# **Standard Development Roadmap**

This section is maintained by the drafting team during the development of the standard and will be removed when the standard becomes effective.

#### **Development Steps Completed:**

- 1. Standards Committee approved posting SAR and draft standard on January 17, 2013.
- 2. SAR posted for 30-day informal comment period from April 5, 2013 through May 6, 2013.
- 3. Draft 1 of PRC-005-3 posted for a 30-day formal comment period from April 5, 2013 through May 6, 2013.
- 4. Draft 2 of PRC-005-3 posted for a 45-day formal comment period from June 28July 10, 2013 through August 1223, 2013.

## **Description of Current Draft:**

This is the <u>firstsecond</u> draft of the PRC-005-3. The standard modifies PRC-005-2 to address the directive issued by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission in Order No.758 for "NERC to include the maintenance and testing of reclosing relays that can affect the reliable operation of the Bulk-Power System..."

#### **Future Development Plan:**

Anticipated Actions	Anticipated Date
1. Post for 30 day formal comment	April 2013
2.1. Post for a concurrent 45-day comment and initial-ballot	Ju <u>lyne</u> 2013
3.2. Conduct recirculation ballot	AugustOctober 2013
3. BOT Adoption	November 2013

# **Definitions of Terms Used in Standard**

This section includes all newly defined or revised terms used in the proposed standard. Terms already defined in the Reliability Standards Glossary of Terms are not repeated here. New or revised definitions listed below become approved when the proposed standard is approved. When the standard becomes effective, these the following defined terms will be removed from the individual standard and added to the Glossary.

**Protection System Maintenance Program (PSMP) (NERC Board of Trustees Approved Definition)** — An ongoing program by which Protection System and Automatic <u>automatic Reclosing</u> reclosing components are kept in working order and proper operation of malfunctioning components is restored. A maintenance program for a specific component includes one or more of the following activities:

- Verify Determine that the component is functioning correctly.
- Monitor Observe the routine in-service operation of the component.
- Test Apply signals to a component to observe functional performance or output behavior, or to diagnose problems.
- Inspect Examine for signs of component failure, reduced performance or degradation.
- Calibrate Adjust the operating threshold or measurement accuracy of a measuring element to meet the intended performance requirement.

The following terms are defined for use only within PRC-005-3, and should remain with the standard upon approval rather than being moved to the Glossary of Terms.

#### Automatic Reclosing -

Includes the following Components:

- Reclosing relay
- Control circuitry associated with the reclosing relay through the close coil(s) of the circuit breakers or similar device but excluding breaker internal controls such as anti-pump and various interlock circuits.

**Unresolved Maintenance Issue** – A deficiency identified during a maintenance activity that causes the component to not meet the intended performance, cannot be corrected during the maintenance interval, and requires follow-up corrective action.

**Segment** – Components of a consistent design standard, or a particular model or type from a single manufacturer that typically share other common elements. Consistent performance is expected across the entire population of a Segment. A Segment must contain at least sixty (60) individual components.

**Component Type** – Either any one of the five specific elements of the Protection System definition or any one of the two specific elements of the Automatic Reclosing definition.

**Component** – A Component is any individual discrete piece of equipment included in a Protection System or in Automatic Reclosing, including but not limited to a protective relay, reclosing relay, or current sensing device. The designation of what constitutes a control circuit Component is dependent upon how an entity performs and tracks the testing of the control circuitry. Some entities test their control circuits on a breaker basis whereas others test their circuitry on a local zone of protection basis. Thus, entities are allowed the latitude to designate their own definitions of control circuit Components. Another example of where the entity has some discretion on determining what constitutes a single Component is the voltage and current sensing devices, where the entity may choose either to designate a full three-phase set of such devices or a single device as a single Component.

**Countable Event** – A failure of a Component requiring repair or replacement, any condition discovered during the maintenance activities in Tables 1-1 through 1-5, Table 3, and Tables 4-1 through 4-2 which requires corrective action or a <u>Protection System</u> Misoperation attributed to hardware failure or calibration failure. Misoperations due to product design errors, software errors, relay settings different from specified settings, Protection System Component or Automatic Reclosing configuration or application errors are not included in Countable Events.

## A. Introduction

- 1. Title: Protection System and Automatic Reclosing Maintenance
- **2. Number:** PRC-005-3
- **3. Purpose:** To document and implement programs for the maintenance of all Protection Systems and Automatic Reclosing affecting the reliability of the Bulk Electric System (BES) so that they are kept in working order.

