Individual Commenter Information			
(Comple	ete thi	s page for comments from one organization or individual.)	
Name:	Robert	J. Rauschenbach	
Organization: A	4merer	ו	
Telephone: 3	314-554	-3535	
E-mail: r	rausche	enbach@ameren.com	
NERC Region		Registered Ballot Body Segment	
☐ ERCOT		1 — Transmission Owners	
☐ FRCC		2 — RTOs and ISOs	
☐ MRO	$\boxtimes$	3 — Load-serving Entities	
		4 — Transmission-dependent Utilities	
RFC		5 — Electric Generators	
⊠ SERC		6 — Electricity Brokers, Aggregators, and Marketers	
∐ SPP		7 — Large Electricity End Users	
☐ WECC		8 — Small Electricity End Users	
∐ NA – No Applicable	t 🔲	9 — Federal, State, Provincial Regulatory or other Government Entities	
		10 — Regional Reliability Organizations and Regional Entities	

Group Comments (Complete this page if comments are from a group.)

Group Name:				
Lead Contact:				
Contact Organization:				
Contact Segment:				
Contact Telephone:				
Contact E-mail:				
Additional Member Name	Additional Member Organization	Region*	Segment*	

<sup>\*</sup>If more than one Region or Segment applies, indicate the best fit for the purpose of these comments. Regional acronyms and segment numbers are shown on prior page.

#### **Background Information**

This SAR proposes to improve and expand upon the requirements in PRC-001 — System Protection Coordination. Note that some of the requirements in PRC-001 involve real-time control actions taken by entities other than the facility owners, and these requirements may be moved from PRC-001 into Project 2006-06 — Reliability Coordination.

The SAR proposes to address the FERC directives in Order 693 and to address a number of technical short comings identified by stakeholders and the System Protection and Control Task Force and to bring the standard into conformance with the "Standard Review Guidelines."

Insert a "check" mark in the appropriate boxes by double-clicking the gray areas.

1.	Do you agree that there is a reliability-related need to improve the requirements in this standard?
	⊠ Yes
	□ No
	Comments:
2.	Do you agree with the proposed scope of this SAR?
	⊠ Yes
	□ No
	Comments:
3.	Do you agree with the applicability of the proposed SAR (Reliability Coordinators, Balancing Authorities, Planning Coordinators, Transmission Operators, Transmission Owners, Generator Owners, Generator Operators and Distribution Providers)?  Yes
	□ No
	Comments:
4.	If you know of a Regional Variance that should be developed as part of this SAR, please identify that for us. If not, please explain in the comment area.
	Regional Variance: None Comments:
5.	If you are aware of a Business Practice that needs to be developed to support the proposed SAR, please identify that for us.
	Business Practice: No Comments:

6. If you have any other comments on this SAR that you haven't provided above, please provide them here.

Comments: Development of intercompany short circuit modeling should be cover in a separte MOD standard. Maintaining one large overall regional short circuit model is neither practical nor necessary. Standard methods to exchange short circuit data of tie-line plus one breakered bus into the neighboring systems should be adequate and be developed. Otherwise Ameren agrees with SPCTF recommendations.

Individual Commenter Information		
(Comple	te thi	s page for comments from one organization or individual.)
Name: TI	had K.	Ness
Organization: A	merica	n Electric Power (AEP)
Telephone: 6°	14-716	-2053
E-mail: tk	ness@	aep.com
NERC		Registered Ballot Body Segment
Region		
		1 — Transmission Owners
☐ FRCC		2 — RTOs and ISOs
☐ MRO		3 — Load-serving Entities
		4 — Transmission-dependent Utilities
⊠ RFC	$\boxtimes$	5 — Electric Generators
☐ SERC	$\boxtimes$	6 — Electricity Brokers, Aggregators, and Marketers
⊠ SPP		7 — Large Electricity End Users
∐ WECC		8 — Small Electricity End Users
∐ NA – Not Applicable		9 — Federal, State, Provincial Regulatory or other Government Entities
		10 — Regional Reliability Organizations and Regional Entities

Group Comments (Complete this page if comments are from a group.)

Group Name:				
Lead Contact:				
Contact Organization:				
Contact Segment:				
Contact Telephone:				
Contact E-mail:				
Additional Member Name	Additional Member Organization	Region*	Segment*	

<sup>\*</sup>If more than one Region or Segment applies, indicate the best fit for the purpose of these comments. Regional acronyms and segment numbers are shown on prior page.

#### **Background Information**

This SAR proposes to improve and expand upon the requirements in PRC-001 — System Protection Coordination. Note that some of the requirements in PRC-001 involve real-time control actions taken by entities other than the facility owners, and these requirements may be moved from PRC-001 into Project 2006-06 — Reliability Coordination.

The SAR proposes to address the FERC directives in Order 693 and to address a number of technical short comings identified by stakeholders and the System Protection and Control Task Force and to bring the standard into conformance with the "Standard Review Guidelines."

Insert a "check" mark in the appropriate boxes by double-clicking the gray areas.

1.	Do you agree that there is a reliability-related need to improve the requirements in this standard?
	☐ Yes
	$\  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  $
	but the standard should be improved to better clarify responsibilities.
2.	Do you agree with the proposed scope of this SAR?
	⊠ Yes
	□ No
	Comments:
3.	Do you agree with the applicability of the proposed SAR (Reliability Coordinators, Balancing Authorities, Planning Coordinators, Transmission Operators, Transmission Owners, Generator Owners, Generator Operators and Distribution Providers)?  Yes
	□ No
	Comments:
4.	If you know of a Regional Variance that should be developed as part of this SAR, please identify that for us. If not, please explain in the comment area.
	Regional Variance: None Comments: None
5.	If you are aware of a Business Practice that needs to be developed to support the proposed SAR, please identify that for us.
	Business Practice: Possibly Comments: AEP and other utilities, with many years of experience serving customers and supporting the electric grid, have voluntarily integrated protection coordination processes into the core of their work practices. AEP fully supports improvements if they truly foster reliability and availability benefits to bulk power transfers. More Standards, Requirements, and Business Practices are not always better. If Standards create burdens on a utility's physical resources and budgets, then some mechanism

must be available to allow for the needed changes.

6. If you have any other comments on this SAR that you haven't provided above, please provide them here.

Comments: For clarifying protective systems, the standard should not use the term Bulk Electric System, but should instead specify a voltage threshold for impacts to bulk system transfers - specifically; 'Facilites operated 200 kV and above and Regionally-defined, Operationally Significant facilities operated greater than 100 kV, but less than 199 kV'. The term 'affects' also needs to be clarified. Inclusion of all facilities greater than 100 kV does not benefit the reliability of national bulk power transfers. For example, the loss or misoperation of a 138 kV line serving a localized load center would not be detremental to bulk power transfers multiple busses away.

Individual Commenter Information			
(Comple	ete thi	s page for comments from one organization or individual.)	
Name:	Jason S	haver	
Organization: A	America	n Transmission Co.	
Telephone: 2	262 506	6885	
E-mail: j	shaver@	Datcllc.com	
NERC Region		Registered Ballot Body Segment	
☐ ERCOT	$\boxtimes$	1 — Transmission Owners	
☐ FRCC		2 — RTOs and ISOs	
oxtimes MRO		3 — Load-serving Entities	
		4 — Transmission-dependent Utilities	
oxtimes RFC		5 — Electric Generators	
☐ SERC		6 — Electricity Brokers, Aggregators, and Marketers	
☐ SPP		7 — Large Electricity End Users	
		8 — Small Electricity End Users	
∐ NA – No Applicable	t 🔲	9 — Federal, State, Provincial Regulatory or other Government Entities	
		10 — Regional Reliability Organizations and Regional Entities	

Group Comments (Complete this page if comments are from a group.)

