

# **Consideration of Comments**

**Project Name:** 2016-EPR-01 Enhanced Periodic Review of PER Standards

Templates for PER-003-1 and PER-004-2

**Comment Period Start Date:** 1/10/2017

**Comment Period End Date:** 2/23/2017

There were 28 sets of responses, including comments from approximately 86 different people from approximately 63 companies representing all 10 of the Industry Segments as shown in the table on the following pages.

All comments submitted can be reviewed in their original format on the project page.

If you feel that your comment has been overlooked, please let us know immediately. Our goal is to give every comment serious consideration in this process. If you feel there has been an error or omission, you can contact the Director of Standards Development, <a href="Steve Noess">Steve Noess</a> (via email) or at (404) 446-9691.



### Questions

- 1. The PER PRT recommends that a clarifying footnote be added to PER-003-1 to ensure that stakeholders (now and in the future) understand (i) the connection between the Standard and the NERC System Operator Certification Program Manual; and (ii) that the certifications referenced under PER-003-1 are those under the NERC System Operator Certification Program. Do you agree with the recommendation? If not, please explain in the comment area below.
- 2. The PER PRT recommends that PER-004-2 be retired. The PER PRT believes that the requirements in PER-004-2 are duplicative with several other standards as outlined in the PER-004-2 EPR template. Do you agree with the recommendation? If not, please explain in the comment area below.

#### The Industry Segments are:

- 1 Transmission Owners
- 2 RTOs, ISOs
- 3 Load-serving Entities
- 4 Transmission-dependent Utilities
- 5 Electric Generators
- 6 Electricity Brokers, Aggregators, and Marketers
- 7 Large Electricity End Users
- 8 Small Electricity End Users
- 9 Federal, State, Provincial Regulatory or other Government Entities
- 10 Regional Reliability Organizations, Regional Entities



Organization Name	Name	Segment(s)	Region	Group Name	Group Member Name	Group Member Organization	Group Member Segment(s)	Group Member Region
ACES Power Marketing		6		ACES Standards Collaborators	Mark Peter	Hoosier Energy Rural Electric Cooperative, Inc.	1	RF
			Shari Heino	Brazos Electric Power Cooperative, Inc.	1,5	Texas RE		
			Т	Tara Lightner	Sunflower Electric Power Corporation	1	SPP RE	
			John Shaver	Arizona Electric Power Cooperative, Inc.	1	WECC		
					Ryan Strom	Buckeye Power, Inc.	4	RF
					Greg Froehling	Rayburn Country Electric Cooperative, Inc.	3	SPP RE
		P	Amber Skillern	East Kentucky Power Cooperative	1,3	SERC		
					Amber Skillern	East Kentucky Power Cooperative	1,3	SERC
Duke Energy	Colby Bellville	by Bellville 1,3,5,6	FRCC,RF,SERC	Duke Energy	Doug Hils	Duke Energy	1	RF
					Lee Schuster	Duke Energy	3	FRCC
					Dale Goodwine	Duke Energy	5	SERC
					Greg Cecil	Duke Energy	6	RF



	Marsha Morgan	1,3,5,6	SERC Southern Company		Katherine Prewitt	Southern Company Services, Inc	1	SERC
					Jennifer Sykes	Southern Company Generation and Energy Marketing	6	SERC
					R Scott Moore	Alabama Power Company	3	SERC
					William Shultz	Southern Company Generation	5	SERC
California ISO	Richard Vine	2		ISO/RTO	Ali Miremadi	California ISO	2	WECC
				Council Standards Review Committee	Greg Campoli	NYISO	2	NPCC
					Kathleen Goodman	ISONE	2	NPCC
					Liz Axson	ERCOT	2	Texas RE
					Terry Bilke	MISO	2	MRO
					Ben Li	IESO	2	NPCC
					Mark Holman	PJM	2	RF
				Charles Yeung	SPP	2	SPP RE	
Northeast Power Coordinating Council	Ruida Shu	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,	, NPCC RS	RSC no	Paul Malozewski	Hydro One.	1	NPCC
		8,9,10		Dominion and Eversource	Guy Zito	Northeast Power Coordinating Council	NA - Not Applicable	NPCC
				Randy MacDonald	New Brunswick Power	2	NPCC	



