

### Response to Comments Posting 1—45-Day at NERC August 16 through September 29, 2023

### WECC-0148 VAR-501-WECC-4 Power System Stabilizer Information Only Filing

# Posting 1-45-Day NERC

The WECC-0148 VAR-501-WECC-4, Power System Stabilizer Drafting Team (DT) thanks everyone who submitted comments on the proposed project. WECC-0148 is an information-only filing proposing no Substantive changes.<sup>1</sup>

# Posting

This project was posted for comment by NERC from August 16, 2023, through September 29, 2023.

NERC distributed notice for the posting on August 16, 2023.

NERC asked stakeholders to provide feedback on the proposed project through a standardized electronic template.

NERC reported there "were 9 sets of responses, including comments from approximately 14 different people from approximately 9 companies representing 4 of the Industry Segments."

After review of the NERC-provided document, WECC found responses from seven organizations (some with member organization subcomponents), and 13 persons identified in the following Table of Respondents.

# **Location of Comments**

All comments provided to WECC by NERC can be reviewed in their original format on the WECC-0148 project page under the "Submit and Review Comments" accordion.

# **Changes in Response to Comment**

After consideration of all comments received, no further changes were made to this project.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The terms Substantive and Non-Substantive are defined terms found in the WECC Relibaility Standards Development Procedures.

https://www.wecc.org/Reliability/WECC%20Reliability%20Standards%20Development%20Procedures%20-%20FERC%20Approved%2009-13-2021.pdf

# **Minority View**

No minority views were raised.

### **Proposed Effective Date**

The proposed Standard can be implemented immediately upon receipt of final regulatory approval.

### Information Only-No Substantive Changes

This project represents an "Information Only" filing with no Substantive changes.

Per the WECC Relibaility Standards Development Procedures (Procedures), if no Substantive changes are requested to a Regional Standard, no further due process is required. Specifically, WECC Board of Directors (Board) approval is not required.

On July 11, 2022, a list<sup>2</sup> of proposed Non-Substantive changes was distributed to the Standards Email List (SEL) inviting comments or concerns to be forwarded to WECC Standards staff. A redline and a clean version of the project was posted on the WECC-0148, Posting 1 for Comment accordion. No comments were received.

On July 16, 2022, WECC posted a letter<sup>3</sup> to the WSC informing the WSC of its scope and authority to address an information only filing. The letter was published to the WECC-0148 home page on the Posting 1 for Comment accordion.

On December 6, 2022, during a duly noticed WSC meeting, the WSC reviewed the letter from July 16, 2022, and was briefed on the WSC's Procedural authority to approve the project with no further due process, so long as all changes were deemed Non-Substantive.

The WSC concurred<sup>4</sup> that all proposed changes were Non-Substantive. Because the proposed changes are all Non-Substantive, the WSC also concurred that neither a posting for comment, ballot, Board approval, nor an Implementation Plan were required per the Procedures.

# **Table of Respondents**

	Organization	
1	ACES Power Marketing (ACES)	Bob Soloman, Jodirah Green, Kris Carper
2	Arizona Public Service Company (APS)	Daniel Atanasovski
3	Avista Corporation	Glen Farmer, Mike Magruder, Robert Follini

<sup>4</sup> <u>https://www.wecc.org/Administrative/2022-03-</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;u>16%20WSC%20Proposed%20Meeting%20Minutes%20for%20approval%202022-12-06-2022%20-</u> %20FINAL%20FROM%20TECH.docx



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> <u>https://www.wecc.org/Administrative/WECC-0148%20Notice%20of%20No%20Substantive%20Change.pdf</u> <sup>3</sup> <u>https://www.wecc.org/Reliability/WECC-0148%20VAR-501-WECC-3.1%20-</u>

<sup>%20</sup>Letter%20to%20WSC%20Requesting%20Information%20Only%20Filing%20-%20FINAL.docx

	Organization	
4	BC Hydro and Power Authority (BC)	Adrian Andreoiu, Helen Hamilton Harding,
		Hootan Jarollahi
5	Bonneville Power Administration (BPA)	Andrea Jessup
6	Salt River Project (SRP)	Israel Perez
7	United States Bureau of Reclamation	Richard Jackson
	(USB)	

# **Contacts and Appeals**

If you feel your comment has been omitted or overlooked, please contact <u>W. Shannon Black</u>, WECC Consultant, at (503) 307-5782. In addition, there is a WECC Reliability Standards appeals process.