Group Name:				
Lead Contact:				
Contact Organization:				
Contact Segment:				
Contact Telephone:				
Contact E-mail:				
Additional Member Name	Additional Member Organization	Region*	Segment*	

<sup>\*</sup>If more than one Region or Segment applies, indicate the best fit for the purpose of these comments. Regional acronyms and segment numbers are shown on prior page.

#### **Background Information**

This SAR proposes to improve and expand upon the requirements in PRC-001 — System Protection Coordination. Note that some of the requirements in PRC-001 involve real-time control actions taken by entities other than the facility owners, and these requirements may be moved from PRC-001 into Project 2006-06 — Reliability Coordination.

The SAR proposes to address the FERC directives in Order 693 and to address a number of technical short comings identified by stakeholders and the System Protection and Control Task Force and to bring the standard into conformance with the "Standard Review Guidelines."

Insert a "check" mark in the appropriate boxes by double-clicking the gray areas.

1.	Do you agree that there is a reliability-related need to improve the requirements in this standard?
	⊠ Yes
	□ No
	Comments: Standard has much room for improvement.
2.	Do you agree with the proposed scope of this SAR?
	⊠ Yes
	□ No
	Comments: Moving R6 regarding SPS monitoring and status notification to more appropriate PRC SPS section makes sense.
	Have concern about NERC SPCTF recommendation of merging system short-circuit databases for perfoming wide-area fault studies. See additional comments below.
3.	Do you agree with the applicability of the proposed SAR (Reliability Coordinators, Balancing Authorities, Planning Coordinators, Transmission Operators, Transmission Owners, Generator Owners, Generator Operators and Distribution Providers)?  ☑ Yes
	□ No
	Comments:
4.	If you know of a Regional Variance that should be developed as part of this SAR, please identify that for us. If not, please explain in the comment area.
	Regional Variance:
	Comments:
_	Management of a Royalana Royalana Hartana da ta bandara da ta sana at the
5.	If you are aware of a Business Practice that needs to be developed to support the proposed SAR, please identify that for us.
	Business Practice: Data entry and maintenance procedures for proposed wide-area short circuit model would need to be developed.  Comments: Creating and maintaining the proposed wide-area short-circuit database,
	although useful, might prove quite difficult to implement.
	Among our concerns:

Impedance units- Ohms or per unit? If per unit, using what common base?

CAPE to ASPEN & ASPEN to CAPE conversion issues?

Need for unique and consistent bus numbers for all busses in combined database.

If using CAPE, coordination and application of database categories.

Who would be responsible for merging the databases and then maintaining the common database? How often would the databases be remerged to reflect system changes?

6. If you have any other comments on this SAR that you haven't provided above, please provide them here.

Comments: Background Information Section on this comment sheet should read:

Please e-mail your comments on this form to sarcomm@nerc.net with subject "Protection Coordination SAR" in subject line, not "Protection Maintenance SAR" as stated.

Individual Commenter Information			
(Comple	te thi	s page for comments from one organization or individual.)	
Name: D	ean Be	ender	
Organization: E	Bonnevi	lle Power Administration	
Telephone: (	360) 41	8-2040	
E-mail: d	abende	er@bpa.gov	
NERC Region		Registered Ballot Body Segment	
☐ ERCOT	$\boxtimes$	1 — Transmission Owners	
☐ FRCC		2 — RTOs and ISOs	
	$\boxtimes$	3 — Load-serving Entities	
		4 — Transmission-dependent Utilities	
RFC	$\boxtimes$	5 — Electric Generators	
SERC		6 — Electricity Brokers, Aggregators, and Marketers	
☐ SPP		7 — Large Electricity End Users	
☐ WECC		8 — Small Electricity End Users	
∐ NA – Not Applicable	t 🔲	9 — Federal, State, Provincial Regulatory or other Government Entities	
		10 — Regional Reliability Organizations and Regional Entities	

Group Comments (Complete this page if comments are from a group.)

Group Name:				
Lead Contact:				
Contact Organization:				
Contact Segment:				
Contact Telephone:				
Contact E-mail:				
Additional Member Name	Additional Member Organization	Region*	Segment*	

<sup>\*</sup>If more than one Region or Segment applies, indicate the best fit for the purpose of these comments. Regional acronyms and segment numbers are shown on prior page.

#### **Background Information**

This SAR proposes to improve and expand upon the requirements in PRC-001 — System Protection Coordination. Note that some of the requirements in PRC-001 involve real-time control actions taken by entities other than the facility owners, and these requirements may be moved from PRC-001 into Project 2006-06 — Reliability Coordination.

The SAR proposes to address the FERC directives in Order 693 and to address a number of technical short comings identified by stakeholders and the System Protection and Control Task Force and to bring the standard into conformance with the "Standard Review Guidelines."

Insert a "check" mark in the appropriate boxes by double-clicking the gray areas.

1.	Do you agree that there is a reliability-related need to improve the requirements in this standard? $\  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \ $
	☐ No Comments:
2.	Do you agree with the proposed scope of this SAR?  ☐ Yes ☐ No Comments:
3.	Do you agree with the applicability of the proposed SAR (Reliability Coordinators, Balancing Authorities, Planning Coordinators, Transmission Operators, Transmission Owners, Generator Owners, Generator Operators and Distribution Providers)?  ☑ Yes ☐ No Comments:
4.	If you know of a Regional Variance that should be developed as part of this SAR, please identify that for us. If not, please explain in the comment area.  Regional Variance: Comments: No known variance
5.	If you are aware of a Business Practice that needs to be developed to support the proposed SAR, please identify that for us.  Business Practice: Comments:
6.	If you have any other comments on this SAR that you haven't provided above, please provide them here.  Comments:
	CUITITICITES.

Individual Commenter Information					
(Comple	(Complete this page for comments from one organization or individual.)				
Name:	Name: Nancy C. Denton				
Organization: (	Consum	ers Energy Company			
Telephone:	517-788	-1310			
E-mail: r	ncdento	n@cmsenergy.com			
NERC Region		Registered Ballot Body Segment			
☐ ERCOT		1 — Transmission Owners			
☐ FRCC		2 — RTOs and ISOs			
☐ MRO	$\boxtimes$	3 — Load-serving Entities			
	$\boxtimes$	4 — Transmission-dependent Utilities			
⊠ RFC		5 — Electric Generators			
SERC		6 — Electricity Brokers, Aggregators, and Marketers			
☐ SPP		7 — Large Electricity End Users			
∐ WECC		8 — Small Electricity End Users			
∐ NA – No Applicable	't 🔲	9 — Federal, State, Provincial Regulatory or other Government Entities			
		10 — Regional Reliability Organizations and Regional Entities			

Group Comments (Complete this page if comments are from a group.)

