

North American Electric Reliability Council

Princeton Forrestal Village, 116-390 Village Boulevard, Princeton, New Jersey 08540-5731

Coordinate Interchange Transactions - SAR Drafting Team

August 26, 2002

Conference Call Agenda

The Conference Call Notice and Drafting Team Roster are **Attachments A and B**.

NERC Antitrust Guidelines is **Attachment C**.

The team should address the following item on the call:

- ➤ Review and finalize for posting the SAR's Cover Letter.
- Review and finalize for posting the Issues List. The Issues List is **Attachment D**.
- > Review and finalized for posting the Version 2 of the SAR and drafting team's response to comments. The redlined SAR is **Attachments E.**

The team should review the meeting arrangements for the October 2, 2002 meeting.

Gordon Scott

Gordon Scott SAR Drafting Team Facilitator

Conference Call Notice

Group: Coordinate Interchange Transactions SAR Drafting Team

Reason: Finalize and post the following:

- Second draft of the SAR Post for comment
- Responses to comments from first posting
- Issues List for posting with SAR
- Cover letter for posting with SAR

Number: (816) 650-0602 Access Code: 660844#

Date: Monday, August 26, 2002

Time: 11:30 a.m. EDT

Duration: 2 hours

Attachments: Drafts of those documents above

SAR Drafting Team Roster Coordinate Interchange

Name Title		Company	Address	Phone/E-mail			
	Sr Eng/Tech Officer Mkt		Station A, Box 4474	905-855-4120			
Adrian Malo	Ops & Frcst	The IMO	Toronto, Ontario, M5W 4E5	adrian.malo@theIMO.com			
Carolyn Generation Control			5400 Westheimer	713-989-0890			
Ingersoll	Analyst	Duke Energy	Houston, TX 77098	cjingersoll@duke-energy.com			
Charles H.	Director, Business	Reliant	1111 Louisiana St	713-207-2935			
Yeung	Standards	Resources	Houston, TX 77002	cyeung@reliant.com			
			500 S. 27th Street	217-424-6711			
Dave McGinnis	Sr Mgr-Ctrl Area Services			dave_mcginnis@illinoispower.com			
D : 114 D	0 = :		526 S. Church St.	704-382-9841			
David McRee		.	Charlotte, NC 28201	damcree@duke-energy.com			
Diana Barnay			3 Empire State Plaza	518/486-2943			
Diane Barney	Planning Engineer		Albany, NY 12223 139 East 4th Street, Rm	Diane_barney@dps.state.ny.us			
			635A	513-287-2149			
Douglas F Hils	Mgr-Ctrl Area Ops		Cincinnati, Ohio 45202	doug.hils@cinergy.com			
Douglas E. Tillo	Ing. Carraga ope	International		acagc contengy teem			
			1901 South Wagner Road	734-665-3628			
Jim Cyrulewski	VP - Operations		Ann Arbor, Michigan 48103	cyrulewskij@dteenergy.com			
	Director of Grid		151 Blue Ravine Road	916-351-2101			
Jim McIntosh	Operations	California ISO	Folsom, CA 95630	jmcintosh@caiso.com			
			2705 West Lake Drive	512-248-3925			
Joel Mickey	Mgr Mkt Ops Support		Taylor, Texas 76574	jmickey@ercot.com			
			PCC-Corporate Headquarters				
L.L. M. D.	Director, Bulk Power	, ,		205-257-5450			
John W. Pope	Operations		Birmingham, AL 35291-8210				
Linda Clarke	Regulatory Spec		300 Exelon Way Kennett Square, PA 19348	610-765-6698 lclarke@pwrteam.com			
Liliua Ciarke				'			
Niek Henen	Energy Coord, Special		6301 S Street,	916-732-5699			
Nick Henery	Projects		Sacramento, CA 95852 955 Jefferson Avenue	nhenery@smud.org			
			Valley Forge Corporate				
			Center	610-666-8854			
Al DiCaprio	Strategist		Norristown, PA 19403	dicapram@pjm.com			
'	Manager – Transmission		116-390 Village Boulevard	609-452-8060			
Gordon Scott			Princeton, NJ 08540	Gordon.scott@nerc.com			

Guests

Tom Hawley	Manager-Power Operations	231 W. Michigan Street, A214 Milwaukee, WI 53201	414-221-4500 tom.Hawley@we-energies.com
Tony Jankowski	Manager-EDS	W237 N 1500 Busse Road	262-544-7117 tony.jankowski@we- energies.com
	Super. Transmission Bus. Operations	5201 W. Barraque Pine Bluff, AR 41602	mmontg3@entergy.com



North American Electric Reliability Council

Princeton Forrestal Village, 116-390 Village Boulevard, Princeton, New Jersey 08540-5731

NERC ANTITRUST COMPLIANCE GUIDELINES

I. GENERAL

It is NERC's policy and practice to obey the antitrust laws and to avoid all conduct that unreasonably restrains competition. This policy requires the avoidance of any conduct that violates, or which might appear to violate, the antitrust laws. Among other things, the antitrust laws forbid any agreement between or among competitors regarding prices, availability of service, product design, terms of sale, division of markets, allocation of customers or any other activity that unreasonably restrains competition.