Wayne Sipperly	New York Power Authority	4	NPCC
Glen Smith	Entergy Services	4	NPCC
Brian Robinson	Utility Services	5	NPCC
Bruce Metruck	New York Power Authority	6	NPCC
Alan Adamson	New York State Reliability Council	7	NPCC
Edward Bedder	Orange & Rockland Utilities	1	NPCC
David Burke	UI	3	NPCC
Michele Tondalo	UI	1	NPCC
Sylvain Clermont	Hydro Quebec	1	NPCC
Si Truc Phan	Hydro Quebec	2	NPCC
Helen Lainis	IESO	2	NPCC
Laura Mcleod	NB Power	1	NPCC
MIchael Forte	Con Edison	1	NPCC
Kelly Silver	Con Edison	3	NPCC
Peter Yost	Con Edison	4	NPCC
Brian O'Boyle	Con Edison	5	NPCC
Greg Campoli	NY-ISO	2	NPCC
Kathleen Goodman	ISO-NE	2	NPCC



					Silvia Parada Mitchell	NextEra Energy, LLC	4	NPCC
					Michael Schiavone	National Grid	1	NPCC
					Michael Jones	National Grid	3	NPCC
					David Ramkalawan	Ontario Power Generation Inc.	5	NPCC
Southwest Power Pool,	Shannon Mickens	2	SPP RE	SPP Standards Review Group	Shannon Mickens	Southwest Power Pool Inc.	2	SPP RE
Inc. (RTO)					Kevin Giles	Westar Energy	1	SPP RE
					Lonnie Lindekugel	Southwest Power Pool Inc.	2	SPP RE
					Mike Kidwell	Empire District Electric Company	1,3,5	SPP RE
				Jim Nail	City of Independence, Power and Light Department	5	SPP RE	
Santee	Shawn	1,3,5,6		Santee Cooper	Tom Abrams	Santee Cooper	1	SERC
Cooper	Abrams	ms		Rene' Free	Santee Cooper	1	SERC	
				Diana Scott	Santee Cooper	1	SERC	
				Heuguette Bostic	Santee Cooper	1	SERC	



1. The PER PRT recommends that a clarifying footnote be added to PER-003-1 to ensure that stakeholders (now and in the future) understand (i) the connection between the Standard and the NERC System Operator Certification Program Manual; and (ii) that the certifications referenced under PER-003-1 are those under the NERC System Operator Certification Program. Do you agree with the recommendation? If not, please explain in the comment area below.

LeRoy Patterson - Public Utility District No. 2 of Grant County, Washington - 1,4,5,6

Answer	No
Document Name	

#### Comment

This recommendation may be suitable if the standard was being revised for a substantive reason, but to make a change to the standard to implement this recommendation is unwarranted. The footnote is unnecessary for any RC, TOP, and/or BA stakeholder worthy of performing functions to which this standard applies.

The purpose statement in PER-003-1 specifically states the standard is "To ensure that System Operators performing the reliability-related tasks of the Reliability Coordinator, Balancing Authority and Transmission Operator are certified through the NERC System Operator Certification Program when filling a Real-time operating position responsible for control of the Bulk Electric System."

In addition, requirement 1 specifically references a "...valid NERC Reliability Operator certificate...", while requirements 2 and 3 specifically references "...obtaining and maintaining one of the following valid NERC certificates..." and specifically lists applicable NERC certifications for each requirement.

Further, the PER-003 RSAW has auditor guidance that the "...Audit Team may contact NERC to confirm the certification information is valid." This guidance points to the NERC Syystem Operator Certification Program and associated manual. It would require a tortured argument to point these references to certifications or a certification program other than NERC.



