FirstEnergy Utilities (FEU) – NCR11315

NOC-2613

| NERC Violation ID | Reliability Standard | Req. | Violation Risk Factor | Violation Severity Level | Violation Start Date Method of P | | Method of Discovery | Mitigation Completion Date | Date Regional Entity Verified Completion of Mitigation |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|
| RFC2016015998 | PRC-005-1b | R2 | High | Lower | 3/14/2012 (when the Standard became mandatory and enforceable on the entity) | 12/29/2017 (Mitigation Plan completion) | Self-Report | 12/29/2017 | 1/26/2018 |
| Description of the Violation (For purposes of this document, each violation at issue is described as a "violation," regardless of its procedural posture and whether it was a possible, or confirmed violation.) | | | InstructionInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterferenceInterference | | | | | | |
| Risk Assessment | | | This violation posed a moderate risk and did not pose a serious or substantial risk to the reliability of the bulk power system (BPS) based on the following factors. The risk posed by this violation is the loss of equipment or facilities due to misoperation of the protection system equipment where the relay schemes were not maintained and tested in a timely manner. The risk is not minimal because of the number of devices at issue (154 relays) and the relatively long duration for the 108 relays for which the entity lacked testing and maintenance records. (The entity identified two applicable relays at issue that were part of an IROL, additional guint (IROL). One relay is microprocessor-based and contains self-monitoring which will send relay failure alarms back to the Control Center. Therefore, if an issue were identified, a corrective action would have been taken. Additionally, this line has backup relaying that was maintained. The second relay was found to be within tolerance when tested and there are multiple overlapping relays that were maintained as well and those overlapping relays would act in case of a breaker failure to trip on that second relay thereby reducing the risk. For these reasons, while two relays were part of an IROL, additional and overlapping measures were in place to maintain reliability.) The risk is not serious because this violation involved less than half of one percent of the entity's in-scope PRC-005 relays. Additionally, of the 154 relays at issue, 46 were part of the entity's non-BES Maintenance Program and thus were tested between only one and three years later than the required six-year interval. Specifically, 37 were tested only one year late, 6 were tested two years late, and 3 were tested three years late. Of the 108 relays that would function in case the primary relay failed. For the one new battery/charger at a new substation that was not entered into the entity's database in a timely manner, the battery/charger was being monitored via low-voltage alarm and the entity perfor | | | | | / this violation is the loss inimal because of the oplicable relays at issue the Control Center. within tolerance when by reducing the risk. For ne entity's non-BES ed two years late, and 3 ns back to the Control d into the entity's on inspections. | |
| MitigationThe entity had already maintained and tested all but one relay scheme by the time the entity discovered all of the issues. The entity issued a maintenance ar and confirmed that work was completed as of April 7, 2016. For every issue, the entity made the appropriate modifications to the Cascade Database by Augu correctly scheduled for future maintenance per the entity's PRC-005 PSMP.The entity's mitigating actions directly address the root causes of this violation. First, the entity conducted an extensive review to ensure all relay schemes a Database as being part of the BES Protection System Maintenance Program. (To identify existing equipment potentially in scope of PRC-005 that is missing ir dedicated resources to review about 790 substations. The entity re-reviewed the 79 CIP Medium substations that are part of the Bar Coding initiative. In add additional substations located in its entity-East and entity-West operating areas. For those substations with equipment in scope of PRC-005, the entity will complete the substations with equipment in scope of PRC-005. | | | | | d testing order for the st 8, 2016, to ensure t e appropriately "flagg Cascade, the entity es tion, the entity will re npare substation pro | e remaining relay scheme hat the equipment is ed" in the Cascade stablished a project with eview approximately 710 otective equipment | | | |

| FirstEnergy Utilities (FEU) – NCR11315 | NOC-2613 |
|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | drawings against Cascade records to identify data integrity issues. Substations in the entity's oth these locations are considered low risk based on a documented substation inventory walk-down this work based on risk to its BES transmission system. Top priority is 230 kV and higher substati March 31, 2019.) Second, the entity has taken steps to ensure that all new equipment is entered requires that Project Managers verify that all appropriate equipment has been entered into Case or any project is generated by the Substation Design group for all projects and that the list is interest. |
| | Records Control department then enters the equipment into Cascade. As an additional post-energy entity implemented a new monthly detective control. The detective control will confirm that all Cascade Database.) The entity has historically employed a de-centralized method for data entry centralized Cascade Database equipment entry within a recently formed corporate department processes related to new construction and equipment additions at substations and will be imple prior to energization. |
| | To mitigate this violation, the entity: |
| | reviewed the missing equipment for its CIP Medium and Tier 1 substations; did an extent of condition on 100% of its Tier II substations; |
| | 3) implemented a detective control to ensure that the database includes all BES equipment; and4) reviewed Cascade Database "flags" for a need to shift from the entity's non-BES maintenance |
| | The entity's mitigating actions will achieve greater assurance regarding the accuracy of the PRC- devices relied upon for BPS reliability and ensured they are properly scheduled as required by the controls to better position the entity for ongoing accuracy of the records in the Cascade Database |
| Other Factors | The Settlement Agreement through which this violation was resolved included two violations, an opposed to each individual violation. |
| | ReliabilityFirst reviewed the entity's internal compliance program (ICP) and considered it to be a |
| | |