It is the responsibility of every NERC participant and employee who may in any way affect NERC's compliance with the antitrust laws to carry out this commitment.

Antitrust laws are complex and subject to court interpretation that can vary over time and from one court to another. The purpose of these guidelines is to alert NERC participants and employees to potential antitrust problems and to set forth policies to be followed with respect to activities that may involve antitrust considerations. In some instances, the NERC policy contained in these guidelines is stricter than the applicable antitrust laws. Any NERC participant or employee who is uncertain about the legal ramifications of a particular course of conduct or who has doubts or concerns about whether NERC's antitrust compliance policy is implicated in any situation should consult NERC's General Counsel immediately.

II. PROHIBITED ACTIVITIES

Participants in NERC activities (including those of its committees and subgroups) should refrain from the following when acting in their capacity as participants in NERC activities (e.g., at NERC meetings, conference calls and in informal discussions):

- Discussions involving pricing information, especially margin (profit) and internal cost information and participants' expectations as to their future prices or internal costs.
- Discussions of a participant's marketing strategies.
- Discussions regarding how customers and geographical areas are to be divided among competitors.
- Discussions concerning the exclusion of competitors from markets.
- Discussions concerning boycotting or group refusals to deal with competitors, vendors or suppliers.

Approved by NERC Board of Trustees June 14, 2002

III. ACTIVITIES THAT ARE PERMITTED

From time to time decisions or actions of NERC (including those of its committees and subgroups) may have a negative impact on particular entities and thus in that sense adversely impact competition. Decisions and actions by NERC (including its committees and subgroups) should only be undertaken for the purpose of promoting and maintaining the reliability and adequacy of the bulk power system. If you do not have a legitimate purpose consistent with this objective for discussing a matter, please refrain from discussing the matter during NERC meetings and in other NERC-related communications.

You should also ensure that NERC procedures, including those set forth in NERC's Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws are followed in conducting NERC business. Other NERC procedures that may be applicable to a particular NERC activity include the following:

- Organization Standards Process Manual
- Transitional Process for Revising Existing NERC Operating Policies and Planning Standards
- Organization and Procedures Manual for the NERC Standing Committees
- System Operator Certification Program

In addition, all discussions in NERC meetings and other NERC-related communications should be within the scope of mandate for or assignment to the particular NERC committee or subgroup, as well as within the scope of the published agenda for the meeting.

No decisions should be made nor any actions taken in NERC activities for the purpose of giving an industry participant or group of participants a competitive advantage over other participants. In particular, decisions with respect to setting, revising, or assessing compliance with NERC reliability standards should not be influenced by anti-competitive motivations.

Subject to the foregoing restrictions, participants in NERC activities may discuss:

- Reliability matters relating to the bulk power system, including operation and planning matters such as establishing or revising reliability standards, special operating procedures, operating transfer capabilities, and plans for new facilities.
- Matters relating to the impact of reliability standards for the bulk power system on electricity
 markets, and the impact of electricity market operations on the reliability of the bulk power
 system.
- Proposed filings or other communications with state or federal regulatory authorities or other governmental entities.
- Matters relating to the internal governance, management and operation of NERC, such as nominations for vacant committee positions, budgeting and assessments, and employment matters; and procedural matters such as planning and scheduling meetings.

Any other matters that do not clearly fall within these guidelines should be reviewed with NERC's General Counsel before being discussed.

Coordinate Interchange SAR Drafting Team Issues List Updated: 08/19/02

	Issue Description					
00-01	The drafting team believes that the reliability object of the SAR is the coordination of information and actions between the Balancing Authority and the Reliability Authority. Do you agree? Would you include the Transmission Service Provider or Transmission Owner or other functions defined in the Reliability Model?	August 19, 2002 Conference Call				
00-02	The drafting team believes another reliability objective is for the Interchange Authority authorizes valid scheduled interchange and balanced interchange schedules to the Reliability Authority. Do you agree?	August 19, 2002 Conference Call				
00-03	Should this SAR address the coordination of generation related services?	August 19, 2002 Conference Call				
00-04	Should the drafting team focus its work on both intra-RTO and inter-RTO seams?	August 06, 2002 Meeting				
00-05	Should the standard ensure that data is provided to those functions that need to check and verify the data for agreement between Balancing Authorities? The above assumes that the coordination can take place anytime before implementation, should the SAR also address real-time coordination?	August 19, 2002 Conference Call				