	•
ensure BAs, TOPs, and RCs "understan	upled with historic precedent from previous audits, there should be no need to include a footnote to do (i) the connection between the Standard and the NERC System Operator Certification Program referenced under PER-003-1 are those under the NERC System Operator Certification Program."
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Standard and the Program Manual; an Certification Program. Therefore the I	It further clarity was needed for the industry to understand (i) the connection between the d (ii) that the certifications referenced under PER-003-1 are those under the NERC System Operator PRT determined that adding the footnote provided the needed clarity. In addition, based on the the majority of the industry agrees with the PRT's recommendation.
Thomas Foltz - AEP - 3,5	
Answer	No
Document Name	
Comment	
NERC certificates, so a direct correlation does not entirely object to the concept	ly clear in this regard as currently written. The current version of these requirements all specify on to the NERC System Operator Certification Program Manual should already be clear. While AEP t of explicitly referencing the SOC Program Manual in PER-003-1, care should taken to ensure that onally implied (say, from the content of the manual itself) by doing so.
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	

# Response

The PRT was provided information that further clarity was needed for the industry to understand (i) the connection between the Standard and the Program Manual; and (ii) that the certifications referenced under PER-003-1 are those under the NERC System Operator



Certification Program. Therefore the PRT determined that adding the footnote provided the needed clarity. In addition, based on the comments received from this posting, the majority of the industry agrees with the PRT's recommendation.

Ruida Shu - Northeast Power Coordinating Council - 1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10 - NPCC, Group Name RSC no Dominion and Eversource

Answer	No
Document Name	

#### Comment

While we do not feel strongly one way or the other with the proposed addition of a clarifying footnote, we are unclear on where that footnote will be added, i.e., is it under R1, R2 or R3 or all of the above. We wonder if a seemingly minor change would provide sufficient reliability improvement to warrant the effort needed to effect the change (e.g., forming a drafting team, going through the approval process, etc.). Also, the PER-003-1 EPR template indicates sub-parts (a) to (g), which are not found in the PER-003 standard. This needs to be clarified in the SAR.

There is already a footnote related to each requirement R1, R2 and R3 in PER-003-1 which ties to the NERC Operator Certification Program.

FN1 of PER-003-1 Non-NERC certified personnel performing any reliability-related task of a real-time operating position must be under the direct supervision of a NERC Certified System Operator stationed at that operating position; the NERC Certified System Operator at that operating position has ultimate responsibility for the performance of the reliability related tasks.

Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	

## Response

The PRT was provided information that further clarity was needed for the industry to understand (i) the connection between the Standard and the Program Manual; and (ii) that the certifications referenced under PER-003-1 are those under the NERC System Operator Certification Program. Therefore the PRT determined that adding the footnote provided the needed clarity. In addition, based on the comments received from this posting, the majority of the industry agrees with the PRT's recommendation.



The PRT intends to add the footnote to all of the requirements in PER-003-1
---

The System Operator Certification Program Manual does not address non-certified personnel that are in training to assume System Operator positions. The current footnote addresses those non-certified personnel in training.

Richard Vine - California ISO - 2, Group Name ISO/RTO Council Standards Review Committee

Answer	No
Document Name	

#### Comment

For PER-003-1, it is unclear as to where this footnote will be added, i.e., is it under R1, R2 or R3, or all of the above. This needs to be clarified but the SRC questions whether it is worth the effort in creating a SAR given that there is significant effort involved in creating a SAR, forming a drafting team and processing the proposed changes through the NERC and FERC regulatory processes. SRC is of the opinion that the proposed footnote addition does not provide enough of a justification for the amount of effort needed for the industry to put out a SAR, form a drafting team, recommend changes and get the proposed changes through the NERC and regulatory process.

Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	

### Response

The PRT was provided information that further clarity was needed for the industry to understand (i) the connection between the Standard and the Program Manual; and (ii) that the certifications referenced under PER-003-1 are those under the NERC System Operator Certification Program. Therefore the PRT determined that adding the footnote provided the needed clarity. In addition, based on the comments received from this posting, the majority of the industry agrees with the PRT's recommendation.

The PRT intends to add the footnote to all of the requirements in PER-003-1.

Aaron Cavanaugh - Bonneville Power Administration - 1,3,5,6 - WECC

Answer	Yes
Document Name	



Comment		
BPA has no objections to this proposed edit for clarification.		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Thank you for your affirmative response	e and clarifying comment.	
Oliver Burke - Entergy - Entergy Service	es, Inc 1,5	
Answer	Yes	
Document Name		
Comment		
Entergy Agrees with adding a footnote to PER-003-1 Standard.		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Thank you for your affirmative response and clarifying comment.		
Quintin Lee - Eversource Energy - 1,3,5		
Answer	Yes	
Document Name		
Comment		
We don't think this has been an issue in the past, however we do not object to the clarifying footnote being added.		



Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Thank you for your affirmative response and clarifying comment.		
The PRT was provided information that further clarity was needed for the industry to understand (i) the connection between the Standard and the Program Manual; and (ii) that the certifications referenced under PER-003-1 are those under the NERC System Operator Certification Program. Therefore the PRT determined that adding the footnote provided the needed clarity.		
Rachel Coyne - Texas Reliability Entity	, Inc 10	
Answer	Yes	
Document Name		
Comment		
The suggested clarification to highlight that certifications required under PER-003-1 must be NERC certifications appears reasonable, particularly in light of the proposed retirement of PER-004-2.		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Thank you for your affirmative response and clarifying comment.		
Shannon Mickens - Southwest Power Pool, Inc. (RTO) - 2 - SPP RE, Group Name SPP Standards Review Group		
Answer	Yes	
Document Name		
Comment		



The SPP Standards Review Group agrees with the Periodic Review Team's (PRT) recommendation for adding a footnote to provide more clarity in the Standard. Additionally, we suggest the drafting team add a Guideline and Technical Basis (GTB) Section to the Standard to help provide clarity in reference to the Requirements. Also, we suggest reformatting the Measurements in the current Standard. We feel this will help provide consistency with the current formatting of newly developed and revised Standards in reference to the Requirement and Measurement Process. The best example of the current formatting process would be demonstrated in the IRO-002-4 Standard.

Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	

### Response

Thank you for your affirmative response and clarifying comment.

The EPR PRT is focusing on fixing the substance of the standard. The SDT that is assigned to perform the actual revision to the standard will work with NERC staff to determine the appropriate template.

Brian Van Gheem - ACES Power Marketing - 6 - NA - Not Applicable, Group Name ACES Standards Collaborators

Answer	Yes
Document Name	NERC 2012 Exam Study Guide.pdf

#### Comment

- (1) We agree that a footnote should be added to NERC Reliability Standard PER-003-1 that clarifies its dependency on the NERC System Operator Certification Program. However, we feel the Periodic Review Team (PRT) has neglected to address an urgent compliance gap present following recent changes to the NERC System Operator Certification Program, and urge the PRT to revise its recommendation to identify that a revision to the standard is necessary.
- (2) We observe no complementary mechanism that ties the NERC System Operator Certification Program back to this reliability standard. At a minimum, we expect direct, one-for-one alignment between the areas of competencies and the content domains identified as the framework used to ensure the content validity of each NERC certification exam. From what we observe, these content domains were updated recently in the 2017 NERC Exam Resource Materials posted on the NERC web site

# NERC

(<a href="http://www.nerc.com/pa/Train/SysOpCert/Pages/default.aspx">http://www.nerc.com/pa/Train/SysOpCert/Pages/default.aspx</a>). For comparison, we attached a similar list of content domains from 2012. Without this alignment and when the requirements within this standard are taken verbatim, then industry is burdened to demonstrate that a minimum competency has been obtained for applicable staff performing Real-time, company-specific, reliability-related tasks.

