

Consideration of Comments

Regional Reliability Standards Under Development - WECC

The WECC Drafting Team thanks all commenters who submitted comments on the latest version of the Western Electric Coordinating Council (WECC) Regional Standards – VAR-002-WECC-2 Automatic Voltage Regulators and VAR-501-WECC-2 Power System Stabilizers (PSS). This was posted for a 45-day public comment period from August 7, 2014 through September 22, 2014. Stakeholders were asked to provide feedback to specific questions as to if they believed that WECC followed their standards development process during the development of the associated standards through a special electronic comment form. There were 4 sets of comments, including comments from approximately 5 different people from approximately 11 companies representing 4 of the 10 Industry Segments as shown in the table on the following pages.

All comments submitted may be reviewed in their original format on the standard's [project page](#).

After consideration of all comments, no changes were made as all respondents agreed development of the project was open, inclusive, balanced, transparent, and due process was provided.

If you feel that your comment has been overlooked, please let us know immediately. Our goal is to give every comment serious consideration in this process. If you feel there has been an error or omission, you can contact the Director of Standards, Valerie Agnew, at 404-446-2566 or at valerie.agnew@nerc.net. In addition, there is a NERC Reliability Standards Appeals Process.¹

¹ The appeals process is in the Standard Processes Manual: http://www.nerc.com/comm/SC/Documents/Appendix_3A_StandardsProcessesManual.pdf

1. Do you agree the development of VAR-002-WECC-2 and VAR-501-2 met the “Open” criteria as outlined above? If “No”, please explain in the comment area below..... 6

2. Do you agree the development of VAR-002-WECC-2 and VAR-501-2 met the “Inclusive” criteria as outlined above? If “No”, please explain in the comment area below..... 7

3. Do you agree the development of VAR-002-WECC-2 and VAR-501-2 met the “Balanced” criteria as outlined above? If “No”, please explain in the comment area below..... 8

4. Do you agree the development of VAR-002-WECC-2 and VAR-501-2 met the “Due Process” criteria as outlined above? If “No”, please explain in the comment area below..... 9

5. Do you agree the development of VAR-002-WECC-2 and VAR-501-2 met the “Transparent” criteria as outlined above? If “No”, please explain in the comment area below.....10

The Industry Segments are:

- 1 — Transmission Owners
- 2 — RTOs, ISOs
- 3 — Load-serving Entities
- 4 — Transmission-dependent Utilities
- 5 — Electric Generators
- 6 — Electricity Brokers, Aggregators, and Marketers
- 7 — Large Electricity End Users
- 8 — Small Electricity End Users
- 9 — Federal, State, Provincial Regulatory or other Government Entities
- 10 — Regional Reliability Organizations, Regional Entities

| Group/Individual | | Commenter | Organization | Registered Ballot Body Segment | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------|---------------|---|--------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|
| | | | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| 1. | Group | Janet Smith | Arizona Public Service Co. | X | | X | | X | X | | | | |
| N/A | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2. | Group | Marcus Pelt | Southern Company: Southern Company Services, INC.; Alabama Power Company; Georgia Power Company; Gulf Power Company; Mississippi Power Company; Southern Company Generation; Southern Company Generation and Energy Marketing | X | | X | | X | X | | | | |
| N/A | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. | Group | Andrea Jessup | Bonneville Power Administration | X | | X | | X | X | | | | |
| Additional Member Additional Organization Region Segment Selection | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Group/Individual | Commenter | Organization | Registered Ballot Body Segment | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|--|--|
| | | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | | |
| 1. Steven Hitchens | Technical Operations | WECC 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. Individual | Tim Trimmell | Idaho Power Company | X | | | | | | | | | | | |

If you support the comments submitted by another entity and would like to indicate you agree with their comments, please select "agree" below and enter the entity's name in the comment section (please provide the name of the organization, trade association, group, or committee, rather than the name of the individual submitter).

