

# Meeting Notes

Protection System Maintenance & Testing Standard Drafting Team

January 16–17, 2008 Juno Beach, Florida

### **Administrative**

## 1. Introductions and Quorum

Charles Rogers, Chairman, brought the meeting to order at 8 a.m. EST on January 17, 2008. The attendees were:

Members: Charles Rogers (Chair) John Anderson Bob Bentert

Merle (Rick) AshtonBob BentertJohn CiufoRichard FernerCarol A. GerouRoger D. GreenRussell C. HardisonDave HarperJohn Kruse

Mark Peterson Leonard G. Swanson, Jr.

Eric A. Udren John A. Zipp Al Calafiore, NERC

Guests: Sam Francis Jeff Laninga Todd Skinner

Bruce Pickett Chuck Jenson

All but one team members and 5 guests were present

# 2. Review NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines

Al Calafiore reviewed the NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines and there were no questions raised.

# 3. Standards Comments and Revisions

Since a strong consensus had been reached to fold the 4 existing standards (PRC-005, PRC-008, PRC-011, and PRC-017) into one and an initial draft (straw-man) had been presented at the last meeting discussion continued on line by line wording of the combined document.

On the second day, the representatives from the Disturbance Monitoring Equipment (DME) Drafting Team presented their case for including the maintenance requirements of DME.



The DME made a presentation to include DME maintenance in the PRC standard. It was discussed and voted on with six for and six against, therefore the motion failed. The motion was made by J Ciufo with Bob Bentert second; whether to include DME maintenance and testing with the actual language to be contributed by the DME drafting team.

It was decided that standards should be written for function otherwise there are many functions that eventually will be performed from the same "box" and this would create a "super" standard. Functions such as metering, SCADA, etc. will be from the same box.

It was decided that this team will do a FAQ to tell or describe where DME functions maintenance will be found. Also it was suggested that the industry be asked where it would prefer DME testing and maintenance be placed. (Is this correct? Who will do this?)

It was also brought out that there is a need to discuss how to handle single box (relay box) double jeopardy question for compliance. (Need clarification on what this is )

There was a suggestion to add R9.4 for allowing maintenance extension after a natural disaster such as hurricanes. Much discussion followed, several proposals were made from 6 months to 1 year extension. Discussion on this proposal continues and information is being gathered if to have this extension, how long, and how to administer it.

Question was asked — will compliance allow being late or something due to consequences of natural disasters? Or does the standard need a requirement to allow for defer maintenance due to natural disaster occurrences. This question is to be brought to compliance for comments.

#### 4. Action Items

Continue discussion and gather information on the need for R9.4 (Extension period following natural disasters). Continue with the discussions on other issues for drafting of the standard in preparation of the next meeting.

## 5. Next Steps

The next meeting will be on March 5 (8 a.m.–5 p.m.) and March 6 (8 a.m.–noon EST) Atlanta, Georgia.

#### 6. Adjourn

The meeting was adjourned at noon on January 17, 2008.