- (3) The current approach to the interdependencies between this reliability standard and the NERC Continuing Education Program relies on the assumption that all registered entities are also NERC Continuing Education Providers. We find this is not always the case. We believe the minimum set of competencies System Operators must maintain are already addressed by the systematic training approach required by their employers in NERC Reliability Standard PER-005-2. At a minimum, we ask the PRT to document in its recommendations that further coordination with the NERC Personnel Certification Governance Committee is necessary to update the list of Recognized Operator Training Topics, as identified in Appendix A of the NERC System Operator Certification Program Manual. We feel this list needs to be revised with current industry concerns, situation awareness and human performance-centric themes, and available technologies.
- (4) We ask the PRT to expand its recommendation to include a footnote reference to the NERC Personnel Certification Governance Committee (PCGC) and the importance of its role in monitoring the performance of the NERC System Operator Certification Program.

Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	

## Response

Thank you for your affirmative response and clarifying comment.

- (1) The PRT is not aware of any compliance gaps and therefore cannot respond to your concern.
- (2) The PRT does not believe that there is a need to modify this standard due to changes in the NERC exam content outline. The competencies identified in the standard are believed to be the minimum competency areas necessary to perform the duties of a System Operator.



, ,	esumption that all registered entities are NERC Continuing Education Providers nor is it required for eviews the System Operator Certification Manual and Appendix A on an annual basis. The PCGC is that should address your concern.	
(4) The NERC Rules of Procedure address	ss the PCGC role in the NERC System Operator Program.	
John Williams - Tallahassee Electric (Ci	ty of Tallahassee, FL) - 1,3,5	
Answer	Yes	
Document Name		
Comment		
Likes 1	Tallahassee Electric (City of Tallahassee, FL), 5, Webb Karen	
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Karen Webb - Tallahassee Electric (City of Tallahassee, FL) - 1,3,5		
Answer	Yes	
Document Name		
Comment		
Thank you for your affirmative response.		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Daniel Herring - DTE Energy - Detroit Edison Company - 3,4,5		



Answer	Yes	
Document Name		
Comment		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Thank you for your affirmative response	e.	
Karie Barczak - DTE Energy - Detroit Edison Company - 3,4,5		
Answer	Yes	
Document Name		
Comment		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Thank you for your affirmative response.		
Jeffrey DePriest - DTE Energy - Detroit Edison Company - 3,4,5		
Answer	Yes	
<b>Document Name</b>		
Comment		
Likes 0		



Dislikes 0			
Response			
Thank you for your affirmative response	Thank you for your affirmative response.		
Rick Applegate - Tacoma Public Utilitie	s (Tacoma, WA) - 1,3,4,5,6		
Answer	Yes		
Document Name			
Comment			
Likes 0			
Dislikes 0			
Response			
Thank you for your affirmative response	е.		
Glen Farmer - Avista - Avista Corporation - 1,3,5			
Answer	Yes		
Document Name			
Comment			
Likes 0			
Dislikes 0			
Response			
Thank you for your affirmative response.			
Shawn Abrams - Santee Cooper - 1,3,5,6, Group Name Santee Cooper			
Answer	Yes		



Document Name		
Comment		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Thank you for your affirmative response	e.	
Preston Walker - PJM Interconnection,	L.L.C 2 - SERC,RF	
Answer	Yes	
Document Name		
Comment		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Thank you for your affirmative response.		
Michelle Amarantos - APS - Arizona Public Service Co 1,3,5,6		
Answer	Yes	
Document Name		
Comment		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		