considered it to be a mitigating factor in the penalty determination. Although both violations contain multiple instances, the entity's compliance program still deserved mitigating credit because of the controls that allowed it to identify the first issue and the entity's aggressive and thorough mitigation that the entity undertook and completed for both violations. The parent company of the entity has a robust internal compliance program that is managed by its FERC Compliance Department (FCD), which has corporate oversight responsibilities and is independent from the business units that are responsible for complying with the NERC Reliability Standards. Corporate Business Unit "Compliance Champions" assist FCD with monitoring activities that encourage opportunities to increase reliability. FCD is responsible for tracking and communicating new and updated Reliability Standards to Corporate Business Unit Compliance Champions and their management. All Reliability Standard action items are recorded and tracked via the entity's compliance software. FCD monitors action items and conducts follow-up meetings as needed. FCD created a Director Dashboard which tracks new Reliability Standards or changes to existing Standards and associated action items. The Director Dashboard is communicated bi-monthly to the entity's Executive Leadership Team, directors, managers, and Compliance Champions. Action items are given priorities with Regulatory deadlines and milestones given the highest level of Critical Compliance that includes VP notification 30 days prior to due date.

the Cascade Database.

Effective oversight of the reliability of the BES depends on robust and timely self-reporting by Registered Entities. The entity promptly identified and reported the violation due to the effective execution of its compliance program and the installation of internal controls that yielded identification of the issues prior to the occurrence of any harm. Therefore, ReliabilityFirst awarded some mitigating credit to the entity.

ReliabilityFirst considered the entity's cooperation during the Settlement Agreement process and awarded mitigating credit. The entity has been cooperative throughout the entire enforcement process. Following the Self-Reports, the entity met and communicated with ReliabilityFirst on a regular basis, including multiple in-person meetings onsite at ReliabilityFirst to discuss the violations, the mitigation, and the status of mitigation. Throughout the enforcement process, the entity voluntarily provided ReliabilityFirst with an abundance of information regarding the violations in a manner that was detailed and timely.

The entity is also in the process of constructing a new Center for Advanced Energy Technology (CAET) facility. This facility will allow for the introduction of new technology to the entity Transmission substation environment, will aid in the connectivity to the field devices, and improve data acquisition. The entity expects the facility to be operational by March 31, 2019.

ons in the entity's other operating area, entity-South (former Allegheny Power), are not included in this mitigating activity because inventory walk-down completed in 2010 as part of a formal Mitigation Plan (Docket # RFC201000237). The entity has prioritized V and higher substations and secondary priority is remaining BES substations. These activities have a target completion date of w equipment is entered into the Cascade Database upon installation. (First, the "Pre-Energization Checklist," effective May 1, 2016, peen entered into Cascade. Second, the "New Equipment Entry Process," effective October 10, 2016, ensures that a substation and that the list is integrated with the BES Flag review conducted by the entity Protection group. The Asset Management and an additional post-energization control to ensure all newly installed substation assets have been properly recorded in Cascade, the ol will confirm that all assets reflected as in-serviced in the entity's financial database ("Power Plant") are correctly recorded in the method for data entry of substation equipment into the Cascade Database. To improve data consistency and integrity, the entity orporate department - Asset Management & Records Control (AMRC). In addition, the entity has implemented two new control ions and will be implementing an additional control to better ensure new equipment is timely entered into the Cascade Database

non-BES maintenance program to its PRC-005 Protection System Maintenance Program (PSMP).