	Issue Description	Issue Identified				
00-06	In the RTO world is the term "Interchange Transaction" as defined in NERC Policy adequate? For all the terms below: Is this an adequate term for this reliability SAR?					
	Terms Used in NERC Policy					
	 INTERCHANGE TRANSACTION. A TRANSACTION that crosses one or more Control Area boundaries. INTERCHANGE SCHEDULE. The planned INTERCHANGE between two ADJACENT CONTROL AREAS that results from the implementation of one or more INTERCHANGE TRANSACTION(s). NET ACTUAL INTERCHANGE. The algebraic sum of all metered interchange over all INTERCONNECTIONS between two PHYSICALLY ADJACENT CONTROL AREAS. NET SCHEDULED INTERCHANGE. The net of all INTERCHANGE SCHEDULES with all ADJACENT CONTROL AREAS. It is, in essence, the scheduled interchange with the INTERCONNECTION. ACTUAL INTERCHANGE. The metered interchange over a specific INTERCONNECTION between two PHYSICALLY ADJACENT CONTROL AREAS. TRANSACTION. An agreement arranged by a PURCHASING-SELLING ENTITY to transfer energy from seller to a buyer. 					
00-07						
00-08						
00-09						
00-10						
00-11						

Original Title:	Coordinate Interchange
Revised Title:	Coordinate Interchange Transactions

Summary Consideration of Comments on Title:

The drafting team believes the SAR should address Interchange Transactions as they affect Interchange Schedules. The SAR is not meant to address Net Actual Interchange i.e. what is being checked at the meters. The drafting team proposes to change the title of the SAR to "Coordinate Interchange Transactions" clarifying what the SAR intends to address.

Terms Used in NERC Policy

INTERCHANGE TRANSACTION. A TRANSACTION that crosses one or more Control Area boundaries.

INTERCHANGE SCHEDULE. The planned INTERCHANGE between two ADJACENT CONTROL AREAS that results from the implementation of one or more INTERCHANGE TRANSACTION(S).

NET ACTUAL INTERCHANGE. The algebraic sum of all metered interchange over all INTERCONNECTIONS between two PHYSICALLY ADJACENT CONTROL AREAS.

NET SCHEDULED INTERCHANGE. The net of all INTERCHANGE SCHEDULES with all ADJACENT CONTROL AREAS. It is, in essence, the scheduled interchange with the INTERCONNECTION.

ACTUAL INTERCHANGE. The metered interchange over a specific INTERCONNECTION between two PHYSICALLY ADJACENT CONTROL AREAS.

TRANSACTION. An agreement arranged by a PURCHASING-SELLING ENTITY to transfer energy from seller to a buyer.

INTERCHANGE TRANSACTION. A TRANSACTION that crosses one or more Control Area boundaries.

Comment:

This SAR and its scope should be clarified as to the extent to which it addresses "Interchange." It appears that its purpose is to address SCHEDULED Interchange only, but it is simply not clear. We would like to have the title clarified to express the intent of the purpose of the SAR. The resulting scope of measures will be greatly affected by this clarification. (Dairyland)

Original Purpose/Industry Need:

To ensure that the implementation of TRANSACTIONS BALANCING AUTHORITIES are coordinated to maintain system reliability.

Revised Purpose/Industry Need:

To ensure that the implementation of TRANSACTIONS between BALANCING AUTHORITIES are coordinated such that the following reliability objectives are met:

To provide the RELIABILITY AUTHORITY with validated INTERCHANGE TRANSACTION information to perform security studies.

To provide the Balancing Authority with validated Interchange Transactions for use in developing the respective BALANCING AUTHORITY Net Scheduled Interchange.

Summary Consideration of Comments on Purpose/Industry Need:

The Purpose/Industry Need for this SAR has been revised based on the comments submitted by industry participants. The SAR addresses the proper coordination of transactions between all involved parties. The reliability objectives that form the basis for this SAR have been identified and added to this SAR. This SAR addresses "What" performance should be achieved without addressing specifically "How" to achieve that performance. The details of "How" to achieve the desired performance may include commercial elements and is left up to each entity.

Comment:

There is inadequate detail in the SAR to determine if the scope of the SAR is appropriate and adequate. What is the reliability objective of coordinating interchange? The description of this Standard presumes the HOW without clearly defining the WHAT. (Illinois Power Company)

Consideration:

The scope of the SAR has been more specifically defined and its reliability objectives have been clearly stated in the revised SAR.

Comment:

Manitoba Hydro believes that the scope of this SAR as defined above, although required, is not a reliability requirement but a Business Standard one. The main concern here is inadvertent flows which is a Business Standards issue. We believe a SAR is required to address reliability requirements related to SCHEDULED Interchange; any monitoring and data requirements related to this function.

The Industry Need has not been defined for this SAR. (Manitoba Hydro)

Consideration:

The scope of the SAR has been more specifically defined to clarify that it is not intended to address business practices. The reliability objectives have been clearly stated in the revised SAR.

Comment:

Add specific measurable boundary conditions. The SAR lacks a description of the objective of this standard - only refers to "maintain system reliability". What are the aspects of reliability it is intended for? Real-time balance? Frequency? System stability? (Reliant Resources)

Consideration:

The objective of the SAR is being revised based on the comments submitted by industry participants. The SAR addresses the proper coordination of transactions between all involved parties.