Response		
Thank you for your affirmative response.		
Marsha Morgan - Southern Company -	Southern Company Services, Inc 1,3,5,6 - SERC, Group Name Southern Company	
Answer	Yes	
Document Name		
Comment		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Thank you for your affirmative response	e.	
Douglas Webb - Great Plains Energy - k	Cansas City Power and Light Co 1,3,5,6 - SPP RE	
Answer	Yes	
Document Name		
Comment		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Thank you for your affirmative response.		
Lauren Price - American Transmission Company, LLC - 1		
Answer	Yes	
Document Name		



Comment		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Thank you for your affirmative response	e.	
Sean Bodkin - Dominion - Dominion Re	esources, Inc 3,5,6	
Answer	Yes	
Document Name		
Comment		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Thank you for your affirmative response.		
Laura Nelson - IDACORP - Idaho Power Company - 1		
Answer	Yes	
Document Name		
Comment		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		



Thank you for your affirmative response.		
Colby Bellville - Duke Energy - 1,3,5,6 -	FRCC,SERC,RF, Group Name Duke Energy	
Answer	Yes	
<b>Document Name</b>		
Comment		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Thank you for your affirmative response.		
sean erickson - Western Area Power Administration - 1,6		
Answer	Yes	
<b>Document Name</b>		
Comment		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Thank you for your affirmative response.		
Scott Downey - Peak Reliability - 1		
Answer	Yes	
Document Name		
Comment		



Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Thank you for your affirmative response	e.



2. The PER PRT recommends that PER-004-2 be retired. The PER PRT believes that the requirements in PER-004-2 are duplicative with several other standards as outlined in the PER-004-2 EPR template. Do you agree with the recommendation? If not, please explain in the comment area below.		
Scott Downey - Peak Reliability - 1		
Answer	No	
Document Name		
Comment		
Peak respectfully disagrees with the recommendation that PER-004-2 be retired. PER-004-2 R1 states that each Reliability Coordinator shall be staffed 24 hours per day, seven days per week. This requirement is not adequately captured in other standards outlined in the PER-004-2 EPR template. Peak suggests consideration be given to incorporating the 24x7 staffing language into PER-003-1 R1.		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
The PRT does not agree with your recommendation to incorporate the 24x7 staffing language into PER-003. Entities would not be able to maintain the reliability of the BES in real-time unless those entities are staffed 24x7. In addition, based on the comments received from this posting, the majority of the industry agrees with the PRT's recommendation.		
Brian Van Gheem - ACES Power Marketing - 6 - NA - Not Applicable, Group Name ACES Standards Collaborators		
Answer	Yes	
Document Name		
Comment		



Thank you for the opportunity to comment.		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Thank you for your affirmative response	2.	
Shannon Mickens - Southwest Power P	ool, Inc. (RTO) - 2 - SPP RE, Group Name SPP Standards Review Group	
Answer	Yes	
Document Name		
Comment		
	eriodic Review Team (PRT) on identifying the Paragraph 81 Criteria associated with this particular oup is in agreeance with the recommendation of retirement of this Standard.	
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Thank you for your affirmative response	e and clarifying comment.	
Rachel Coyne - Texas Reliability Entity, Inc 10		
Answer	Yes	
Document Name		
Comment		
Both PER-004-2 requirements do appear to be substantially addressed by other reliability requirements.		



Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Thank you for your affirmative response and clarifying comment.		
Quintin Lee - Eversource Energy - 1,3,5		
Answer	Yes	
<b>Document Name</b>		
Comment		
We agree that the requirements of PER	R-004-2 are duplicative and that it can be retired	
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Thank you for your affirmative respons	e and clarifying comment.	
Oliver Burke - Entergy - Entergy Services, Inc 1,5		
Answer	Yes	
Document Name		
Comment		
Entergy agrees on the retirement of the PER-004 Standard.		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		



