document Name			
Comment			
Likes 0			
Dislikes 0			
Response			
Carl Pineault - Hydro-Qu?bec Production	n - 1,5		
Answer			
Document Name			
Comment	Comment		
Hydro-Quebec Production as GO/GOP at NPCC has no comments.			
Likes 0			
Dislikes 0			
Response			

2. Does the proposed Regional Reliability Standard pose an adverse impact to reliability or commerce in a neighboring region or	
interconnection?	

Matthew Jaramilla - Salt River Project - NA - Not Applicable - WECC			
Answer			
Document Name			
Comment			
Comment			
No comments.			
Likes 0			
Dislikes 0			
Response			
Shannon Mickens - Southwest Power Po	ool, Inc. (RTO) - 2 - MRO,WECC, Group Name SPP RTO		
Answer	No		
Document Name			
Comment			
Likes 0			
Dislikes 0			
Response			
Richard Jackson - U.S. Bureau of Reclar	nation - 1,5		
Answer	No		
Document Name			
Comment			
Likes 0			
Dislikes 0			
Response			
Cain Braveheart - Bonneville Power Administration - 1,3,5,6 - WECC			

Answer	No			
Document Name				
Comment				
Likes 0				
Dislikes 0				
Response				
Jennifer Malon - Black Hills Corporation	- 1,3,5,6 - MRO,WECC			
Answer	No			
Document Name				
Comment				
Likes 0				
Dislikes 0				
Response				
Donna Wood - Tri-State G and T Associa	tion, Inc 1,3,5			
Answer	No			
Document Name				
Comment				
Likes 0				
Dislikes 0				
Response				
Jessica Turk - Avista - Avista Corporation - NA - Not Applicable - WECC				
Answer	No			
Document Name				
Comment				
Likes 0				

Dislikes 0					
Response					
Daniela Atanasovski - APS - Arizona Pub	Daniela Atanasovski - APS - Arizona Public Service Co 1,3,5,6				
Answer	No				
Document Name					
Comment					
Likes 0					
Dislikes 0					
Response					
Alyssia Rhoads - Public Utility District N	o. 1 of Snohomish County - 1,4,5				
Answer	Yes				
Document Name					
Comment					
The adverse impact to reliability and commercial operation is taking additional time away from SMEs (that could otherwise be spent on entity reliability projects), to categorize and discuss the new proposed categories in Attachment A Section 2 Maintenance. I expect utilities to continue with their existing work practices. There appears to be redundant categories with the proposed two additional categories in Attachment A Section 2 Maintenance. As it applies to Section C, M3 1.2, language and specificity is very important in interpreting applicability in which to achieve the intended and desired results being set forth in FAC-501. There is potential variation in interpretation of the proposed language. I provided a suggested edit I believe provides additional clarity.					
Likes 0					
Dislikes 0					
Response					
Carl Pineault - Hydro-Qu?bec Production - 1,5					
Answer					
Document Name					
Comment					
Hydro-Quebec Production as GO/GOP at NPCC has no comments.					
Likes 0					

Dislikes 0	
Response	

3. Does the proposed Regional Reliability Standard pose a serious and substantial threat to public health, safety, welfare, or national security				
Matthew Jaramilla - Salt River Project - NA - Not Applicable - WECC				
Answer	No			
Document Name				
Comment				
No comments.				
Likes 0				
Dislikes 0				
Response				
Alyssia Rhoads - Public Utility District No	o. 1 of Snohomish County - 1,4,5			
Answer	No			
Document Name				
Comment				
the BES. Compliance and reliability are not	n in time spent addressing reliability issues, increases the probability for a non-desired event occurring on the same thing. Compliance in an internal control mechanism to document business decisions and work assurance the utility is following good work practices to prevent and/or limit impacts that occur due to an			
Likes 0				
Dislikes 0				
Response				
Daniela Atanasovski - APS - Arizona Public Service Co 1,3,5,6				
Answer	No			
Document Name				
Comment				
Likes 0				
Dislikes 0				
Response				

	N L	
Answer	No	
Document Name		
Comment		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Donna Wood - Tri-State G and	Association, Inc 1,3,5	
Answer	No	
Document Name		
Comment		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Jennifer Malon - Black Hills Co	rporation - 1,3,5,6 - MRO,WECC	
Answer	No	
Document Name		
Comment		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Cain Braveheart - Bonneville Power Administration - 1,3,5,6 - WECC		
Answer	No	
Document Name		

Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Richard Jackson - U.S. Bureau of Reclar		
Answer	No	
Document Name		
Comment		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Shannon Mickens - Southwest Power Po	ool, Inc. (RTO) - 2 - MRO,WECC, Group Name SPP RTO	
Answer	No	
Document Name		
Comment		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Carl Pineault - Hydro-Qu?bec Production	n - 1,5	
Answer		
Document Name		
Comment		
Hydro-Quebec Production as GO/GOP at NPCC has no comments.		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		