Comment:

A lack of coordination will not directly impact grid reliability. Reliability is only threatened when a grid operator reacts inappropriately when coordination is lacking. (e.g. Operating limits) (Calpine)

Consideration:

The SAR has been revised to clarify the reliability objectives. The intent of the SAR is to meet the following reliability objectives:

- To provide the RELIABILITY AUTHORITY with validated INTERCHANGE TRANSACTION information to perform security studies.
- To provide the BALANCING AUTHORITY with validated INTERCHANGE TRANSACTIONS for use in developing the respective BALANCING AUTHORITY Net Scheduled Interchange.

Comment:

The purpose/industry need section should start with: The purpose of this standard is to ensure that a consistent, uniformly applied standard is developed for ... (Dynegy, Inc.)

Consideration:

This is a comment that Dynegy submitted on several of the SARs. A standard template has been drafted to ensure that all new standards are written in a consistent format. Your suggestion that we follow a standard format for the SARs is a good one and has been forwarded to the Standards Process Manager.

Original Brief Description:

Establish requirements for defining, assessing, confirming, and implementing INTERCHANGE TRANSACTIONS.

This shall include items such as data, communications, and timing requirements among Reliability Functions.

Revised Brief Description:

Define reliability related data to be verified in validating INTERCHANGE TRANSACTIONS in order to address the SAR's purpose and industry need.

Revised Brief Description:

To ensure reliability related data pertaining to interchange transactions is verified and communicated to functional authorities the following standards should apply:

- -Reliability related data to be verified should include megawatt magnitude, ramp start and stop times, and the interchange transaction's duration.
- -Reliability related data should be communicated by and between the IA, BA, RA, TSP, and PSE functions.
- -Verification of data should indicate that a mutual agreement exists between parties that intend to implement a proposed interchange transaction as well as approval by the appropriate functional authorities.

Summary Consideration of Comments on Brief Description:

The Brief Description of this SAR has been revised based on the comments submitted by industry participants. The SAR addresses the proper coordination of TRANSACTIONS between all involved parties. The proposed standard would identify what types of data need to be exchanged between functions to ensure the Reliability Authority has the data needed to perform security analyses and to ensure the Balancing Authority has the data needed to develop the Net Scheduled Interchange. This SAR is confined to the "what" and does not go into the "how."

Comment:

Clarify in description that this applies to tagging and scheduling in the real time environment. (Duke Power)

Consideration:

The drafting team revised the Brief Description to explain that the SAR is to address coordination of INTERCHANGE TRANSACTIONS.

Comment:

The description assumes a solution to a problem that is not clearly defined. "..requirements for defining, assessing, confirming, and implementing interchange transactions.." are possible means to achieve some reliability objective. They are not the reliability objectives themselves and should not be presumed to be

the only solutions to achieving an underlying reliability objective that is not clearly stated in this SAR. This standard as proposed will be difficult to measure and enforce. There are numerous procedures and requirements that may be required to facilitate the reliability needs, however, not all of them fall under the definition of a core Organization Standard that is measurable. NERC must distinguish these requirements from core Organization Standards and apply an appropriate standards development process for them. (Reliant Resources)

Consideration:

The SAR has been revised to more clearly identify its reliability objectives. The revised Brief Description should clarify that the SAR will only address the reliability-related components of data that must be exchanged between functions to support security studies and for developing NET SCHEDULED INTERCHANGE.

Comment:

The standard should only define the requirement to be met to maintain reliability. How the affected entities comply with the standard are business process issues that should be addressed by NAESB. (Mirant Americas Energy Marketing)

Consideration:

The SAR has been revised to more clearly identify its reliability objectives. The revised Brief Description should clarify that the SAR will only address the reliability-related components of data that must be exchanged between functions to support security studies and for developing NET SCHEDULED INTERCHANGE.

Comment:

Specification of data, communications, data could go beyond principles and expected results. Avoid descriptions on "how to do it".

Caution should be taken to define the principles, but not describe the operation of the ESC system. (Nova Scotia Power Inc.)

Consideration:

The SAR has been revised to more clearly identify its reliability objectives. The revised Brief Description should clarify that the SAR will only address the reliability-related components of data that must be exchanged between functions to support security studies and for developing NET SCHEDULED INTERCHANGE. This SAR addresses "what" data must be exchanged and doesn't go beyond that to require utilization of procedures that define "how to do it".

Comment:

Reduce the scope: Items such as data, communications and timing requirements should be defined in this SAR. However, establishing requirements for defining, assessing, confirming and implementing interchange transactions standards be developed in a process which takes into account market and reliability interests. (Allegheny Energy Supply)

Consideration:

The SAR should not include "how" the standard is to be achieved or "how" it is to be implemented but "what" performance is expected.

The standard may identify categories of data, communications, and agreements necessary for ensuring coordination prior to implementation of a TRANSACTION. The industry must decide what to include in the standard by submitting specific comments that identify the elements to be included. The SAR is not intended to address market interests. We expect that the market-related procedures used to support the coordination of INTERCHANGE will be addressed through a NERC/NAESB coordination effort.