Group Name:						
Lead Contact:						
Contact Organization:						
Contact Segment:						
Contact Telephone:						
Contact E-mail:						
Additional Member Name	Additional Member Organization	Region*	Segment*			

<sup>\*</sup>If more than one Region or Segment applies, indicate the best fit for the purpose of these comments. Regional acronyms and segment numbers are shown on prior page.

#### **Background Information**

This SAR proposes to improve and expand upon the requirements in PRC-001 — System Protection Coordination. Note that some of the requirements in PRC-001 involve real-time control actions taken by entities other than the facility owners, and these requirements may be moved from PRC-001 into Project 2006-06 — Reliability Coordination.

The SAR proposes to address the FERC directives in Order 693 and to address a number of technical short comings identified by stakeholders and the System Protection and Control Task Force and to bring the standard into conformance with the "Standard Review Guidelines."

Insert a "check" mark in the appropriate boxes by double-clicking the gray areas.

1.	Do you agree that there is a reliability-related need to improve the requirements in this standard? $\  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \ $
	□ No Comments:
2.	Do you agree with the proposed scope of this SAR?
	⊠ Yes
	□ No
	Comments:
3.	Do you agree with the applicability of the proposed SAR (Reliability Coordinators, Balancing Authorities, Planning Coordinators, Transmission Operators, Transmission Owners, Generator Owners, Generator Operators and Distribution Providers)?  Yes
	□ No
	Comments:
4.	If you know of a Regional Variance that should be developed as part of this SAR, please identify that for us. If not, please explain in the comment area.
	Regional Variance: N/A Comments:
5.	If you are aware of a Business Practice that needs to be developed to support the proposed SAR, please identify that for us.
	Business Practice: N/A Comments:
6.	If you have any other comments on this SAR that you haven't provided above, please provide them here.
	Comments: None.

Individual Commenter Information					
(Comple	(Complete this page for comments from one organization or individual.)				
Name:	Name: Doug Hohlbaugh				
Organization: I	FirstEne	rgy			
Telephone: 3	330-384	-4698			
E-mail:	hohlbau	ghdg@firstenergycorp.com			
NERC Region		Registered Ballot Body Segment			
☐ ERCOT	$\boxtimes$	1 — Transmission Owners			
☐ FRCC		2 — RTOs and ISOs			
		3 — Load-serving Entities			
		4 — Transmission-dependent Utilities			
⊠ RFC		5 — Electric Generators			
SERC		6 — Electricity Brokers, Aggregators, and Marketers			
∐ SPP		7 — Large Electricity End Users			
∐ WECC		8 — Small Electricity End Users			
∐ NA – No Applicable	ot 🗀	9 — Federal, State, Provincial Regulatory or other Government Entities			
		10 — Regional Reliability Organizations and Regional Entities			

Group Comments (Complete this page if comments are from a group.)

Group Name: FirstEnergy

Lead Contact: Doug Hohlbaugh

**Contact Organization:** 

**Contact Segment:** 

Contact Telephone: 330-384-4698

Contact E-mail: hohlbaughdg@firstenergycorp.com

Additional Member Name	Additional Member Organization	Region*	Segment*
Art Buanno	FE, Tranmission Planning &	RFC	1
	Protection		
Bob McFeaters	FE, Tranmission Planning &	RFC	1
	Protection		
Bill Duge	FE, Nuclear Generation	RFC	5
Ken Dresner	FE, Fossil Generation	RFC	5

<sup>\*</sup>If more than one Region or Segment applies, indicate the best fit for the purpose of these comments. Regional acronyms and segment numbers are shown on prior page.

#### **Background Information**

This SAR proposes to improve and expand upon the requirements in PRC-001 — System Protection Coordination. Note that some of the requirements in PRC-001 involve real-time control actions taken by entities other than the facility owners, and these requirements may be moved from PRC-001 into Project 2006-06 — Reliability Coordination.

The SAR proposes to address the FERC directives in Order 693 and to address a number of technical short comings identified by stakeholders and the System Protection and Control Task Force and to bring the standard into conformance with the "Standard Review Guidelines."

Insert a "check" mark in the appropriate boxes by double-clicking the gray areas.

1.	Do you agree that there is a reliability-related need to improve the requirements in this standard?
	∑ Yes
	□ No Comments:
2.	Do you agree with the proposed scope of this SAR?
	☐ Yes ☐ No
	Comments: Under the section of Detailed Description it is stated:
	"This project will address the issues identified by the System Protection and Control Task Force for the planning-related requirements in PRC-001 as well as any planning-related concerns identified in FERC Order 693. (The operations-related requirements in PRC-001 are being addressed under Project 2006-06.) A detailed listing of the areas of the existing standard that need improvement is provided in Attachment B titled "NERC SPCTF Assessment of Standard PRC-001-0 – System Protection Coordination"
	It seems that it would be more effective to pull the PRC-001 standard from the scope of of the 2006-06 project which deals with mulitple standards and allow this SDT to focus on all aspects of the PRC-001. The SPCTF raised concerns with PRC-001 in both the planning and operations time-frame and it does not appear that the 2006-06 project is scoped to address the SPCTF items.
3.	Do you agree with the applicability of the proposed SAR (Reliability Coordinators, Balancing Authorities, Planning Coordinators, Transmission Operators, Transmission Owners, Generator Owners, Generator Operators and Distribution Providers)?  Yes
	Comments: FE agrees with the SPCTF that the TO, GO and DP should be added to the applicability section of this standard as many of the requirements will originate from these entities. However, it may be necessary to to add the Tranmission Planner (TP) entity for "planning" related requirements. For example, the existing R3 requires coordination of new or revised protections systems. It may be short-sighted to assume that the TO is the entity who would coordinate this work; there may be situations where a Transmission Planner performs this work and is best suited to share the information with neighboring system owners/planners as well as the Planning Coordinator.

4. If you know of a Regional Variance that should be developed as part of this SAR, please identify that for us. If not, please explain in the comment area.

Regional Variance:

Comments: Aware of none

5. If you are aware of a Business Practice that needs to be developed to support the proposed SAR, please identify that for us.

**Business Practice:** 

Comments: Aware of none

6. If you have any other comments on this SAR that you haven't provided above, please provide them here.

Comments: none

Individual Commenter Information					
(Complete	(Complete this page for comments from one organization or individual.)				
Name:					
Organization:					
Telephone:					
E-mail:					
NERC Region		Registered Ballot Body Segment			
☐ ERCOT		1 — Transmission Owners			
☐ FRCC		2 — RTOs and ISOs			
☐ MRO		3 — Load-serving Entities			
		4 — Transmission-dependent Utilities			
☐ RFC		5 — Electric Generators			
☐ SERC ☐		6 — Electricity Brokers, Aggregators, and Marketers			
☐ SPP		7 — Large Electricity End Users			
☐ WECC		8 — Small Electricity End Users			
∐ NA – Not Applicable		9 — Federal, State, Provincial Regulatory or other Government Entities			
		10 — Regional Reliability Organizations and Regional Entities			

Group Comments (Complete this page if comments are from a group.)

Group Name: FRCC

Lead Contact: Eric Senkowicz

Contact Organization: FRCC

Contact Segment: 10

Contact Telephone: 813-207-7980

Contact E-mail: esenkowicz@frcc.com

Additional Member Name	Additional Member Organization	Region*	Segment*				
Alan Gale	City of Tallahassee	FRCC	5				

<sup>\*</sup>If more than one Region or Segment applies, indicate the best fit for the purpose of these comments. Regional acronyms and segment numbers are shown on prior page.