4. Does the proposed Regional Reliability Standard pose a serious and substantial burden on competitive markets within the interconnection that is not necessary for reliability?		
Matthew Jaramilla - Salt River Project - N	IA - Not Applicable - WECC	
Answer	No	
Document Name		
Comment		
No comments.		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Shannon Mickens - Southwest Power Po	ool, Inc. (RTO) - 2 - MRO,WECC, Group Name SPP RTO	
Answer	No	
Document Name		
Comment		
	-	
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Richard Jackson - U.S. Bureau of Reclar	nation - 1,5	
Answer	No	
Document Name		
Comment		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Cain Braveheart - Bonneville Power Administration - 1,3,5,6 - WECC		

Answer	No	
Document Name		
Comment		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Jennifer Malon - Black Hills Corporation	- 1,3,5,6 - MRO,WECC	
Answer	No	
Document Name		
Comment		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Donna Wood - Tri-State G and T Associa	tion, Inc 1,3,5	
Answer	No	
Document Name		
Comment		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Jessica Turk - Avista - Avista Corporation - NA - Not Applicable - WECC		
Answer	No	
Document Name		
Comment		
Likes 0		

Dislikes 0		
Response		
Daniela Atanasovski - APS - Arizona Public Service Co 1,3,5,6		
Answer	No	
Document Name		
Comment		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Alyssia Rhoads - Public Utility District N	o. 1 of Snohomish County - 1,4,5	
Answer	Yes	
Document Name		
Comment		
Yes, time and resources impact the operating budgets and staff time burden in competitive markets. The new proposed language (added categories) could add additional burden in determining which category a maintenance methodology/work practice falls in to. The new categories potentially introduce inconsistencies across utilities, for a same/similar maintenance methodology/work practice, which would require additional correspondence with EROs about which category to define the Utility's maintenance methodology/work practices fall in to. This would lead to additional burden on EROs to implement. As it applies to Attachment A, Section 2 Maintenance, the proposed added categories are redundant and can be combined. Recommend providing greater specificity/guidance in the existing categories, i.e.:		
1. OEM manufacture based maintenance w	ould fall under the time based approach.	
2. Condition Based (a combo of health assessment and the way in which the equipment is operated) is the same as risk based, consider combining versus a separate method, if there is a desire to provide parameters of what defines condition based maintenance then put the specifics under the condition based category section.		
Again, as it applies to Section C, M3 1.2, language and specificity is very important in interpreting applicability in which to achieve the intended and desired results being set forth in NERC FAC-501. There is potential variation in interpretation of the proposed language. I provided a suggested edit I believe provides additional clarity.		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		

Carl Pineault - Hydro-Qu?bec Production - 1,5

Answer	
Document Name	
Comment	
Hydro-Quebec Production as GO/GOP at NPCC has no comments.	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	

5. Does the proposed Regional Reliability Standard meet at least one of the following criteria?

- The proposed Regional Reliability Standard has more specific criteria for the same requirements covered in a continent-wide standard.
- The proposed Regional Reliability Standard has requirements that are not included in the corresponding continent-wide standard.
- The proposed regional difference is necessitated by a physical difference in the Bulk Power System.

Matthew Jaramilla - Salt River Project - NA - Not Applicable - WECC		
Answer	Yes	
Document Name		
Comment		
No comments.		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Alyssia Rhoads - Public Utility District No. 1 of Snohomish County - 1,4,5		
Answer	Yes	
Document Name		
Comment		
The proposed Regional Reliability S	Standard has more specific criteria for the same requirements covered in a continent-wide standard.	
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Daniela Atanasovski - APS - Arizona Pub	lic Service Co 1,3,5,6	
Answer	Yes	
Document Name		
Comment		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		

Jessica Turk - Avista - Avista Corporation - NA - Not Applicable - WECC	
Answer	Yes
Document Name	
Comment	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Donna Wood - Tri-State G and T Associa	tion, Inc 1,3,5
Answer	Yes
Document Name	
Comment	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Jennifer Malon - Black Hills Corporation	- 1,3,5,6 - MRO,WECC
Answer	Yes
Document Name	
Comment	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Cain Braveheart - Bonneville Power Administration - 1,3,5,6 - WECC	
Answer	Yes
Document Name	
Comment	

Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Richard Jackson - U.S. Bureau of Reclamation - 1,5		
Answer	Yes	
Document Name		
Comment		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Shannon Mickens - Southwest Power Pool, Inc. (RTO) - 2 - MRO,WECC, Group Name SPP RTO		
Answer	Yes	
Document Name		
Comment		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Carl Pineault - Hydro-Qu?bec Production	n - 1,5	
Answer		
Document Name		
Comment		
Hydro-Quebec Production as GO/GOP at NPCC has no comments.		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		