# Index to NERC-provided Questions, Comments, and Responses

#### Question

- 1) Do you agree the proposed Regional Reliability Standard was developed in a fair and open process, using the associated Regional Reliability Standards Development Procedure?
- 2) Does the proposed Regional Reliability Standard pose an adverse impact to reliability or commerce in a neighboring region or interconnection?
- 3) Does the proposed Regional Reliability Standard pose a serious and substantial threat to public health, safety, welfare, or national security?
- 4) Does the proposed Regional Reliability Standard pose a serious and substantial burden on competitive markets within the interconnection that is not necessary for reliability?
- 5) Does the proposed Regional Reliability Standard meet at least one of the following criteria
  - a. The proposed Regional Reliability Standard has more specific criteria for the same requirements covered in a continent-wide standard.
  - b. The proposed Regional Reliability Standard has requirements that are not included in the corresponding continent-wide standard.
  - c. The proposed regional difference is necessitated by a physical difference in the Bulk Power System.



Comment Summary. For proposed changes and avenues forward, please see the preamble.		
	posed Regional Reliability Standard was developed in a fair and Regional Reliability Standards Development Procedure?	
Commenter	Comment or Response	
ACES	Yes	
Response		
The WECC-0148 Drafting Team (DT) apprect process.	iates each respondent's engagement in the Standards development	
Commenter	Comment or Response	
APS	Yes	
Response		
The WECC-0148 Drafting Team (DT) apprec	iates each respondent's engagement in the Standards development	
process.		
Commenter	Comment or Response	
Avista – Glen Farmer	Yes	
Response		
The WECC-0148 Drafting Team (DT) apprec	iates each respondent's engagement in the Standards development	
process.		
Commenter	Comment or Response	
Avista - Mike Magruder	Yes	
Response		
The WECC-0148 Drafting Team (DT) apprec	iates each respondent's engagement in the Standards development	
process.		
Commenter	Comment or Response	
Avista - Robert Follini	Yes	
Response		
The WECC-0148 Drafting Team (DT) apprec	iates each respondent's engagement in the Standards development	
process.		
Commenter	Comment or Response	
BC	Yes	
Response		



The WECC-0148 Drafting Team (DT) appreceptor process.	iates each respondent's engagement in the Standards development	
Commenter	Comment or Response	
BPA	Yes	
Response		
The WECC-0148 Drafting Team (DT) apprec	iates each respondent's engagement in the Standards development	
process.		
Commenter	Comment or Response	
SRP	Yes	
Response		
The WECC-0148 Drafting Team (DT) appreciates each respondent's engagement in the Standards development		
process.		
Commenter	Comment or Response	
USB	Yes	
Response		
The WECC-0148 Drafting Team (DT) apprec	iates each respondent's engagement in the Standards development	
process.		



Comment Summary. For proposed changes and avenues forward, please see the preamble.		
<ol> <li>Question 2— Does the proposed 1 reliability or commerce in a neighb</li> </ol>	Regional Reliability Standard pose an adverse impact to oring region or interconnection?	
Commenter	Comment or Response	
ACES	No	
Response		
The WECC-0148 Drafting Team (DT) apprec process.	iates each respondent's engagement in the Standards development	
Commenter	Comment or Response	
APS	No	
Response		
	iates each respondent's engagement in the Standards development	
process.		
Commenter	Comment or Response	
Avista – Glen Farmer	No	
Response		
	iates each respondent's engagement in the Standards development	
process.		
Commenter	Comment or Response	
Avista - Mike Magruder	No	
Response		
The WECC-0148 Drafting Team (DT) apprec process.	iates each respondent's engagement in the Standards development	
Commenter	Comment or Response	
Avista - Robert Follini	No	
Response		