Thank you for your affirmative response and clarifying comment.		
LeRoy Patterson - Public Utility District No. 2 of Grant County, Washington - 1,4,5,6		
Answer	Yes	
Document Name		
Comment		
However, this organization is not a Relia	ability Coordinator so PER-004 does not apply to us.	
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Thank you for your affirmative response	e and clarifying comment.	
Aaron Cavanaugh - Bonneville Power Administration - 1,3,5,6 - WECC		
Answer	Yes	
Document Name		
Comment		
BPA believes that this Standard is for Reliability Coordinators and does not apply to BPA, therefore BPA has no objections to this proposed recommendation.		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Thank you for your affirmative response and clarifying comment.		
Richard Vine - California ISO - 2, Group Name ISO/RTO Council Standards Review Committee		



Answer	Yes	
Document Name		
Comment		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Thank you for your affirmative response	e.	
Ruida Shu - Northeast Power Coordinating Council - 1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10 - NPCC, Group Name RSC no Dominion and Eversource		
Answer	Yes	
Document Name		
Comment		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Thank you for your affirmative response.		
sean erickson - Western Area Power Administration - 1,6		
Answer	Yes	
<b>Document Name</b>		
Comment		
Likes 0		



Dislikes 0		
Response		
Thank you for your affirmative response.		
Colby Bellville - Duke Energy - 1,3,5,6 - FRCC,SERC,RF, Group Name Duke Energy		
Answer	Yes	
Document Name		
Comment		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Thank you for your affirmative respons	e.	
Laura Nelson - IDACORP - Idaho Power Company - 1		
Answer	Yes	
Document Name		
Comment		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Thank you for your affirmative response.		
Sean Bodkin - Dominion - Dominion Resources, Inc 3,5,6		
Answer	Yes	



Document Name		
Comment		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Thank you for your affirmative response	e.	
Lauren Price - American Transmission (	Company, LLC - 1	
Answer	Yes	
Document Name		
Comment		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Thank you for your affirmative response.		
Douglas Webb - Great Plains Energy - Kansas City Power and Light Co 1,3,5,6 - SPP RE		
Answer	Yes	
Document Name		
Comment		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		



Response		
Thank you for your affirmative response.		
Marsha Morgan - Southern Company - Southern Company Services, Inc 1,3,5,6 - SERC, Group Name Southern Company		
Answer	Yes	
Document Name		
Comment		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Thank you for your affirmative response.		
Preston Walker - PJM Interconnection, L.L.C 2 - SERC,RF		
Answer	Yes	
Document Name		
Comment		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Thank you for your affirmative response.		
Shawn Abrams - Santee Cooper - 1,3,5,6, Group Name Santee Cooper		
Answer	Yes	
Document Name		



Comment		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Thank you for your affirmative respons	e.	
Glen Farmer - Avista - Avista Corporati	on - 1,3,5	
Answer	Yes	
Document Name		
Comment		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Thank you for your affirmative respons	e.	
Rick Applegate - Tacoma Public Utilitie	s (Tacoma, WA) - 1,3,4,5,6	
Answer	Yes	
<b>Document Name</b>		
Comment		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		



Thank you for your affirmative response.		
Jeffrey DePriest - DTE Energy - Detroit Edison Company - 3,4,5		
Answer	Yes	
<b>Document Name</b>		
Comment		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Thank you for your affirmative response.		
Karie Barczak - DTE Energy - Detroit Edison Company - 3,4,5		
Answer	Yes	
Document Name		
Comment		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Thank you for your affirmative response.		
Daniel Herring - DTE Energy - Detroit Edison Company - 3,4,5		
Answer	Yes	
Document Name		
Comment		



Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Thank you for your affirmative response	e.	
Karen Webb - Tallahassee Electric (City	of Tallahassee, FL) - 1,3,5	
Answer	Yes	
Document Name		
Comment		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Thank you for your affirmative response	e.	
John Williams - Tallahassee Electric (City of Tallahassee, FL) - 1,3,5		
Answer	Yes	
Document Name		
Comment		
Likes 1	Tallahassee Electric (City of Tallahassee, FL), 5, Webb Karen	
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Thank you for your affirmative response.		



**End of Report**