## 4. Applicability:

#### **4.1. Functional Entities:**

- **4.1.1** Transmission Owner
- 4.1.2 Generator Owner
- **4.1.3** Distribution Provider

## 4.2. Facilities:

- **4.2.1** Protection Systems that are installed for the purpose of detecting Faults on BES Elements (lines, buses, transformers, etc.)
- **4.2.2** Protection Systems used for underfrequency load-shedding systems installed per ERO underfrequency load-shedding requirements.
- **4.2.3** Protection Systems used for undervoltage load-shedding systems installed to prevent system voltage collapse or voltage instability for BES reliability.
- **4.2.4** Protection Systems installed as a Special Protection System (SPS) for BES reliability.
- **4.2.5** Protection Systems for generator Facilities that are part of the BES, including:
  - **4.2.5.1** Protection Systems that act to trip the generator either directly or via lockout or auxiliary tripping relays.
  - **4.2.5.2** Protection Systems for generator step-up transformers for generators that are part of the BES.
  - **4.2.5.3** Protection Systems for transformers connecting aggregated generation, where the aggregated generation is part of the BES (e.g., transformers connecting facilities such as wind-farms to the BES).
  - **4.2.5.4** Protection Systems for station service or excitation transformers connected to the generator bus of generators which are part of the BES, that act to trip the generator either directly or via lockout or tripping auxiliary relays.
- **4.2.6** Automatic Reclosing<sup>1</sup>, including:
  - **4.2.6.1** <u>Applied Automatic Reclosing applied</u> on <u>BES</u> the terminals of Elements <u>connected to the BES bus located</u> at generating plant substations where the total installed gross generating plant capacity is greater than the gross

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Automatic Reclosing addressed in Section 4.2.6.1 and 4.2.6.2 may be excluded if the equipment owner can demonstrate that a close-in three-phase fault present for twice the normal clearing time (capturing a minimum tripclose-trip time delay) does not result in a total loss of <u>gross</u> generation in the Interconnection exceeding the <u>gross</u> <u>capacity of the</u> largest <u>BES</u> <u>generating</u> unit within the Balancing Authority Area where the Automatic Reclosing is applied.

capacity of the largest <u>BES</u> generating unit within the Balancing Authority Area.

**4.2.6.2** Applied on <u>Automatic</u> <u>Reclosing applied on the</u> <u>terminals of all</u> BES Elements at substations one bus away from generating plants **Component Type –** Either any one of the five specific elements of the Protection System definition or any one of the two specific elements of the Automatic Reclosing definition.

specified in Section 4.2.6.1 when the substation is less than 10 circuit-miles from the generating plant substation.

**4.2.6.3** <u>Applied Automatic Reclosing applied</u> as an integral part of an SPS specified in Section 4.2.4.

#### 5. Effective Date: See Implementation Plan

#### **B.** Requirements

**R1.** Each Transmission Owner, Generator Owner, and Distribution Provider shall establish a Protection System Maintenance Program (PSMP) for its Protection Systems and Automatic Reclosing identified in Facilities Section 4.2. [Violation Risk Factor: Medium] [Time Horizon: Operations Planning]

#### The PSMP shall:

- **1.1.** Identify which maintenance method (time-based, performance-based per PRC-005
  - Attachment A, or a combination) is used to address each Protection System and Automatic Reclosing Component Type. All batteries associated with the station dc supply Component Type of a Protection System shall be included in a timebased program as described in Table 1-4 and Table 3.
- **1.2.** Include the applicable monitored Component attributes applied to each Protection System Component Type and Automatic Reclosing ComponentsComponent Type consistent with the maintenance intervals specified in Tables 1-1 through 1-5, Table 2, Table 3, and Table 4-1 through 4-2 where monitoring is used to extend the maintenance intervals beyond those specified for unmonitored Protection System and Automatic Reclosing Components.

*Component* – A component is any individual discrete piece of equipment included in a Protection System or in Automatic Reclosing, including but not limited to a protective relay, reclosing relay, or current sensing device. The designation of what constitutes a control circuit component is very dependent upon how an entity performs and tracks the testing of the control circuitry. Some entities test their control circuits on a breaker basis whereas others test their circuitry on a local zone of protection basis. Thus, entities are allowed the latitude to designate their own definitions of control circuit components. Another example of where the entity has some discretion on determining what constitutes a single component is the voltage and current sensing devices, where the entity may choose either to designate a full three-phase set of such devices or a single device as a single component.