#### **Background Information**

This SAR proposes to improve and expand upon the requirements in PRC-001 — System Protection Coordination. Note that some of the requirements in PRC-001 involve real-time control actions taken by entities other than the facility owners, and these requirements may be moved from PRC-001 into Project 2006-06 — Reliability Coordination.

The SAR proposes to address the FERC directives in Order 693 and to address a number of technical short comings identified by stakeholders and the System Protection and Control Task Force and to bring the standard into conformance with the "Standard Review Guidelines."

Insert a "check" mark in the appropriate boxes by double-clicking the gray areas.

1.	Do you agree that there is a reliability-related need to improve the requirements in this standard? $\hfill \boxtimes$ Yes
	☐ No Comments:
2.	Do you agree with the proposed scope of this SAR?
	∑ Yes     No
	Comments: Incorporating assessments by subject matter experts such as this NERC SPCTF / Planning Committee assessment into the NERC Standards revision SAR project is an efficient way to supplement project SARs and allows for valuable input at the front-end of the standards process.
	Attachments A and C are not included in the SAR and Attachment B is identified as "Supporting Material". It may be clearer to include all applicable documents within the SAR including including relevant excerpts from any FERC assessmentss and requested changes to the standard.
3.	Do you agree with the applicability of the proposed SAR (Reliability Coordinators, Balancing Authorities, Planning Coordinators, Transmission Operators, Transmission Owners, Generator Owners, Generator Operators and Distribution Providers)?  Yes
	<ul><li>No</li><li>Comments: This question may be better addressed as the standard is drafted.</li></ul>
4.	If you know of a Regional Variance that should be developed as part of this SAR, please identify that for us. If not, please explain in the comment area.
	Regional Variance: Comments:
5.	If you are aware of a Business Practice that needs to be developed to support the proposed SAR, please identify that for us.
	Business Practice: Comments:

6. If you have any other comments on this SAR that you haven't provided above, please provide them here.

Comments: The Drafting team should coordinate any system protection terminology introduced or re-defined within this standard with other system protection related SARs (i.e. Distrurbance monitoring, System Protection Maintenance and Testing) to ensure common terminology is appropriately defined in the standards glossary.

Individual Commenter Information					
(Comple	(Complete this page for comments from one organization or individual.)				
Name: F	Name: Roger Champagne				
Organization: I	Hydro-Q	uébec TransÉnergie			
Telephone: 5	514 289	-2211, X 2766			
E-mail: c	champa	gne.roger.2@hydro.qc.ca			
NERC Region		Registered Ballot Body Segment			
☐ ERCOT	$\boxtimes$	1 — Transmission Owners			
☐ FRCC		2 — RTOs and ISOs			
☐ MRO		3 — Load-serving Entities			
$\boxtimes$ NPCC		4 — Transmission-dependent Utilities			
□ RFC         □           □ SERC         □		5 — Electric Generators			
		6 — Electricity Brokers, Aggregators, and Marketers			
☐ SPP		7 — Large Electricity End Users			
☐ WECC		8 — Small Electricity End Users			
∐ NA – No Applicable	t 🗆	9 — Federal, State, Provincial Regulatory or other Government Entities			
		10 — Regional Reliability Organizations and Regional Entities			

Group Comments (Complete this page if comments are from a group.)					
Group Name:					
Lead Contact:					
Contact Organization:					
Contact Segment:					
Contact Telephone:					
Contact E-mail:					
Additional Member Name	Additional Member Organization	Region*	Segment*		
3					

<sup>\*</sup>If more than one Region or Segment applies, indicate the best fit for the purpose of these comments. Regional acronyms and segment numbers are shown on prior page.

#### **Background Information**

This SAR proposes to improve and expand upon the requirements in PRC-001 — System Protection Coordination. Note that some of the requirements in PRC-001 involve real-time control actions taken by entities other than the facility owners, and these requirements may be moved from PRC-001 into Project 2006-06 — Reliability Coordination.

The SAR proposes to address the FERC directives in Order 693 and to address a number of technical short comings identified by stakeholders and the System Protection and Control Task Force and to bring the standard into conformance with the "Standard Review Guidelines."

Insert a "check" mark in the appropriate boxes by double-clicking the gray areas.

1.	Do you agree that there is a reliability-related need to improve the requirements in this standard?  ☐ Yes ☐ No
	Comments:
2.	Do you agree with the proposed scope of this SAR?  ☐ Yes ☐ No
	Comments:
3.	Do you agree with the applicability of the proposed SAR (Reliability Coordinators, Balancing Authorities, Planning Coordinators, Transmission Operators, Transmission Owners, Generator Owners, Generator Operators and Distribution Providers)?  ☐ Yes ☐ No
	Comments: recommend that Transmission Planners be added
4.	If you know of a Regional Variance that should be developed as part of this SAR, please identify that for us. If not, please explain in the comment area.
	Regional Variance: Comments: No Regional Variance
5.	If you are aware of a Business Practice that needs to be developed to support the proposed SAR, please identify that for us.
	Business Practice: Comments: No Business Practice
6.	If you have any other comments on this SAR that you haven't provided above, please provide them here.
	Comments: none

Please use this form to submit comments on the proposed SAR for Project 2007-06 — System Protection Coordination. Comments must be submitted by **July 10**, **2007**. You may submit the completed form by e-mail to <a href="mailto:sarcomm@nerc.net">sarcomm@nerc.net</a> with the words "System Protection" in the subject line. If you have questions please contact Al Calafiore at <a href="mailto:al.calafiore@nerc.net">al.calafiore@nerc.net</a> or by telephone at 609-452-8060.

Individual Commenter Information			
(Comple	ete thi	is page for comments from one organization or individual.)	
Name:	Ron Fal	setti	
Organization:	IESO		
Telephone:	905-855	5-6187	
E-mail:	ron.false	etti@ieso.ca	
NERC Region		Registered Ballot Body Segment	
☐ ERCOT		1 — Transmission Owners	
☐ FRCC	$\boxtimes$	2 — RTOs and ISOs	
☐ MRO		3 — Load-serving Entities	
extstyle  ext		4 — Transmission-dependent Utilities	
☐ RFC [		5 — Electric Generators	
☐ SERC		6 — Electricity Brokers, Aggregators, and Marketers	
☐ SPP		7 — Large Electricity End Users	
		8 — Small Electricity End Users	
∐ NA – No Applicable	ot	9 — Federal, State, Provincial Regulatory or other Government Entities	
		10 — Regional Reliability Organizations and Regional Entities	

Group Comments (Complete this p	page if comments are from a grou	p.)	
Group Name:			
Lead Contact:			
Contact Organization:			
Contact Segment:			
Contact Telephone:			
Contact E-mail:			
Additional Member Name	Additional Member Organization	Region*	Segment*

<sup>\*</sup>If more than one Region or Segment applies, indicate the best fit for the purpose of these comments. Regional acronyms and segment numbers are shown on prior page.

#### **Background Information**

This SAR proposes to improve and expand upon the requirements in PRC-001 — System Protection Coordination. Note that some of the requirements in PRC-001 involve real-time control actions taken by entities other than the facility owners, and these requirements may be moved from PRC-001 into Project 2006-06 — Reliability Coordination.