# WECC-0148 NERC 45-Day Posting Response to Comments, Posting 1

\_

The WECC-0148 Drafting Team (DT) apprec process.	iates each respondent's engagement in the Standards development
Commenter	Comment or Response
BC	No
Response	
The WECC-0148 Drafting Team (DT) apprec process.	iates each respondent's engagement in the Standards development
Commenter	Comment or Response
BPA	No
Response	
The WECC-0148 Drafting Team (DT) apprec process.	iates each respondent's engagement in the Standards development
Commenter	Comment or Response
SRP	No
Response	
The WECC-0148 Drafting Team (DT) apprec process.	iates each respondent's engagement in the Standards development
Commenter	Comment or Response
USB	No
Response	
The WECC-0148 Drafting Team (DT) apprec process.	iates each respondent's engagement in the Standards development



Comment Summary. For proposed changes and avenues forward, please see the preamble.	
<ol> <li>Question 3— Does the proposed F threat to public health, safety, welf</li> </ol>	Regional Reliability Standard pose a serious and substantial are, or national security?
Commenter	Comment or Response
ACES	No
Response	
The WECC-0148 Drafting Team (DT) apprec process.	iates each respondent's engagement in the Standards development
Commenter	Comment or Response
APS	No
Response	
The WECC-0148 Drafting Team (DT) apprec process.	iates each respondent's engagement in the Standards development
Commenter	Comment or Response
Avista – Glen Farmer	No
Response	
The WECC-0148 Drafting Team (DT) apprec process.	iates each respondent's engagement in the Standards development
Commontor	
Commenter	Comment or Response
Avista - Mike Magruder	Comment or Response No
Avista - Mike Magruder Response	
Avista - Mike Magruder Response The WECC-0148 Drafting Team (DT) apprec	No
Avista - Mike Magruder Response The WECC-0148 Drafting Team (DT) apprect process.	No iates each respondent's engagement in the Standards development



The WECC-0148 Drafting Team (DT) appreciprocess.	iates each respondent's engagement in the Standards development
Commenter	Comment or Response
BC	No
Response	
The WECC-0148 Drafting Team (DT) apprec process.	iates each respondent's engagement in the Standards development
Commenter	Comment or Response
BPA	No
Response	
The WECC-0148 Drafting Team (DT) apprec process.	iates each respondent's engagement in the Standards development
Commenter	Comment or Response
SRP	No
Response	
The WECC-0148 Drafting Team (DT) apprece process.	iates each respondent's engagement in the Standards development
Commenter	Comment or Response
USB	No
Response	
The WECC-0148 Drafting Team (DT) appreciprocess.	iates each respondent's engagement in the Standards development



Comment Summary. For proposed changes and avenues forward, please see the preamble.	
	Regional Reliability Standard pose a serious and substantial hin the interconnection that is not necessary for reliability?
Commenter	Comment or Response
ACES	No
Response	
The WECC-0148 Drafting Team (DT) apprec process.	iates each respondent's engagement in the Standards development
Commenter	Comment or Response
APS	No.
Response	
The WECC-0148 Drafting Team (DT) apprect process.	iates each respondent's engagement in the Standards development
Commenter	Comment or Response
Avista – Glen Farmer	No
Response	
The WECC-0148 Drafting Team (DT) apprec process.	iates each respondent's engagement in the Standards development
Commenter	Comment or Response
Avista - Mike Magruder	No
	110
Response	
	iates each respondent's engagement in the Standards development
The WECC-0148 Drafting Team (DT) apprec	
The WECC-0148 Drafting Team (DT) apprect process.	iates each respondent's engagement in the Standards development