accuracy of the PRC-005 records residing in the Cascade Database. The mitigation actions identified missing protective equipment uled as required by the entity PRC-005 PSMP. The mitigation actions also established both detective and preventive internal

ided two violations, and the factors affecting the penalty determination were considered in relation to both violations together as

| FirstEnergy Utilities (FEU) – NCR11315 | NOC-2613 |
|----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Lastly, the entity is installing an Operational Technology Configuration Management (OTCM) Database to manage all configurable devices and standalone tool and not connected to any devices in the field, and configurations of non-relay devices were managed locally. This tool is being Database for consistency and workflow management. The entity is phasing the rollout of these systems and processes across the entity's oper targeted completion date in 2019. (The entity estimated the total cost to implement corrective actions and preventive measures for RFC20160 million: Substation walkdowns (includes inventory, barcoding, etc.) = \$47.3 Million; Drawing review – compared substation one-line diagrams \$29.4 Million; and Internal labor spent on mitigating activities = \$400k.) |
| | ReliabilityFirst considered the entity's compliance history in determining the penalty. ReliabilityFirst considered the entity's compliance histor |

d configuration files. Previously, the relay setting system was a g integrated with the entity's maintenance and testing Cascade erating companies beginning in the 4th quarter of 2018 with a 6015998, RFC2017017902, and related NERC Standards at \$78.8 s with Cascade equipment files = \$1.65 Million; OTCM Project =

bry to be an aggravating factor in the penalty determination.

NOC-2613

| NERC Violation ID | Reliability Standard | Req. | Violation Risk Factor | Violation Severity Level | Violation Start Date | Violation End Date | Method of Discovery | Mitigation Completion Date | Date Regional Entity Verified Completion of Mitigation | |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| RFC2017017902 | PRC-005-6 | R3 | High | Severe | 4/2/2017 (when the Standard became mandatory and enforceable on the entity) | 6/1/2018 (Mitigation Plan completion) | Self-Report | 6/1/2018 | 6/18/2018 | |
| Description of the Violat | ion (For purpose | s of this | On June 30, 2017, the entit | On June 30, 2017, the entity submitted a Self-Report to Reliability First stating that, as a Transmission Owner, it was in violation of PRC-005-6 R3. | | | | | | |
| document, each violatio | n at issue is desc | ribed as | | | | | | | | |
| a "violation," regardless | of its procedural | l | The entity identified this violation through the PRC-005-3(i) Guided Self Certification process in 2017. The entity discovered that it was incorrectly determining battery performance maintenance by | | | | | | | |
| posture and whether it | was a possible, o | or | utilizing the average of the string of the battery cell's internal ohmic value to compare to the individual cells rather than using the individual baseline for each battery as specified in the PRC-005-6 R3, | | | | | | | |
| confirmed violation.) | | Table 1-4(a) - 18 Calendar Months Maintenance Interval. This affected 441 out of 715 in-scope PRC-005 batteries (62%). (When 10% or more of the cells indicated an impedance variation of greater than 20% of the entire string, then further testing would be done to determine if battery replacement was needed. This battery replacement strategy resulted in the installation and replacement of more than 70 Bulk Electric System batteries and chargers (\$1.5 million); an additional \$1.5 million was spent on working and closing over 5,000 corrective maintenance and preventative maintenance orders over an 18 months period, prior to the Self-Report.) After identifying the violation, the entity performed the correct tests per PRC-005-6 R3 and did not identify any additional battery banks that needed to be replaced. Although the entity was not previously applying the tests using the individual battery baselines across its footprint, the entity's testing method yielded similar results to comparing against battery baselines as required in PRC-005. | | | | | | | | |
| | | The entity conducted an investigation to determine how this violation occurred. In 2014 and 2016, the entity added instructions to record the initial average battery baseline impedance as measured 6 to 12 months after a new set of batteries had been installed to two different procedures. Due to an inconsistent implementation of this new maintenance strategy, however, most of the entity's operating companies incorrectly continued to utilize the average of the string of battery cell's internal ohmic value to compare to the individual cells. | | | | | | | | |
| | | | This violation involves the management practices of work management and validation as the entity failed to validate that its new maintenance strategy for determining battery performance maintenance was consistently implemented across the entity operating companies. That inconsistent implementation across the entity is a root cause. | | | | | | | |
| Risk Assessment | | | This violation posed a moderate risk and did not pose a serious or substantial risk to the reliability of the bulk power system based on the following factors. Comparing each battery's measurements every 18 months to the initial baseline helps entities establish deviations as a predictor of age, wear, etc., which would reduce the risk of unexpected battery malfunctions due to those factors. This risk was mitigated in this case by the fact that, although the entity's testing practices were not in strict compliance with the Standard (because the entity was incorrectly determining battery performance maintenance by utilizing the average of the string of the battery cell's internal ohnic value to compare to the individual cells rather than using the battery baseline), the entity was timely performing maintenance and testing on its batteries in a way to maximize battery performance. After the entity established the battery baselines per PRC-005 and compared to testing results, the entity did not identify any additional battery banks that needed to be replaced. (The entity identified 21 applicable lines and two applicable transformers that were part of an Interconnection Reliability Operating Limit (IROL) that utilized batteries at issue in this noncompliance. After the battery baseline was established per PRC-005 and compared to testing results, the entity did not identify any additional battery baseline. After the applicable lines and the two applicable transformers as IROL Facilities. Based on the current PJM defined IROLs, only seven applicable lines utilized batteries at issue in this noncompliance. After the entity was not comparing to battery baselines across its footprint, the entity's method yielded similar results to comparing against battery baselines as required in PRC-005. (ReliabilityFirst notes that the entity has an established battery replacement strategy that has replaced over 90 battery systems and has worked nearly 1070 Corrective Maintenance orders on batteries over the past 18 months.) No harm i | | | | | | | |
| Mitigation | | | To mitigate this violation, the second secon | he entity: | | | | | | |
| | | | updated the Methods Seproper instructions on eval has determined baseline has created a detective of recorded baseline; has performed an extent Table 1-4(a) are included in developed an instructor- | ection 16M testing procedur uating battery baseline imp impedances for all existing control that will be perform t of condition to determine t the list to be mitigated; led training module; | re to incorporate evaluation of the test of edance; batteries. This activity ensures that all l ed annually to record the average batte the list of batteries that need to be eval | data to the established baseline imped batteries older than 12 months have ar ery impedance of batteries that are bet luated against their baseline impedanc | ance. This activity ensure n established baseline; ween 6 and 15 months o e. This activity ensures tl | es that qualified field ld. This activity ensu nat all batteries requi | technicians will have res newer batteries have a red per PRC-005-6 R3, | |
| | | o) conducted training on the updated testing procedure for held technicians qualified for battery testing; and | | | | | | | | |
| | | | /) collected in-scope battery test data through June 1, 2018 and evaluated results against baseline impedance. | | | | | | | |