The SAR proposes to address the FERC directives in Order 693 and to address a number of technical short comings identified by stakeholders and the System Protection and Control Task Force and to bring the standard into conformance with the "Standard Review Guidelines."

Insert a "check" mark in the appropriate boxes by double-clicking the gray areas.

1.	Do you agree that there is a reliability-related need to improve the requirements in this standard?  ☑ Yes ☐ No Comments:
2.	Do you agree with the proposed scope of this SAR?
	⊠ Yes
	□ No
	Comments:
3.	Do you agree with the applicability of the proposed SAR (Reliability Coordinators, Balancing Authorities, Planning Coordinators, Transmission Operators, Transmission Owners, Generator Owners, Generator Operators and Distribution Providers)?  Yes
	No  Comments: It is not clear based on the information presented how all the functional entities are involved. As an example, no reference is noted in the decuments for PC
	entities are involved. As an example, no reference is noted in the documents for PC responsibility. Is it inferred that if a coordination model is developed on a wide area basis, the PC will be the responsible entity?
	Functional Model entity definitions, tasks, and obligations must be followed while developing applicability of the requirements.
4.	If you know of a Regional Variance that should be developed as part of this SAR, please identify that for us. If not, please explain in the comment area.
	Regional Variance: Comments:
5.	If you are aware of a Business Practice that needs to be developed to support the proposed SAR, please identify that for us.
	Business Practice: Comments:

6. If you have any other comments on this SAR that you haven't provided above, please provide them here.

#### Comments:

The IESO commends NERC, the SDT and the SPCTF (White Paper) for providing clarifications and improvements in the system protection areas.

Please use this form to submit comments on the proposed SAR for Project 2007-06 — System Protection Coordination. Comments must be submitted by **July 10**, **2007**. You may submit the completed form by e-mail to <a href="mailto:sarcomm@nerc.net">sarcomm@nerc.net</a> with the words "System Protection" in the subject line. If you have questions please contact Al Calafiore at <a href="mailto:al.calafiore@nerc.net">al.calafiore@nerc.net</a> or by telephone at 609-452-8060.

Individual Commenter Information			
(Complete	e thi	s page for comments from one organization or individual.)	
Name:			
Organization:			
Telephone:			
E-mail:			
NERC Region	negiotorea zanet zear ceginent		
☐ ERCOT		1 — Transmission Owners	
☐ FRCC		2 — RTOs and ISOs	
☐ MRO		3 — Load-serving Entities	
		4 — Transmission-dependent Utilities	
☐ RFC		5 — Electric Generators	
☐ SERC		6 — Electricity Brokers, Aggregators, and Marketers	
☐ SPP		7 — Large Electricity End Users	
☐ WECC		8 — Small Electricity End Users	
∐ NA – Not Applicable		9 — Federal, State, Provincial Regulatory or other Government Entities	
		10 — Regional Reliability Organizations and Regional Entities	

Group Comments (Complete this page if comments are from a group.)

Group Name: IRC Standards Review Committee

Lead Contact: Charles Yeung

Contact Organization: SPP

Contact Segment: 2

Contact Telephone: 832-724-6142

Contact E-mail: cyeung@spp.org

Additional Member Name	Additional Member Organization	Region*	Segment*
Jim Castle	NYISO	NPCC	2
Alicia Daugherty	PJM	RFC	2
Ron Falsetti	IESO	NPCC	2
Matt Goldberg	ISO-NE	NPCC	2
Brent Kingsford	CAISO	WECC	2
Anita Lee	AESO	WECC	2
Steve Myers	ERCOT	ERCOT	2
William Phillips	MISO	RFC+MRO+SERC	2

<sup>\*</sup>If more than one Region or Segment applies, indicate the best fit for the purpose of these comments. Regional acronyms and segment numbers are shown on prior page.

#### **Background Information**

This SAR proposes to improve and expand upon the requirements in PRC-001 — System Protection Coordination. Note that some of the requirements in PRC-001 involve real-time control actions taken by entities other than the facility owners, and these requirements may be moved from PRC-001 into Project 2006-06 — Reliability Coordination.

The SAR proposes to address the FERC directives in Order 693 and to address a number of technical short comings identified by stakeholders and the System Protection and Control Task Force and to bring the standard into conformance with the "Standard Review Guidelines."

Insert a "check" mark in the appropriate boxes by double-clicking the gray areas.

1.	Do you agree that there is a reliability-related need to improve the requirements in this standard? $\hfill \boxtimes$ Yes
	□ No Comments:
2.	Do you agree with the proposed scope of this SAR?
	⊠ Yes
	□ No
	Comments:
2	Davis and with the analisability of the managed CAD (Delisbility Counting town
3.	Do you agree with the applicability of the proposed SAR (Reliability Coordinators, Balancing Authorities, Planning Coordinators, Transmission Operators, Transmission Owners, Generator Owners, Generator Operators and Distribution Providers)?  Yes
	⊠ No
	Comments: It is not clear based on the information presented if all the functional entities involved are identified in the scope of the standard. As an example, no reference is noted in the documents for TP responsibility. It is inferred that if a coordination model is developed on a wide area basis, the PC will be the only responsible entity. However there may be requirements for the TP as well.
4.	If you know of a Regional Variance that should be developed as part of this SAR, please identify that for us. If not, please explain in the comment area.
	Regional Variance: Comments:
5.	If you are aware of a Business Practice that needs to be developed to support the proposed SAR, please identify that for us.
	Business Practice: Comments:
6.	If you have any other comments on this SAR that you haven't provided above, please provide them here.
	Comments:

1. The SRC commends NERC, the SDT and the SPCTF for providing this clarification and improvements in the system protection areas.

Please use this form to submit comments on the proposed SAR for Project 2007-06 — System Protection Coordination. Comments must be submitted by **July 10**, **2007**. You may submit the completed form by e-mail to <a href="mailto:sarcomm@nerc.net">sarcomm@nerc.net</a> with the words "System Protection" in the subject line. If you have questions please contact Al Calafiore at <a href="mailto:al.calafiore@nerc.net">al.calafiore@nerc.net</a> or by telephone at 609-452-8060.

Individual Commenter Information			
(Comple	te thi	s page for comments from one organization or individual.)	
Name: V	Valter M	1arusenko	
Organization: N	/lanitoba	a Hydro	
Telephone: 2	04-487	-5407	
E-mail: v	vmaruse	enko@hydro.mb.ca	
NERC Region		Registered Ballot Body Segment	
☐ ERCOT		1 — Transmission Owners	
☐ FRCC		2 — RTOs and ISOs	
oxtimes MRO	$\boxtimes$	3 — Load-serving Entities	
		4 — Transmission-dependent Utilities	
☐ RFC		5 — Electric Generators	
SERC		6 — Electricity Brokers, Aggregators, and Marketers	
∐ SPP		7 — Large Electricity End Users	
☐ WECC		8 — Small Electricity End Users	
∐ NA – Not Applicable	t 🔲	9 — Federal, State, Provincial Regulatory or other Government Entities	
		10 — Regional Reliability Organizations and Regional Entities	

Group Comments (Complete this page if comments are from a group.)

