

Meeting Notes Project 2014-01 Standards Applicability for Dispersed Generation Resources Standards Drafting Team Meeting

May 28, 2014 | 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Mountain May 29, 2014 | 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Mountain

Salt Lake City, Utah

Administrative

1. Introductions

NERC staff initiated the meeting and reviewed the NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines, Public Announcement, Participant Conduct Policy, and Email List Policy. NERC staff thanked all members and observers of the Project 2014-01 Standards Applicability for Dispersed Generation Resources (DGR) Standard Drafting Team (SDT) for attending the meeting. WECC staff explained facility emergency procedures. The following members and observers participated:

Name	Company	Member/ Observer
Tony Jankowski	We Energies	M
Tom Pruitt	Duke Energy	М
David Belanger	Exelon Generation	М
George Brown	Acciona Energy North America (by phone)	M
Stephen Enyeart	Bonneville Power Administration	М
Brian Evans-Mongeon	Utility Services Inc.	М
Jessie Nevarez	Terra-Gen Operating Company	M
Jeff Plew	NextEra Energy Resources	M

Name	Company	Member/ Observer
Dana Showalter	E.ON Climate & Renewables	М
Randhir Singh	PSEG Fossil	М
Eric White	MidAmerican Energy	М
Tim Jyrkas	Xcel Energy (by phone)	0
Sean Cavote	NERC	М
Ryan Stewart	NERC	М
Tom Adams	North America Wind Energy Compliance Association	0
Phil Tatro	NERC	М
Margo Caley	ISO New England (by phone)	0
Gary Kruempel	MidAmerican Energy (by phone)	0
Susan Morris	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (by phone)	0

2. Review Meeting Agenda and Objectives

SDT chair Tony Jankowski reviewed the meeting Agenda and made no changes. He indicated that the primary purpose of the meeting was to conclude the meeting with final documents ready for submittal to NERC Quality Review and posting.

Agenda Items

1. Guidance Documentation Strategy

The DGR SDT briefly discussed the role of NERC in developing guidance for DGRs during the Bulk Electric System (BES) definition implementation, particularly to the regions where gaps may be created for new BES facilities. The NERC ad hoc group responsible for developing DGR guidance had nothing new to report, as it is awaiting NERC action on the issue.

2. Brief Overview of White Paper Comments and Recommendation Implications

The SDT reviewed the white paper comments and further developed its response to comments. The SDT noted that several comments pertained to terms and thresholds the SDT used in the white paper, and that several clarifications in the white paper would be useful. The SDT specifically discussed, for



example, comments on the proposed 75 MVA threshold, clarifying that revision prioritization was intended as an organizational tool, and that the SDT did not intend to introduce any new definitions.

3. Finalize DGR Applicability Changes for Posting and Ballot

PRC-004

The SDT reviewed the rationale for applicability and discussed a number of issues raised in industry comments to the white paper, including, for example, the technical merits of the proposed 75 MVA threshold. The SDT considered all comments, further refined its applicability recommendations, and added language to the applicability rationale box. The SDT also noted that it may need to review PRC-017 in the context of DGR applicability.

PRC-005

The SDT discussed PRC-005-1.1b and how it relates to the implementation plan for PRC-005-2. The SDT decided not to recommend applicability changes to PRC-005-1.1b and instead rely on NERC to provide guidance on enforcing that version of the standard, reasoning that applicability changes to PRC-005-1.1b like would be extensive relative to the other versions of PRC-005. The SDT refined its applicability recommendations on the remaining versions of PRC-005, i.e., PRC-005-2, PRC-005-3, and PRC-005-X. One member noted that an interim step is needed, likely in the form of NERC guidance.

VAR-002

The SDT and VAR sub team discussed possible applicability changes at great length, particularly with respect to VAR-002-2b, as VAR-002-3 does not present the same concerns for DGRs. The SDT ultimately concluded that it could not adequately change the applicability to VAR-002-2b without creating a new compliance requirement, so it crafted limited recommended changes to the applicability of the standard understanding that NERC guidance would further clarify applicability to DGRs.

Response to White Paper Comments

The SDT reviewed the draft response to white paper comments and provided recommended changes to incorporate into the master response to comments document and the white paper.

VRFs, VSLs

The SDT determined that VRF and VSL changes corresponding with applicability changes would not be necessary because the requirements were not modified, and therefore risks and severity standards violations would be unchanged by excluding certain dispersed power producing resources.

Revised RSAWs

The SDT questioned NERC staff modified RSAWs and how that will tie into the SDT's activities. The RSAWs will be posted within 15 calendar days of the posting of the documents that the Standards Committee authorize.



Response to SAR comments

The SDT finalized its response to SAR comments.

4. Industry Outreach

SDT members and observers briefed the SDT on outreach activities and discussed opportunities for future outreach as detailed in the DGR SDT Coordination and Outreach document posted on the project page.

5. Next Steps

The SDT discussed next steps for the project, which include: (1) post high-priority standards for comment and ballot; (2) develop DGR white paper version 2; (3) further develop recommendations on medium and low-priority standards. The SDT also discussed future meeting dates and action dates. As follows:

- SDT conference call on June 19, 2014
- SDT conference call on July 10, 2014
- Industry Webinar on high-priority DGR posting on July 15, 2014
- SDT meeting in Mojave, California on July 16-18, 2014
- SDT conference call and webex on August 7, 2014
- SDT meeting in Milwaukee, Wisconsin on August 19, 20, and 21, 2014
- SDT meeting in Washington, D.C. on September 9-10, 2014
- Future SDT meeting dates and locations to be determined

6. Adjourn

Chair Jankowsky adjourned the meeting at 5:00 p.m. Central.