| | The entity's mitigating actions implemented process improvements that will ensure qualified field technicians will have proper instructions determination to ensure that all batteries older than 12 months have an established baseline; annual baseline updates to ensure newer bat reinforcement of the new testing method. |
|---------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Other Factors | The Settlement Agreement through which this violation was resolved included two violations, and the factors affecting the penalty determine opposed to each individual violation. |
| | ReliabilityFirst reviewed the entity's internal compliance program (ICP) and considered it to be a mitigating factor in the penalty determination entity's compliance program still deserved mitigating credit because of the controls that allowed it to identify the first issue and the entity's and completed for both violations. The parent company of the entity, has a robust internal compliance program that is managed by its FERC responsibilities and is independent from the business units that are responsible for complying with the NERC Reliability Standards. Corporate monitoring activities that encourage opportunities to increase reliability. FCD is responsible for tracking and communicating new and update Champions and their management. All Reliability Standard action items are recorded and tracked via the entity's compliance software. FCD needed. FCD created a Director Dashboard which tracks new Reliability Standards or changes to existing Standards and associated action items are given priorities with Regulatory dead compliance that includes VP notification 30 days prior to due date. |
| | ReliabilityFirst considered the entity's cooperation during the Settlement Agreement process and awarded mitigating credit. The entity has Following the Self-Reports, the entity met and communicated with ReliabilityFirst on a regular basis, including multiple in-person meetings of and the status of mitigation. Throughout the enforcement process, the entity voluntarily provided ReliabilityFirst with an abundance of info and timely. |
| | ReliabilityFirst considered the entity's compliance history in determining the penalty. ReliabilityFirst considered the entity's compliance hist |

on evaluating battery baseline impedance; baseline tteries have a recorded baseline; and training to ensure the

nation were considered in relation to both violations together as

tion. Although both violations contain multiple instances, the s aggressive and thorough mitigation that the entity undertook C Compliance Department (FCD), which has corporate oversight te Business Unit "Compliance Champions" assist FCD with te Reliability Standards to Corporate Business Unit Compliance monitors action items and conducts follow-up meetings as tems. The Director Dashboard is communicated bi-monthly to the dlines and milestones given the highest level of Critical

been cooperative throughout the entire enforcement process. onsite at ReliabilityFirst, to discuss the violations, the mitigation, prmation regarding the violations in a manner that was detailed

tory to be an aggravating factor in the penalty determination.