Group Name:			
Lead Contact:			
Contact Organization:			
Contact Segment:			
Contact Telephone:			
Contact E-mail:			
Additional Member Name	Additional Member Organization	Region*	Segment*

<sup>\*</sup>If more than one Region or Segment applies, indicate the best fit for the purpose of these comments. Regional acronyms and segment numbers are shown on prior page.

#### **Background Information**

This SAR proposes to improve and expand upon the requirements in PRC-001 — System Protection Coordination. Note that some of the requirements in PRC-001 involve real-time control actions taken by entities other than the facility owners, and these requirements may be moved from PRC-001 into Project 2006-06 — Reliability Coordination.

The SAR proposes to address the FERC directives in Order 693 and to address a number of technical short comings identified by stakeholders and the System Protection and Control Task Force and to bring the standard into conformance with the "Standard Review Guidelines."

Insert a "check" mark in the appropriate boxes by double-clicking the gray areas.

1.	Do you agree that there is a reliability-related need to improve the requirements in this standard?
	Comments: No comments.
2.	Do you agree with the proposed scope of this SAR?
	⊠ Yes
	□ No
	Comments: No comments.
3.	Do you agree with the applicability of the proposed SAR (Reliability Coordinators, Balancing Authorities, Planning Coordinators, Transmission Operators, Transmission Owners, Generator Owners, Generator Operators and Distribution Providers)?  Yes
	□ No
	Comments: No comments
4.	If you know of a Regional Variance that should be developed as part of this SAR, please identify that for us. If not, please explain in the comment area.
	Regional Variance: None. Comments: No variance necessary.
5.	If you are aware of a Business Practice that needs to be developed to support the proposed SAR, please identify that for us.
	Business Practice: None. Comments: No comments.
6.	If you have any other comments on this SAR that you haven't provided above, please provide them here.
	Comments: No comments

Please use this form to submit comments on the proposed SAR for Project 2007-06 — System Protection Coordination. Comments must be submitted by **July 10**, **2007**. You may submit the completed form by e-mail to <a href="mailto:sarcomm@nerc.net">sarcomm@nerc.net</a> with the words "System Protection" in the subject line. If you have questions please contact Al Calafiore at <a href="mailto:al.calafiore@nerc.net">al.calafiore@nerc.net</a> or by telephone at 609-452-8060.

Individual Commenter Information			
(Complete	e thi	s page for comments from one organization or individual.)	
Name:			
Organization:			
Telephone:			
E-mail:			
NERC Region	negiotorea zanet zear ceginent		
☐ ERCOT		1 — Transmission Owners	
☐ FRCC		2 — RTOs and ISOs	
☐ MRO		3 — Load-serving Entities	
		4 — Transmission-dependent Utilities	
☐ RFC		5 — Electric Generators	
☐ SERC		6 — Electricity Brokers, Aggregators, and Marketers	
☐ SPP		7 — Large Electricity End Users	
☐ WECC		8 — Small Electricity End Users	
∐ NA – Not Applicable		9 — Federal, State, Provincial Regulatory or other Government Entities	
		10 — Regional Reliability Organizations and Regional Entities	

Group Comments (Complete this page if comments are from a group.)

Group Name: Midwest Reliability Organization (MRO)

Lead Contact: Joe Knight

Contact Organization: MRO for Group (GRE - for lead contact)

Contact Segment: 10

Contact Telephone: 763.241.5633

Contact E-mail: jknight@grenergy.com

Additional Member Name	Additional Member Organization	Region*	Segment*
Neal Balu	WPS	MRO	10
Terry Bilke	MISO	MRO	10
Robert Coish, Chair	МНЕВ	MRO	10
Carol Gerou	MP	MRO	10
Ken Goldsmith	ALT	MRO	10
Jim Haigh	WAPA	MRO	10
Tom Mielnik	MEC	MRO	10
Pam Oreschnick	XEL	MRO	10
Dave Rudolph	BEPC	MRO	10
Eric Ruskamp	LES	MRO	10
MIke Brytowski, Secretary	MRO	MRO	10
28 Additional MRO Members	Not Named Above	MRO	10

<sup>\*</sup>If more than one Region or Segment applies, indicate the best fit for the purpose of these comments. Regional acronyms and segment numbers are shown on prior page.

#### **Background Information**

This SAR proposes to improve and expand upon the requirements in PRC-001 — System Protection Coordination. Note that some of the requirements in PRC-001 involve real-time control actions taken by entities other than the facility owners, and these requirements may be moved from PRC-001 into Project 2006-06 — Reliability Coordination.

The SAR proposes to address the FERC directives in Order 693 and to address a number of technical short comings identified by stakeholders and the System Protection and Control Task Force and to bring the standard into conformance with the "Standard Review Guidelines."

Insert a "check" mark in the appropriate boxes by double-clicking the gray areas.

1.	Do you agree that there is a reliability-related need to improve the requirements in this standard? $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$
	□ No Comments:
2.	Do you agree with the proposed scope of this SAR?  ☐ Yes ☐ No Comments:
3.	Do you agree with the applicability of the proposed SAR (Reliability Coordinators, Balancing Authorities, Planning Coordinators, Transmission Operators, Transmission Owners, Generator Owners, Generator Operators and Distribution Providers)?  ☑ Yes ☐ No Comments:
4.	If you know of a Regional Variance that should be developed as part of this SAR, please identify that for us. If not, please explain in the comment area.  Regional Variance: None Comments:
5.	If you are aware of a Business Practice that needs to be developed to support the proposed SAR, please identify that for us.  Business Practice: None Comments:
6.	If you have any other comments on this SAR that you haven't provided above, please provide them here.

Comments:

1. The MRO commends NERC and the SDT for taking the necessary steps to remove the vagueness and ambiguity in the requirements; as well as the need to have clarity and measurability now that the industry has transitioned to mandatory and enforceable standards.

2. The SPCTF Assessment of PRC-001-1 did not mention how they would address "Corrective Actions" listed in R2. The MRO requests that the SDT expand on what the scope of these "Corrective Actions" is meant to be (e.g. real-time, or after the fact repair or replacement of defective equipment).

Please use this form to submit comments on the proposed SAR for Project 2007-06 — System Protection Coordination. Comments must be submitted by **July 10**, **2007**. You may submit the completed form by e-mail to <a href="mailto:sarcomm@nerc.net">sarcomm@nerc.net</a> with the words "System Protection" in the subject line. If you have questions please contact Al Calafiore at <a href="mailto:al.calafiore@nerc.net">al.calafiore@nerc.net</a> or by telephone at 609-452-8060.

Individual Commenter Information			
(Complete	e this	s page for comments from one organization or individual.)	
Name:			
Organization:			
Telephone:			
E-mail:			
NERC Region		Registered Ballot Body Segment	
☐ ERCOT		1 — Transmission Owners	
☐ FRCC		2 — RTOs and ISOs	
☐ MRO		3 — Load-serving Entities	
		4 — Transmission-dependent Utilities	
RFC		5 — Electric Generators	
☐ SERC		6 — Electricity Brokers, Aggregators, and Marketers	
☐ SPP		7 — Large Electricity End Users	
☐ WECC		8 — Small Electricity End Users	
∐ NA – Not Applicable		9 — Federal, State, Provincial Regulatory or other Government Entities	
		10 — Regional Reliability Organizations and Regional Entities	

Group Comments (Complete this page if comments are from a group.)