Summary Consideration: No changes were made as all respondents agreed development of the project was open, inclusive, balanced, transparent, and due process was provided.

| Organization | Agree | Supporting Comments of "Entity Name" |
|--------------|-------|--------------------------------------|
| N/A | N/A | N/A |

1. Do you agree the development of VAR-002-WECC-2 and VAR-501-2 met the “Open” criteria as outlined above? If “No”, please explain in the comment area below.

Summary Consideration: No changes were made as all respondents agreed development of the project was open, inclusive, balanced, transparent, and due process was provided.

| Organization | Yes or No | Question 1 Comment |
|---|-----------|--------------------|
| Arizona Public Service Co. | Yes | |
| Southern Company: Southern Company Services, INC.; Alabama Power Company; Georgia Power Company; Gulf Power Company; Mississippi Power Company; Southern Company Generation; Southern Company Generation and Energy Marketing | Yes | |
| Bonneville Power Administration | Yes | |
| Idaho Power Company | Yes | |

2. Do you agree the development of VAR-002-WECC-2 and VAR-501-2 met the “Inclusive” criteria as outlined above? If “No”, please explain in the comment area below.

Summary Consideration: No changes were made as all respondents agreed development of the project was open, inclusive, balanced, transparent, and due process was provided.

| Organization | Yes or No | Question 2 Comment |
|---|-----------|--------------------|
| Arizona Public Service Co. | Yes | |
| Southern Company: Southern Company Services, INC.; Alabama Power Company; Georgia Power Company; Gulf Power Company; Mississippi Power Company; Southern Company Generation; Southern Company Generation and Energy Marketing | Yes | |
| Bonneville Power Administration | Yes | |
| Idaho Power Company | Yes | |

3. Do you agree the development of VAR-002-WECC-2 and VAR-501-2 met the “Balanced” criteria as outlined above? If “No”, please explain in the comment area below.

Summary Consideration: No changes were made as all respondents agreed development of the project was open, inclusive, balanced, transparent, and due process was provided.

| Organization | Yes or No | Question 3 Comment |
|---|-----------|--------------------|
| Arizona Public Service Co. | Yes | |
| Southern Company: Southern Company Services, INC.; Alabama Power Company; Georgia Power Company; Gulf Power Company; Mississippi Power Company; Southern Company Generation; Southern Company Generation and Energy Marketing | Yes | |
| Bonneville Power Administration | Yes | |
| Idaho Power Company | Yes | |

4. Do you agree the development of VAR-002-WECC-2 and VAR-501-2 met the “Due Process” criteria as outlined above? If “No”, please explain in the comment area below.

Summary Consideration: No changes were made as all respondents agreed development of the project was open, inclusive, balanced, transparent, and due process was provided.

| Organization | Yes or No | Question 4 Comment |
|---|-----------|--------------------|
| Arizona Public Service Co. | Yes | |
| Southern Company: Southern Company Services, INC.; Alabama Power Company; Georgia Power Company; Gulf Power Company; Mississippi Power Company; Southern Company Generation; Southern Company Generation and Energy Marketing | Yes | |
| Bonneville Power Administration | Yes | |
| Idaho Power Company | Yes | |

5. Do you agree the development of VAR-002-WECC-2 and VAR-501-2 met the “Transparent” criteria as outlined above? If “No”, please explain in the comment area below.

Summary Consideration: No changes were made as all respondents agreed development of the project was open, inclusive, balanced, transparent, and due process was provided.

| Organization | Yes or No | Question 4 Comment |
|---|-----------|--------------------|
| Arizona Public Service Co. | Yes | |
| Southern Company: Southern Company Services, INC.; Alabama Power Company; Georgia Power Company; Gulf Power Company; Mississippi Power Company; Southern Company Generation; Southern Company Generation and Energy Marketing | Yes | |
| Bonneville Power Administration | Yes | |
| Idaho Power Company | Yes | |

END OF REPORT