The WECC-0148 Drafting Team (DT) apprec process.	iates each respondent's engagement in the Standards development
Commenter	Comment or Response
BC	No
Response	
The WECC-0148 Drafting Team (DT) apprec process.	iates each respondent's engagement in the Standards development
Commenter	Comment or Response
BPA	No
Response	
The WECC-0148 Drafting Team (DT) apprec process.	iates each respondent's engagement in the Standards development
Commenter	Comment or Response
SRP	No
Response	
The WECC-0148 Drafting Team (DT) apprec process.	iates each respondent's engagement in the Standards development
Commenter	Comment or Response
USB	No
Response	
The WECC-0148 Drafting Team (DT) apprec process.	iates each respondent's engagement in the Standards development



Comment Summary. For proposed cha	nges and avenues forward, please see the preamble.
<i>1) Question 5</i> —Does the proposed R criteria:	egional Reliability Standard meet at least one of the following
d. The proposed Regional Rel	iability Standard has more specific criteria for the same
requirements covered in a c	
e. The proposed Regional Rel corresponding continent-w	liability Standard has requirements that are not included in the ide standard
	erence is necessitated by a physical difference in the Bulk Power
System.	
Commenter	Comment or Response
ACES	Yes. Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
Response	
The WECC-0148 Drafting Team (DT) apprec	iates each respondent's engagement in the Standards development
process.	
Commenter	Comment or Response
APS	Yes
Response	
The WECC-0148 Drafting Team (DT) apprec	iates each respondent's engagement in the Standards development
process.	
Commenter	Comment or Response
Avista – Glen Farmer	No
Response	
	iates Avista's negative response; however, Avista has failed to
explain their response, identify any issues, o identify nor remedy Avista's concern.	r suggest any proposed changes. As such, the DT can neither
Commenter	Comment or Response
Avista - Mike Magruder	No
Response	
	iates Avista's negative response; however, Avista has failed to r suggest any proposed changes. As such, the DT can neither
identify nor remedy Avista's concern.	2 2200 any proposed changes. The buch, the DT curriculuit
Commenter	Comment or Response
Avista - Robert Follini	No
Response	



The WECC-0148 Drafting Team (DT) appreciates Avista's negative response; however, Avista has failed to		
explain their response, identify any issues, or suggest any proposed changes. As such, the DT can neither		
identify nor remedy Avista's concern.		
Commenter	Comment or Response	
BC	Yes	
Response		
The WECC-0148 Drafting Team (DT) apprec	iates each respondent's engagement in the Standards development	
process.		
Commenter	Comment or Response	
BPA	Yes	
Response		
The WECC-0148 Drafting Team (DT) apprec	iates each respondent's engagement in the Standards development	
process.		
Commenter	Comment or Response	
Commenter SRP	<b>Comment or Response</b> Yes. While there are regional and physical differences, the	
	Yes. While there are regional and physical differences, the	
SRP Response	Yes. While there are regional and physical differences, the	
SRP Response	Yes. While there are regional and physical differences, the changes proposed are mainly grammatical and all are minor.	
SRP Response The WECC-0148 Drafting Team (DT) apprec	Yes. While there are regional and physical differences, the changes proposed are mainly grammatical and all are minor.	
SRP Response The WECC-0148 Drafting Team (DT) apprect process.	Yes. While there are regional and physical differences, the changes proposed are mainly grammatical and all are minor. iates each respondent's engagement in the Standards development	
SRP Response The WECC-0148 Drafting Team (DT) apprect process. Commenter	Yes. While there are regional and physical differences, the changes proposed are mainly grammatical and all are minor. iates each respondent's engagement in the Standards development <b>Comment or Response</b>	
SRP   Response   The WECC-0148 Drafting Team (DT) apprece   process.   Commenter   USB   Response	Yes. While there are regional and physical differences, the changes proposed are mainly grammatical and all are minor. iates each respondent's engagement in the Standards development <b>Comment or Response</b>	
SRP Response The WECC-0148 Drafting Team (DT) apprece process. Commenter USB Response	Yes. While there are regional and physical differences, the changes proposed are mainly grammatical and all are minor. iates each respondent's engagement in the Standards development <b>Comment or Response</b> Yes	