Group Name: Public Service Commission of South Carolina

Lead Contact: Phil Riley

Contact Organization: Public Service Commission of South Carolina

Contact Segment: 9

Contact Telephone: 803-896-5154

Contact E-mail: philip.riley@psc.sc.gov

Additional Member Name	Additional Member Organization	Region*	Segment*		
Mignon L. Clyburn	Public Service Commission of SC	SERC	9		
Elizabeth B. "Lib" Fleming	Public Service Commission of SC	SERC	9		
G. O'Neal Hamilton	Public Service Commission of SC	SERC	9		
John E. "Butch" Howard	Public Service Commission of SC	SERC	9		
Randy Mitchell	Public Service Commission of SC	SERC	9		
C. Robert "Bob" Moseley	Public Service Commission of SC	SERC	9		
David A. Wright	Public Service Commission of SC	SERC	9		

<sup>\*</sup>If more than one Region or Segment applies, indicate the best fit for the purpose of these comments. Regional acronyms and segment numbers are shown on prior page.

#### **Background Information**

This SAR proposes to improve and expand upon the requirements in PRC-001 — System Protection Coordination. Note that some of the requirements in PRC-001 involve real-time control actions taken by entities other than the facility owners, and these requirements may be moved from PRC-001 into Project 2006-06 — Reliability Coordination.

The SAR proposes to address the FERC directives in Order 693 and to address a number of technical short comings identified by stakeholders and the System Protection and Control Task Force and to bring the standard into conformance with the "Standard Review Guidelines."

Insert a "check" mark in the appropriate boxes by double-clicking the gray areas.

1.	standard?  ☑ Yes  ☐ No
	Comments:
2.	Do you agree with the proposed scope of this SAR?  ☐ Yes ☐ No Comments:
3.	Do you agree with the applicability of the proposed SAR (Reliability Coordinators, Balancing Authorities, Planning Coordinators, Transmission Operators, Transmission Owners, Generator Owners, Generator Operators and Distribution Providers)?  ☑ Yes ☐ No Comments:
4.	If you know of a Regional Variance that should be developed as part of this SAR, please identify that for us. If not, please explain in the comment area.
	Regional Variance: Comments: N/A
5.	If you are aware of a Business Practice that needs to be developed to support the proposed SAR, please identify that for us.
	Business Practice: Comments: N/A
6.	If you have any other comments on this SAR that you haven't provided above, please provide them here.
	Comments: N/A

Please use this form to submit comments on the proposed SAR for Project 2007-06 — System Protection Coordination. Comments must be submitted by **July 10**, **2007**. You may submit the completed form by e-mail to <a href="mailto:sarcomm@nerc.net">sarcomm@nerc.net</a> with the words "System Protection" in the subject line. If you have questions please contact Al Calafiore at <a href="mailto:al.calafiore@nerc.net">al.calafiore@nerc.net</a> or by telephone at 609-452-8060.

Individual Commenter Information			
(Comple	ete thi	s page for comments from one organization or individual.)	
Name:	Mike Ge	entry	
Organization:	Salt Rive	er Project	
Telephone:	602-236	i-6408	
E-mail:	Mike.Ge	entry@srpnet.com	
NERC Region		Registered Ballot Body Segment	
☐ ERCOT		1 — Transmission Owners	
☐ FRCC		2 — RTOs and ISOs	
		3 — Load-serving Entities	
		4 — Transmission-dependent Utilities	
☐ RFC		5 — Electric Generators	
SERC		6 — Electricity Brokers, Aggregators, and Marketers	
☐ SPP		7 — Large Electricity End Users	
⊠ WECC		8 — Small Electricity End Users	
∐ NA – No Applicable	ot	9 — Federal, State, Provincial Regulatory or other Government Entities	
		10 — Regional Reliability Organizations and Regional Entities	

Group Comments (Complete this page if comments are from a group.)

Group Name:			
Lead Contact:			
Contact Organization:			
Contact Segment:			
Contact Telephone:			
Contact E-mail:			
Additional Member Name	Additional Member Organization	Region*	Segment*

<sup>\*</sup>If more than one Region or Segment applies, indicate the best fit for the purpose of these comments. Regional acronyms and segment numbers are shown on prior page.

#### **Background Information**

This SAR proposes to improve and expand upon the requirements in PRC-001 — System Protection Coordination. Note that some of the requirements in PRC-001 involve real-time control actions taken by entities other than the facility owners, and these requirements may be moved from PRC-001 into Project 2006-06 — Reliability Coordination.

The SAR proposes to address the FERC directives in Order 693 and to address a number of technical short comings identified by stakeholders and the System Protection and Control Task Force and to bring the standard into conformance with the "Standard Review Guidelines."

Insert a "check" mark in the appropriate boxes by double-clicking the gray areas.

1.	Do you agree that there is a reliability-related need to improve the requirements in this standard?
	⊠ Yes
	□ No Comments:
	Comments.
2.	Do you agree with the proposed scope of this SAR?
	⊠ Yes
	□ No
	Comments:
3.	Do you agree with the applicability of the proposed SAR (Reliability Coordinators, Balancing Authorities, Planning Coordinators, Transmission Operators, Transmission Owners, Generator Owners, Generator Operators and Distribution Providers)?  Yes
	□ No
	Comments:
4.	If you know of a Regional Variance that should be developed as part of this SAR, please identify that for us. If not, please explain in the comment area.
	Regional Variance: Comments:
5.	If you are aware of a Business Practice that needs to be developed to support the proposed SAR, please identify that for us.
	Business Practice: Comments:

6. If you have any other comments on this SAR that you haven't provided above, please provide them here.

Comments: I am concerned with the language proposed by FERC and the comparison to reactions to IROL's. Will FERC's requirement apply to a single protection system that has a redundant protection system? Will FERC's requirement apply to a system that is in an "overexposed" state? Will FERC's requirement apply to a system that may be exposed to slow 30 cycle of less tripping. These conditions must be identified in detail

as to what will need to meet the "returning the system to a stable state that respects system requirements as soon as possible and no longer than 30 minutes." FERC requirement

Please use this form to submit comments on the proposed SAR for Project 2007-06 — System Protection Coordination. Comments must be submitted by **July 10**, **2007**. You may submit the completed form by e-mail to <a href="mailto:sarcomm@nerc.net">sarcomm@nerc.net</a> with the words "System Protection" in the subject line. If you have questions please contact Al Calafiore at <a href="mailto:al.calafiore@nerc.net">al.calafiore@nerc.net</a> or by telephone at 609-452-8060.

Individual Commenter Information			
(Complete	e this	s page for comments from one organization or individual.)	
Name:			
Organization:			
Telephone:			
E-mail:			
NERC Region		Registered Ballot Body Segment	
☐ ERCOT		1 — Transmission Owners	
☐ FRCC		2 — RTOs and ISOs	
☐ MRO		3 — Load-serving Entities	
		4 — Transmission-dependent Utilities	
RFC		5 — Electric Generators	
☐ SERC		6 — Electricity Brokers, Aggregators, and Marketers	
☐ SPP		7 — Large Electricity End Users	
☐ WECC		8 — Small Electricity End Users	
∐ NA – Not Applicable		9 — Federal, State, Provincial Regulatory or other Government Entities	
		10 — Regional Reliability Organizations and Regional Entities	

Group Comments (Complete this page if comments are from a group.)