Comment:

The SAR should not include "how" the standard is achieved or "how" it is implemented but "what" is expected.

Consideration:

We expect the standard will identify categories of data, communications, and agreements necessary for ensuring coordination prior to implementation of a TRANSACTION. The industry must decide what should be included in the standard by submitting specific comments on the SAR.

Comment:

(Eliminate) all references to HOW this standard would be met such as data, communications, and timing. These tend to be issues as to HOW to achieve the standard not what the standard should be. (Illinois Power Company)

Consideration:

The SAR should not include "how" the standard is to be achieved or "how" it is to be implemented but "what" performance is expected.

The standard may identify categories of data, communications, and agreements necessary for ensuring coordination prior to implementation of a TRANSACTION. The industry must decide what to include in the standard by submitting specific comments that identify the elements to be included. The SAR is not intended to address market interests. We expect that the market-related procedures used to support the coordination of Interchange will be addressed through a NERC/NAESB coordination effort.

Comment:

Reduce the Scope: eliminate references to commercial/business processes (Mirant Americas Energy Marketing)

Consideration:

The SAR is not intended to address commercial/business processes. If you feel that there is a specific commercial/business process that is being addressed by this SAR, please identify this in your written comments.

Comment:

Re-write description to include "..and timing requirements among Reliability and Balancing Functions." (California ISO)

Consideration:

Communication of timing is required between the BALANCING AUTHORITY, RELIABILITY AUTHORITY, TRANSMISSION PROVIDER, generators, and loads; timing must be agreed to by all functional entities. This SAR addresses timing as an element of data to be exchanged between involved functions, but doesn't address specific time constraints for accomplishing the coordination of TRANSACTIONS.

Comment:

The scope is too general. Interchange information should be coordinated at multiple levels including planning, scheduling, and balancing. (Ameren Services -Energy Delivery Technical Services)

Consideration:

The scope of the SAR is currently limited to addressing scheduled interchange as communicated between two or more functions. The functions included in the SAR are the RELIABILITY AUTHORITY, INTERCHANGE AUTHORITY, BALANCING AUTHORITY, TRANSMISSION SERVICE PROVIDER AND PURCHASING-SELLING ENTITY. If you feel that there are additional functions that should be addressed, please identify the functions and their associated tasks.

The SAR has been revised to more clearly identify its reliability objectives. The revised Brief Description should clarify that the SAR will only address the reliability-related components of data that must be exchanged between functions to support security studies and for developing NET SCHEDULED INTERCHANGE.

The drafting team revised the Brief Description to explain that the SAR is to address coordination of INTERCHANGE TRANSACTIONS.

Comment:

Add specific reliability-based rules governing tagging energy to exactly match energy flow (i.e. not allowing "gaming" the integrated MW-value for the hour). (NIPS (Northern Indiana Public Service Co.)

Consideration:

Matching energy is a function of the Balancing SAR and should be included as a comment to that SAR. This SAR addresses Interchange Transactions as they affect Interchange Schedules communicated between two or more Reliability Model functions.¹

This SAR does not address gaming. If gaming denotes a commercial activity then gaming should be addressed in the market monitoring process. This SAR addresses requirements regarding the coordination of individual TRANSACTIONS.

Comment:

The creation of a standard for the effective coordination of the interconnection is needed to address the way transmission business is conducted in our industry. Currently NERC policy 3 defines the interchange protocol that most of the industry subscribes to. But this protocol is not followed, defined, and implemented uniformally throughout the interconnection. This leads to isolated areas of confusion when balancing the interconnection and conducting business. We need consistent criteria that can be applied to large geographical areas, such as the three basic interconnections. Standards that address the implementation of One-Stop-Shop business, common timing requirements, products, and operational time need to be reviewed. (FirstEnergy Corp)

¹ The functions are identified in the Functional Model and include the Reliability Authority, Interchange Authority, Balancing Authority, Planning Authority, Transmission Service Provider, Transmission Owner, Transmission Operator, Distribution Provider, Load-Serving Entity, and Purchasing-Selling Entity and Generators.

Consideration:

The NERC Board of Trustees directed that we focus on developing reliability-related standards. Standards that involve business practices and communications protocols will be developed by NAESB, and standards that involve both reliability and commercial practices will most likely be developed through a combined NERC/NAESB standards development process. NERC's reliability standards are intended to address 'What' performance must be accomplished, but aren't necessarily intended to identify 'How' to achieve that level of performance. NERC's Policy 3 goes beyond identifying 'What' performance to achieve, and includes 'How' to achieve that performance and includes some requirements that have an impact on markets as well as reliability – for these reasons Policy 3 is not a role model for the format of NERC's new reliability standards.

