Comment Report

There were 23 sets of responses, including comments from approximately 96 different people from approximately 73 companies representing 10 of the Industry Segments as shown in the table on the following pages.

Questions

1. Do you agree with the proposed scope as described in the SAR? If you do not agree, or if you agree but have comments or suggestions for the project scope please provide your recommendation and explanation.

2. Provide any additional comments for the drafting team to consider, if desired.

Organization Name	Name	Segment(s)	Region	Group Name	Group Member Name	Group Member Organization	Group Member Segment(s)	Group Member Region
Santee Cooper Chris Wagner	Chris Wagner	1,3,5,6		Santee Cooper	Jennifer Richards	Santee Cooper	1,3,5,6	SERC
					Clarke Mckenzie	Santee Cooper	1,3,5,6	SERC
					Diana Scott	Santee Cooper	1,3,5,6	SERC
					LaChelle	Brooks	1,3,5,6	SERC
РJM	Elizabeth	2	RF,SERC	ISO/RTO	Mike Del Viscio	PJM	2	RF
nterconnection, L.C.	Davis			Council (IRC)	Becky Davis	PJM	2	RF
L.L.O.				Standards Review Committee (SRC)	Gregory Campoli	New York Independent System Operator	2	NPCC
					Charles Yeung Helen Lainis	Southwest Power Pool, Inc. (RTO)	2	MRO
						IESO	2	NPCC
					Bobbi Welch	Midcontinent ISO, Inc.	2	RF
					Al Miremadi	CAISO	2	WECC
					Dana Showalter	Electric Reliability Council of Texas, Inc.	2	Texas RE
					Kathleen Goodman	ISO-NE	2	NPCC
Entergy Julie Hall 1	ulie Hall 1,3,6	Entergy	Entergy	Oliver Burke	Entergy - Entergy Services, Inc.	1	SERC	
					Jamie Prater	Entergy	5	SERC
/IRO	Kendra Buesgens		MRO	MRO NSRF	Bobbi Welch	Midcontinent ISO, Inc.	2	MRO
					Christopher Bills	City of Independence Power & Light	3,5	MRO
					Fred Meyer	Algonquin Power Co.	3	MRO

					Jamie Monette	Allete - Minnesota Power, Inc.	1	MRO
				Larry Heckert	Alliant Energy Corporation Services, Inc.	4	MRO	
					Marc Gomez	Southwestern Power Administration	1	MRO
					Matthew Harward	Southwest Power Pool, Inc.	2	MRO
					LaTroy Brumfield	American Transmission Company, LLC	1	MRO
					Bryan Sherrow	Kansas City Board Of Public Utilities	1	MRO
					Terry Harbour	MidAmerican Energy	1,3	MRO
					Jamison Cawley	Nebraska Public Power	1,3,5	MRO
					Seth Shoemaker	Muscatine Power & Water	1,3,5,6	MRO
					Michael Brytowski	Great River Energy	1,3,5,6	MRO
					David Heins	Omaha Public Power District	1,3,5,6	MRO
					George Brown	Acciona Energy North America	5	MRO
Duke Energy	Kim Thomas	1,3,5,6	FRCC,RF,SERC,Texas	Duke Energy	Laura Lee	Duke Energy	1	SERC
			RE		Dale Goodwine	Duke Energy	5	SERC
					Greg Cecil	Duke Energy	6	RF
Southern Company - Southern Company Services, Inc.	ompany - Hunter outhern ompany	SERC	Southern Company	Matt Carden	Southern Company - Southern Company Services, Inc.	1	SERC	
			Joel Dembowski	Southern Company - Alabama	3	SERC		