Group Name: SERC EC Protection & Control Subcommittee (PCS)

Lead Contact: Jay Farrington

Contact Organization: Alabama Electric Cooperative, Inc.

Contact Segment: 1

Contact Telephone: (334) 427-3225

Contact E-mail: jay.farrington@powersouth.com

Additional Member Name	Additional Member Organization	Region*	Segment*
Robert Rauschenbach	Ameren	SERC	1
Charlie Fink	Entergy	SERC	1
Jammie Lee	Entergy	SERC	1
Tom Seeley	E.ON-U.S.	SERC	1
Steve Waldrep	Georgia Power Company	SERC	1
Hong-Ming Shuh	Georgia Transmission Corporation	SERC	1
Neal Jones	Georgia Transmission Corporation	SERC	1
Jerry Blackley	Progress Energy Carolinas	SERC	1
Pat Huntley	SERC Reliability Corp.	SERC	10
Marion Frick	South Carolina Electric & Gas Co.	SERC	1
Bridget Coffman	South Carolina Public Service	SERC	1
	Authority		
George Pitts	Tennessee Valley Authority	SERC	1
Meyer Kao	Tennessee Valley Authority	SERC	1
Phil Winston	Georgia Power Company	SERC	1
Ernesto Paon	Municipal Electric Authority of	SERC	1
	Georgia		

<sup>\*</sup>If more than one Region or Segment applies, indicate the best fit for the purpose of these comments. Regional acronyms and segment numbers are shown on prior page.

#### **Background Information**

This SAR proposes to improve and expand upon the requirements in PRC-001 — System Protection Coordination. Note that some of the requirements in PRC-001 involve real-time control actions taken by entities other than the facility owners, and these requirements may be moved from PRC-001 into Project 2006-06 — Reliability Coordination.

The SAR proposes to address the FERC directives in Order 693 and to address a number of technical short comings identified by stakeholders and the System Protection and Control Task Force and to bring the standard into conformance with the "Standard Review Guidelines."

Insert a "check" mark in the appropriate boxes by double-clicking the gray areas.

1.	Do you agree that there is a reliability-related need to improve the requirements in this standard? $\  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \ $
	□ No Comments:
2.	Do you agree with the proposed scope of this SAR?
	☐ Yes
	⊠ No
	Comments: Consideration should be given to splitting this effort among 2 or 3 standards to address the operating, operations planning, and planning horizons. Consideration should also be given to moving the operating training requirements to another standard (if not already covered by an existing standard).
3.	Do you agree with the applicability of the proposed SAR (Reliability Coordinators, Balancing Authorities, Planning Coordinators, Transmission Operators, Transmission Owners, Generator Owners, Generator Operators and Distribution Providers)?  Yes
	□ No
	Comments: The requirements for the PC, TO, GO, and DP (planning horizon) should be in a separate standard than those for the RC, BA, TOP, and GOP (operating and operations planning horizons).
4.	If you know of a Regional Variance that should be developed as part of this SAR, please identify that for us. If not, please explain in the comment area.
	Regional Variance: none Comments:
5.	If you are aware of a Business Practice that needs to be developed to support the proposed SAR, please identify that for us.
	Business Practice: none Comments:

6. If you have any other comments on this SAR that you haven't provided above, please provide them here.

Comments: none

Please use this form to submit comments on the proposed SAR for Project 2007-06 — System Protection Coordination. Comments must be submitted by **July 10**, **2007**. You may submit the completed form by e-mail to <a href="mailto:sarcomm@nerc.net">sarcomm@nerc.net</a> with the words "System Protection" in the subject line. If you have questions please contact Al Calafiore at <a href="mailto:al.calafiore@nerc.net">al.calafiore@nerc.net</a> or by telephone at 609-452-8060.

Individual Commenter Information			
(Complete	e this	s page for comments from one organization or individual.)	
Name:			
Organization:			
Telephone:			
E-mail:			
NERC Region		Registered Ballot Body Segment	
☐ ERCOT		1 — Transmission Owners	
☐ FRCC		2 — RTOs and ISOs	
☐ MRO		3 — Load-serving Entities	
		4 — Transmission-dependent Utilities	
RFC		5 — Electric Generators	
SERC		6 — Electricity Brokers, Aggregators, and Marketers	
☐ SPP		7 — Large Electricity End Users	
☐ WECC		8 — Small Electricity End Users	
∐ NA – Not Applicable		9 — Federal, State, Provincial Regulatory or other Government Entities	
		10 — Regional Reliability Organizations and Regional Entities	

Group Comments (Complete this page if comments are from a group.)

Group Name: Southwest Transmission Cooperative, Inc.

Lead Contact: E. William Riley

Contact Organization: Southwest Transmission Cooperative, Inc.

Contact Segment: 1

Contact Telephone: 520-586-5440

Contact E-mail: briley@swtransco.coop

Additional Member Name	Additional Member Organization	Region*	Segment*
Tom D. Spence, P.E	Southwest Transmission Coop., Inc.	WECC	1

<sup>\*</sup>If more than one Region or Segment applies, indicate the best fit for the purpose of these comments. Regional acronyms and segment numbers are shown on prior page.

#### **Background Information**

This SAR proposes to improve and expand upon the requirements in PRC-001 — System Protection Coordination. Note that some of the requirements in PRC-001 involve real-time control actions taken by entities other than the facility owners, and these requirements may be moved from PRC-001 into Project 2006-06 — Reliability Coordination.

The SAR proposes to address the FERC directives in Order 693 and to address a number of technical short comings identified by stakeholders and the System Protection and Control Task Force and to bring the standard into conformance with the "Standard Review Guidelines."

Insert a "check" mark in the appropriate boxes by double-clicking the gray areas.

1.	Do you agree that there is a reliability-related need to improve the requirements in this standard?
	⊠ Yes
	□ No
	Comments: We agree that there is a need to improve the requirements of Standard PRC-001-0 and Standard MOD-011-0 as described in the supplemental document "NERC SPCTF Assessment of Standard PRC-001-0 — System Protection Coordination". It is important to modify ambiguous statements such as "corrective action needs to be taken" and "must be doneas soon as possible". By making the improvements described in the SAR, the standard will provide the applicable entities with more definitive requirements that will allow entities to provide specific responsibilities to internal work groups within the standard utility organization.
2.	Do you agree with the proposed scope of this SAR?
	⊠ Yes
	□ No
	Comments: Another important change described in this SAR is the requirement to have an up-to-date accurate model of the transmission system for protection studies. It is extremely important to develop these accurate models to allow enhance the reliability of the bulk-electric system. There are efforts underway in the southwest that apply directly to the development of this type of model by late 2007.
3.	Do you agree with the applicability of the proposed SAR (Reliability Coordinators, Balancing Authorities, Planning Coordinators, Transmission Operators, Transmission Owners, Generator Owners, Generator Operators and Distribution Providers)?  Yes
4.	If you know of a Regional Variance that should be developed as part of this SAR, please identify that for us. If not, please explain in the comment area.
	Regional Variance: N/A Comments: Not aware of any Regional Variance requirements

5. If you are aware of a Business Practice that needs to be developed to support the proposed SAR, please identify that for us.

Business Practice: N/A

Comments: Not aware of any Business Practice needs

6. If you have any other comments on this SAR that you haven't provided above, please provide them here.

Comments: N/A