Comment:

Emphasize developing coordinated methods for determining how to handle roll-over rights and partial path reservations in planning models. (American Transmission Company)

Consideration:

This SAR is limited to the reliability-related aspects of coordinating TRANSACTIONS and is limited to identifying "What" performance should be achieved without delving into the specifics of "How" to achieve that performance. The underlying processes (such as how to determine roll-over rights) used to support the coordination of INTERCHANGE contain elements that relate to both reliability and commercial practices and need to be addressed in a joint NERC/NAESB standards development effort.

Comment:

Coordinating interchange should consider Automatic Generation Control (AGC) and Generation Rejection Schemes and their impact on interconnected systems. This indicates that in the Reliability Functions matrix, this Standard should also apply to generators. (Independent Electricity Market Operator (IMO))

Consideration:

AGC and Generation Rejection Schemes are tools that can be used to achieve a performance objective. NERC's reliability standards are intended to identify 'What' performance should be achieved, without necessarily identifying 'How' to achieve that performance. In developing its new standards development process, industry participants clearly indicated that when NERC's Policies and Standards delved into "How" to achieve performance, they sometimes had an unintended, adverse impact on markets.

Detailed Description:

Revised: To address Coordination of INTERCHANGE TRANSACTIONS as they impact INTERCHANGE SCHEDULES the SAR drafting team is providing this description of the data communications between the INTERCHANGE AUTHORITY and other functional authorities that are necessary for reliable operations.

- 1. The TRANSACTION is initiated. [Indicating by definition an agreement to transfer energy exists]
- 2. Each PURCHASING-SELLING ENTITY submits reliability related TRANSACTION data to its INTERCHANGE AUTHORITY [megawatt magnitude, ramp start and stop times, and TRANSACTION duration].
- 3. The INTERCHANGE AUTHORITY submits the TRANSACTION for approval to the TRANSMISSION SERVICE PROVIDER, [Do we need to have a submittal to the source and sink approval authorities here?].
- 4. The INTERCHANGE AUTHORITY communicates the TRANSACTIONS status to the PURCHASING-SELLING ENTITY [Approved or Denied].
- 5. The INTERCHANGE AUTHORITY communicates only *approved and verified* INTERCHANGE SCHEDULE data to the BALANCING AUTHORITY and the RELIABILITY AUTHORITY.
- 6. The BALANCING AUTHORITY receiving data from an INTERCHANGE AUTHORITY communicates the NET SCHEDULED INTERCHANGE to the neighboring BALANCING AUTHORITY receiving data from the INTERCHANGE AUTHORITY.
- 7. The RELIBILITY AUTHORITY performs congestion management security studies and approves or denies the INTERCHANGE SCHEDULE, and communicates approved INTERCHANGE SCHEDULES to the INTERCHANGE AUTHORITY.
- 8. The INTERCHANGE AUTHORITY communicates the TRANSACTIONS status to the PURCHASING-SELLING ENTITY [Approved or Denied].

Or	iginal Functions:	
X	Reliability Auth	Ensures the reliability of the bulk transmission system within its Security Authority Area. Highest reliability authority.
X	Balancing Auth	Integrates resource plans ahead of time, and maintains load- interchange-resource balance within its metered boundary and supports system frequency in real time
X	Interchange Auth	Authorizes valid and balanced Interchange Schedules
	Planning Auth	Plans the bulk electric system
X	Transmission Service Provider	Provides trans services to qualified market participants under applicable transmission service agreements
	Trans Owner	Owns transmission facilities
	Transmission Operator	Operates and maintains the transmission facilities, and executes switching orders
_	Distribution Provider	Provides and operates the "wires" between the transmission system and the customer
	Generator	Owns and operates generation unit(s) or runs a market for generation products that performs the functions of supplying energy and Interconnected Operations Services
X	Purchasing-Selling Entity	The function of purchasing or selling energy, capacity and all necessary Interconnected Operations Services as required.
_	Load-Serving Entity	Secures energy and transmission (and related generation services) to serve the end user

Summary Consideration of Comments on Applicable Functions:

The functions checked are the functions that would have performance requirements and associated compliance elements in the proposed standard. At this point, the functions that would have performance measures as part of this proposed standard are the Reliability Authority, Balancing Authority, Interchange Authority, Transmission Service Provider and Purchasing-Selling Entity. No performance requirements have been identified that are assigned to the Planning Authority, the Generator or the Load-Serving Entity.

Comment:

The Planning Authority has a role in that long term transactions factor into the planning for the transmission system. (Michigan Electric Coordinated Systems (MECS))

Consideration:

See the Drafting teams' comment - Summary Consideration of Comments on Applicable Functions

Comment:

This is a process that should be part of Coordinate Operation. Even if this is kept as a SAR, additional entities such as the Generator and LSE should be included. (SERC)

\sim		. •	
('On	SIC	erati	on.