						Power Company		
					Ron Carlsen	Southern Company - Southern Company Generation	6	SERC
					Jim Howell	Southern Company - Southern Company Services, Inc. - Gen	5	SERC
Northeast Power Coordinating Council	Ruida Shu	uida Shu 1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10 NPCC	NPCC	NPCC Regional Standards Committee	Gerry Dunbar	Northeast Power Coordinating Council	10	NPCC
					Randy MacDonald	New Brunswick Power	2	NPCC
					Glen Smith	Entergy Services	4	NPCC
					Alan Adamson	New York State Reliability Council	7	NPCC
					David Burke	Orange & Rockland Utilities	3	NPCC
					Helen Lainis	IESO	2	NPCC
					David Kiguel	Independent	7	NPCC
					Nick Kowalczyk	Orange and Rockland	1	NPCC
				Joel Charlebois	AESI - Acumen Engineered Solutions International Inc.	5	NPCC	
					Mike Cooke	Ontario Power Generation, Inc.	4	NPCC
					Salvatore Spagnolo	New York Power Authority	1	NPCC

Shivaz Chopra	New York Power Authority	5	NPCC
Deidre Altobell	Con Ed - Consolidated Edison	4	NPCC
Dermot Smyth	Con Ed - Consolidated Edison Co. of New York	1	NPCC
Peter Yost	Con Ed - Consolidated Edison Co. of New York	3	NPCC
Cristhian Godoy	Con Ed - Consolidated Edison Co. of New York	6	NPCC
Nurul Abser	NB Power Corporation	1	NPCC
Randy MacDonald	NB Power Corporation	2	NPCC
Michael Ridolfino	Central Hudson Gas and Electric	1	NPCC
Vijay Puran	NYSPS	6	NPCC
ALAN ADAMSON	New York State Reliability Council	10	NPCC
Sean Cavote	PSEG - Public Service Electric and Gas Co.	1	NPCC
Brian Robinson	Utility Services	5	NPCC
Quintin Lee	Eversource Energy	1	NPCC
Jim Grant	NYISO	2	NPCC
John Pearson	ISONE	2	NPCC
Nicolas Turcotte	Hydro- Qu?bec TransEnergie	1	NPCC
Chantal Mazza	Hydro- Quebec	2	NPCC

				Michele Tondalo	United Illuminating Co.	1	NPCC
				Paul Malozewski	Hydro One Networks, Inc.	3	NPCC
				Sean Bodkin	Dominion - Dominion Resources, Inc.	6	NPCC
Southwest Power Pool, Inc. (RTO)	Power Pool, Mickens	MRO,SPP RE,WECC	SPP RTO	Shannon Mickens	Southwest Power Pool Inc.	2	MRO
				Matt Harward	Southwest Power Pool Inc.	2	MRO
				Bryan Wood	Southwest Power Pool Inc.	2	MRO
				Jim Williams	Southwest Power Pool Inc.	2	MRO
			Derek Hawkins	Southwest Power Pool Inc.	2	MRO	
				Yasser Bahbaz	Southwest Power Pool Inc.	2	MRO
				Scott Aclin	Southwest Power Pool Inc.	2	MRO

1. Do you agree with the proposed scope the project scope please provide your re	e as described in the SAR? If you do not agree, or if you agree but have comments or suggestions for commendation and explanation.					
Jessica Lopez - APS - Arizona Public Se	essica Lopez - APS - Arizona Public Service Co 1,3,5,6					
Answer	No					
Document Name						
Comment						
	rent definition of Reporting Area Control Error (ACE) as it presents conflict with the Western rection (ATEC) process and the proposed change would reduce any confusion, however, AZPS agrees with detail and technical justification is needed.					
AZPS supports the following commented su	bmitted by EEI:					
"Reporting ACE and Area Control Error (AC documents" and therefore any change will h	presently it lacks sufficient detail and technical justification to support its approval. The SAR states that E (standalone term)) are both used over 100 times in the set of standards, guidelines, and reference ave substantial impacts. Therefore, EEI believes that any project that proposes to make change of this al whitepaper explaining the need and containing a proposed solution.					
Among the questions that should be addres	sed in the whitepaper include the following:					
1. Please explain in greater detail the cur	rent accumulated time error issues within the Eastern Interconnection, and its cause.					
2. Please provide details to the proposed Interconnection, and other interconnections	solution that the Resources Committee (RS) has developed to address the issue within the Eastern should it be needed.					
3. Please provide details and analysis on (standalone term) in Reliability Standards a	the impact of the proposed change; noting the expansive use of the terms Reporting ACE and ACE nd Guidelines.					
	ne ATEC definition used by WECC within their BAL-004-WECC-03 Reliability Standard. How will the SDT d term will not have negative impacts on WECC processes and their BAL-004 Reliability Standard? If this d be included in the whitepaper.					
Likes 0						
Dislikes 0						
Response						
Mark Gray - Edison Electric Institute - NA	- Not Applicable - NA - Not Applicable					
Answer	No					
Document Name						
Comment						

EEI notes that the SAR may have merit but presently it lacks sufficient detail and technical justification to support its approval. The SAR states that "Reporting ACE and Area Control Error (ACE (standalone term)) are both used over 100 times in the set of standards, guidelines, and reference documents" and therefore any change will have substantial impacts. Therefore, EEI believes that any project that proposes to make change of this magnitude should be backed with a technical whitepaper explaining the need and containing a proposed solution.

Among the issues that should be addressed in the whitepaper include the following:

- 1. Please explain in greater detail the current accumulated time error issues within the Eastern Interconnection, and its cause.
- 2. Please provide details to the proposed solution that the Resources Committee (RS) has developed to address the issue within the Eastern Interconnection, and other interconnections should it be needed.
- 3. Please provide details and analysis on the impact of the proposed change; noting the expansive use of the terms Reporting ACE and ACE (standalone term) in Reliability Standards and Guidelines.
- 4. The project also proposes to change the ATEC definition used by WECC within their BAL-004-WECC-03 Reliability Standard. How will the SDT ensure that any change made to this defined term will not have negative impacts on WECC processes and their BAL-004 Reliability Standard? If this has already been done, those details should be included in the whitepaper.

Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Alan Kloster - Evergy - 1,3,5,6 - MRO	
Answer	No
Document Name	
Comment	
Evergy supports and incorporates by refere	nce Edison Electric Institute's (EEI) response to Question 1.
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Nazra Gladu - Manitoba Hydro - 1,3,5,6	
Answer	No
Document Name	
Comment	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	

Rachel Coyne - Texas Reliability Entity,	nc 10			
Answer	Yes			
Document Name				
Comment				
Texas RE supports the purpose of the SAR	to better align common terms across Interconnections.			
Likes 0				
Dislikes 0				
Response				
Pamela Hunter - Southern Company - So	uthern Company Services, Inc 1,3,5,6 - SERC, Group Name Southern Company			
Answer	Yes			
Document Name				
Comment				
The ATEC component should be available	to all interconnections and will allow for better management of interconnection time error and inadvertent.			
Likes 0				
Dislikes 0				
Response				
Matthew Jaramilla - Salt River Project - N	IA - Not Applicable - WECC			
Answer	Yes			
Document Name				
Comment				
None.				
Likes 0				
Dislikes 0				
Response				
Kim Thomas - Duke Energy - 1,3,5,6 - SERC,RF, Group Name Duke Energy				

Answer	Yes
Document Name	
Comment	
No comments.	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Ruida Shu - Northeast Power Coordinati	ng Council - 1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10 - NPCC, Group Name NPCC Regional Standards Committee
Answer	Yes
Document Name	
Comment	
We support the proposed scope as describe	ed in the SAR.
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Chris Wagner - Santee Cooper - 1,3,5,6, 0	Group Name Santee Cooper
Answer	Yes
Document Name	
Comment	
While Santee Cooper supports the intent of believe that the scope should be revised to	this SAR to allow Automatic Time Error Correction in any Interconnection that chooses to implement it, we clarify the proposed new definitions and how the impacted standards will be addressed.
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Leonard Kula - Independent Electricity S	ystem Operator - 2
Answer	Yes
Document Name	