See the Drafting teams' comment - Summary Consideration of Comments on Applicable Functions

Comment:

This standard should also apply to the Generator and Load-Serving Entities functions since those functions are the ultimate source and sink on interchange transactions. (American Transmission Company)

Consideration:

See the Drafting teams' comment - Summary Consideration of Comments on Applicable Functions

Ori	igina	I Reliability and Market Interface Principles						
Ар	plica	ble Reliability Principles (Put an 'x in front of all that apply)						
X	Interconnected bulk electric systems shall be planned and operated in a coordinated manner to perform reliably under normal and abnormal conditions.							
Х	2. The frequency of interconnected bulk electric systems shall be controlled within defined limits through the balancing of electric supply and demand							
X	Information necessary for planning and operation of interconnected bulk electric systems shall be made available to those entities responsible for planning and operating the systems reliably							
	4.	Plans for emergency operation and system restoration of interconnecte shall be developed, coordinated, maintained and implemented	d bulk electric systems					
Х	5.	Facilities for communication, monitoring and control shall be provided, for the reliability of interconnected bulk electric systems	used and maintained					
	6.	Personnel responsible for planning and operating interconnected bulk of be trained, qualified and have the responsibility and authority to implement						
X	7.	The security of the interconnected bulk electric systems shall be assess maintained on a wide area basis	sed, monitored and					
Ма	rket	le proposed Standard comply with all of the following Interface Principles? r 'yes' or 'no')	Yes					
1.		connected The planning and operation of bulk electric systems shall recossential requirement of a robust North American economy	ognize that reliability is					
2.	An C	organization Standard shall not give any market participant an unfair com	petitive advantage					
3.	. An Organization Standard shall neither mandate nor prohibit any specific market structure							
4.	An C Stan	Organization Standard shall not preclude market solutions to achieving codard	mpliance with that					
5.	infor	Organization Standard shall not require the public disclosure of commercion mation. All market participants shall have equal opportunity to access continuous itive information that is required for compliance with reliability standards						

Comment:

Reliability Principle #6, "Personnel responsible for planning and operating interconnected bulk electric systems shall be trained, qualified and have the responsibility and authority to implement actions," should be applied to this standard. (American Transmission Company)

Consideration:

DRAFT RESPONSE BY GLS – Your comment will be referred to the Standards Authorization Committee and may be addressed in the Reliability Model's certification process.

Summary Consideration of Comments on Commercial Practices:

The industry agrees that there are reliability issues in this SAR. The SAR is not intended to address commercial business processes, and we have tried to clarify this with the revisions made to the SAR. If you feel that there are any commercial business practices addressed with the revised SAR, please submit specific comments that identify these so we can make appropriate adjustments to the SAR.

Other Comments on Commercial Practices:

Comment:

This is NOT a reliability standard. It is purely commercial and should be the subject of a NAESB action. NERC, in its participation in the NAESB process can manage the indirect reliability issues as a part of that process. (Calpine)

Comment:

The existing NERC standard Policy 3 includes procedures for market participants to identify commercial transactions for reliability information. These procedures have profound impacts on market activity and should be developed with the NAESB process and filed at FERC for approval. (Reliant Resources)

Comment:

The establishment of this SAR is premature. All commercial implications of the SAR should be identified and mitigated prior to the drafting. (Electricity Consumers Resource Council (ELCON)

Comment:

The SAR needs to focus strictly on setting reliability measures related to coordinating interchange. The effort must be coordinated with any commercial standards which are developed. (Exelon Corporation)

Comment:

Many of the aspects discussed in SAR#7 seem to involve commercial business practices associated with scheduling transactions (or requesting transactions to be scheduled). We agree that there is a need to standardize the process by which interchange authorities implement interchange schedules. However, any attempt to standardize scheduling requirements to be imposed upon market participants would have significant commercial implications and should be vetted through the NAESB commercial business practice standards process. Hence, the standard should be modified to limit its scope to the purely reliability aspects of implementation of interchange schedules between interchange authorities. (Southern Company)

Comment:

The standard should only define the requirement to be met to maintain reliability. How the affected entities comply with the standard are business process issues that should be addressed by NAESB. (Mirant Americas Energy Marketing)

Comment:

This one needs a lot of work. Don't ignore the E-tag documentation nor the work of the ESC. Also must stay on top of the upcoming work of NAESB (Bonneville Power Administration - Power Business Line)

Consideration:

DRAFT RESPONSE BY GLS – Drafting Team memberS include members from the Interchange Subcommittee, who oversees Tagging, and the Electronic Scheduling Collaborative. The Drafting Team is aware of the need for coordination and staying abreast of other group's work.

Summary Consideration of Comments on FERC's Standard Market Design NOPR:

This SAR is limited to the reliability-related aspects of coordinating TRANSACTIONS and is limited to identifying "What" performance should be achieved without delving into the specifics of "How" to achieve that performance. As currently written, this SAR does not include any timing requirements.

The FERC SMD NOPR has been issued and we are reviewing the document to ensure that this standard will be consistent with FERC's ruling. We don't expect any of the requirements of the proposed standard to conflict with FERC approved tariffs. If you are aware of any conflicts, please identify these in your comments so we can address them more specifically.