Comment			
Likes 0			
Dislikes 0			
Response			
Steven Rueckert - Western Electricity Co	ordinating Council - 10		
Answer	Yes		
Document Name			
Comment			
Likes 0			
Dislikes 0			
Response			
Glenn Barry - Los Angeles Department o	f Water and Power - 1,3,5,6		
Answer	Yes		
Document Name			
Comment			
Likes 0			
Dislikes 0			
Response			
Julie Hall - Entergy - 1,3,6, Group Name	Entergy		
Answer	Yes		
Document Name			
Comment			
Likes 0			
Dislikes 0			
Response			

Dwanique Spiller - Berkshire Hathaway -	NV Energy - 5 - WECC		
Answer	Yes		
Document Name			
Comment			
Likes 0			
Dislikes 0			
Response			
	ervice Company of New Mexico - NA - Not Applicable - WECC		
Answer	Yes		
Document Name			
Comment			
Likes 0			
Dislikes 0			
Response			
Kendra Buesgens - MRO - 1,2,3,4,5,6 - M	RO, Group Name MRO NSRF		
Answer	Yes		
Document Name			
Comment			
Likes 0			
Dislikes 0			
Response			
Cain Braveheart - Bonneville Power Adm			
Answer	Yes		
Document Name			
Comment			

Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Marc Donaldson - Tacoma Public Utilitie	s (Tacoma, WA) - 1,3,4,5,6
Answer	Yes
Document Name	
Comment	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
	L.C 2 - SERC,RF, Group Name ISO/RTO Council (IRC) Standards Review Committee (SRC)
Answer	Yes
Document Name	
Comment	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
	ool, Inc. (RTO) - 2 - MRO,WECC, Group Name SPP RTO
Answer	Yes
Document Name	
Comment	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	

Bobbi Welch - Midcontinent ISO, Inc 2		
Answer	Yes	
Document Name		
Comment		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Darcy O'Connell - California ISO - 2		
Answer		
Document Name		
Comment		
CAISO agrees with comments submitted by the ISO/RTO Counsel (IRC) Standards Review Committee		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		

2. Provide any additional comments for the drafting team to consider, if desired.		
Bobbi Welch - Midcontinent ISO, Inc 2		
Answer		
Document Name		
Comment		
MISO supports the comments submitted by the MRO NERC Standards Review Forum (MRO NSRF). Thank you.		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Shannon Mickens - Southwest Power Pool, Inc. (RTO) - 2 - MRO,WECC, Group Name SPP RTO		
Answer		
Document Name		
Comment		
SPP recommends that the SAR Drafting Team consider adding a list of the applicable documents (Standards, Reliability Guideline, and Technical Reference Documents) which are impacted by the proposed definitions to the Detailed Description on page 2. Such action is an efficient way to ensure industry's situational awareness of the various NERC documents that are impacted by these proposed definition revisions; and will aid registered entities in its compliance goals.		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Kendra Buesgens - MRO - 1,2,3,4,5,6 - MI	RO, Group Name MRO NSRF	
Answer		
Document Name		
Comment		
To ensure industry awareness, the MRO NSRF recommends the Standard Drafting Team (SDT) consider providing the list of applicable NERC documents (i.e. Standards, Reliability Guidelines and/or Technical Reference Documents) which have the potential to be impacted by proposed changes to the existing definitions as part of the Detailed Description on page 2. This is an efficient way to inform industry of potential impacts, thereby enhancing situational awareness which will aid entities in ensuring all compliance obligations are met.		

Likes 0

Dislikes 0		
Response		
Casey Perry - PNM Resources - Public Service Company of New Mexico - NA - Not Applicable - WECC		
Answer		
Document Name		
Comment		
No additional comments.		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Jessica Lopez - APS - Arizona Public Service Co 1,3,5,6		
Answer		
Document Name		
Comment		
N/A		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Kim Thomas - Duke Energy - 1,3,5,6 - SE	RC,RF, Group Name Duke Energy	
Answer		
Document Name		
Comment		
No additional comments.		
Likes 0		
Dielikaa 0		
Dislikes 0		

Matthew Jaramilla - Salt River Project - NA - Not Applicable - WECC		
Answer		
Document Name		
Comment		
None.		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		