Comments on FERC's Standard Market Design NOPR

Comment:

To the extent that this SAR is transitioning an existing standard from the old world to the new world (Functional Model), then the standard should not go beyond the original scope. Consistent with our general comments, once the clarity is achieved on Standard Market Design and RTO formations, then this standard should be revisited and reevaluated. (American Electric Power)

Comment:

The promulgation for comment of these SARs is premature. The industry "standard making process" is in a transition phase and it is overly burdensome to devote resources at this time. Once legislation or FERC firmly determines which entiy(ies) is responsible for standards it will make sense to move forward with said entity.

Even if NERC wants to cover reliability standards, almost all standards have a reliability and commercial impact; thereby, necessitating developing a single process that incorporates both commercial and reliability aspects of standards development. The current NERC process risks being changed soon, discounts commercial aspects, and is not part of a finalized overall industry process.

Waiting a short while to move forward on a new standards setting process is acceptable and prudent given that NERC standards are currently in place and the industry can continue to use these standards until the new process and standards setting organization(s) are firmly set. (Baltimore Gas & Electric)

Comment:

The requirements in this standard should not conflict with the timing requirements, etc, in the FERC approved tariffs. (American Transmission Company)

Comment:

It is premature to continue development of this SAR until FERC has specified the organization to be responsible for the development of wholesale electric standards. (Public Service Electric & Gas)

Comments Suggesting this SAR be Combined with Other SARs:

Comment:

This SAR is to "ensure that the implementation of transactions are coordinated" by establishing requirements for defining, assessing, confirming and implementing interchange transactions. This shall include items such as data, communications, and timing requirements among Reliability Functions." This SAR is really the details for "how" to coordinate interchange and will define a "process" through business rules, E-Tag, data needs and timing requirements. This SAR is really part of "how" the industry will meet the SARs "Balance Resources and Demand" or "Coordinate Operations". However, we believe this is not a "core reliability" Organization Standard. (Entergy Services)

Comment:

This is a process that should be part of Coordinate Operation. Even if this is kept as a SAR, additional entities such as the Generator and LSE should be included. (Progress Energy - Carolina Power & Light Company and Florida Power Corp.)

Comment:

This is a process that should be part of Coordinate Operation. Even if this is kept as a separate SAR, additional entities such as the Generator and LSE should be included. (SERC Compliance Subcommittee)

Comment:

There is a need to coordinate 'basic' transaction information (magnitude, start/end times, ramping duration) and those can be handled as part of the standard to Coordinate Operations.

Leaving this as an ad hoc proposal will lead to the creation of Business procedures and tools that should be outside the scope of Organization Standards. (MAAC)

Comment:

This is a process that should be part of Coordinate Operation. Even if this is kept as a SAR, additional entities such as the Generator and LSE should be included. (Progress Energy - Carolina Power & Light Company and Florida Power Corp.)

Comment:

This is a process that should be part of Coordinate Operation. Even if this is kept as a separate SAR, additional entities such as the Generator and LSE should be included. (SERC Compliance Subcommittee)

Consideration:

The Requestor has indicated a preference for revising this SAR and moving forward in parallel with the SARs that address Coordinate Operations. At this point in the Standards Development Process, the decision on whether to move forward, or withdraw the SAR is left up to the Requestor.

The industry comments indicate that there is a need for a reliability-related standard that addresses coordinating interchange. The SAR has been revised and we don't believe it currently contains any "how" requirements.

Comments Suggesting the Possibility of Regional Differences

Comment:

This SAR and the other posted SARs provide an appropriate framework for transitioning existing NERC Operating Policies and Planning Standards into new, NERC Organization Standards. Multiple compliance measures may be defined and developed for each of the eleven proposed Organization Standards. The Organization Standards and related compliance measures should focus on what functions must be performed for reliability, on who is responsible for each compliance measure for each required function and not, on how the compliance measure is achieved. The compliance measure must be measurable or demonstrable to ensure compliance.

It is necessary that there be a standard addressing interchange between Source and Sink Balancing Authorities. Interchange must be controlled and coordinated so that unscheduled flows are minimized to facilitate balancing of resources and demand (ref. SAR ID# BAL_RES_&_DEMND_01_01).

The Standard developed should recognize the different characteristics of interchange over free flowing synchronous ties and those over controlled interfaces (i.e. DC ties) between Balancing Authorities. These differences may justify different requirements for interchange over these interfaces. (ERCOT)

Comment:

HL&P supports ERCOT's comments regarding the appropriate scope and characteristics of this standard, if a standard is developed. (Reliant Energy HL&P)

Consideration:

Any regional difference that should be included in the scope of the proposed standard should be identified as early in the standard development process as possible.

Comments Suggesting Consideration of Electronic Scheduling Collaborative

Comment:

Eliminate elements that overlap with the ESC. (Powerex)

_				٠									
С	_	n	C	п			r	9		ī.	$\overline{}$	n	×
u	u		-	ш	u	c		О	ш	ш	u		

A response needs to be developed