- **R2.** Each Transmission Owner, Generator Owner, and Distribution Provider that uses performancebased maintenance intervals in its PSMP shall follow the procedure established in PRC-005 Attachment A to establish and maintain its performance-based intervals. [Violation Risk Factor: Medium] [Time Horizon: Operations Planning]
- **R3.** Each Transmission Owner, Generator Owner, and Distribution Provider that utilizes timebased maintenance program(s) shall maintain its Protection System and Automatic Reclosing Components that are included within the time-based maintenance program in accordance with

the minimum maintenance activities and maximum maintenance intervals prescribed within Tables 1-1 through 1-5, Table 2, Table 3, and Table 4<u>-1 through 4-2</u>. [Violation Risk Factor: High] [Time Horizon: Operations Planning]

Unresolved Maintenance Issue – A deficiency identified during a maintenance activity that causes the component to not meet the intended performance, cannot be corrected during the maintenance interval, and requires follow-up corrective action.

- **R4.** Each Transmission Owner, Generator Owner, and Distribution Provider that utilizes performance-based maintenance program(s) in accordance with Requirement R2 shall implement and follow its PSMP for its Protection System and Automatic Reclosing Components that are included within the performance-based program(s). [Violation Risk Factor: High] [Time Horizon: Operations Planning]
- **R5.** Each Transmission Owner, Generator Owner, and Distribution Provider shall demonstrate efforts to correct identified Unresolved Maintenance Issues. [Violation Risk Factor: Medium] [Time Horizon: Operations Planning]

## C. Measures

**M1.** Each Transmission Owner, Generator Owner and Distribution Provider shall have a documented Protection System Maintenance Program in accordance with Requirement R1.

For each Protection System and Automatic Reclosing Component Type, the documentation shall include the type of maintenance method applied (time-based, performance-based, or a combination of these maintenance methods), and shall include all batteries associated with the station dc supply Component Types in a time-based program as described in Table 1-4 and Table 3. (Part 1.1)

For Component Types that use monitoring to extend the maintenance intervals, the responsible entity(s) shall have evidence for each Protection System and Automatic Reclosing Component Type (such as manufacturer's specifications or engineering drawings) of the appropriate monitored Component attributes as specified in Tables 1-1 through 1-5, Table 2, Table 3, and Table 4.<u>1 through 4-2.</u> (Part 1.2)

- M2. Each Transmission Owner, Generator Owner, and Distribution Provider that uses performancebased maintenance intervals shall have evidence that its current performance-based maintenance program(s) is in accordance with Requirement R2, which may include but is not limited to Component lists, dated maintenance records, and dated analysis records and results.
- **M3.** Each Transmission Owner, Generator Owner, and Distribution Provider that utilizes timebased maintenance program(s) shall have evidence that it has maintained its Protection System and Automatic Reclosing Components included within its time-based program in accordance with Requirement R3. The evidence may include but is not limited to dated maintenance records, dated maintenance summaries, dated check-off lists, dated inspection records, or dated work orders.
- **M4.** Each Transmission Owner, Generator Owner, and Distribution Provider that utilizes performance-based maintenance intervals in accordance with Requirement R2 shall have evidence that it has implemented the Protection System Maintenance Program for the Protection System and Automatic Reclosing Components included in its performance-based program in accordance with Requirement R4. The evidence may include but is not limited to dated maintenance records, dated maintenance summaries, dated check-off lists, dated inspection records, or dated work orders.
- **M5.** Each Transmission Owner, Generator Owner, and Distribution Provider shall have evidence that it has undertaken efforts to correct identified Unresolved Maintenance Issues in accordance with Requirement R5. The evidence may include but is not limited to work orders, replacement Component orders, invoices, project schedules with completed milestones, return material authorizations (RMAs) or purchase orders.

# **D.** Compliance

#### 1. Compliance Monitoring Process

#### 1.1. Compliance Enforcement Authority

As defined in the NERC Rules of Procedure, "Compliance Enforcement Authority" means NERC or the Regional Entity in their respective roles of monitoring and enforcing compliance with the NERC Reliability Standards.

# **1.2.** Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement Processes:

Compliance Audit Self-Certification Spot Checking Compliance Investigation Self-Reporting Complaint

## **1.3.** Evidence Retention

The following evidence retention periods identify the period of time an entity is required to retain specific evidence to demonstrate compliance. For instances where the evidence retention period specified below is shorter than the time since the last audit, the Compliance Enforcement Authority may ask an entity to provide other evidence to show that it was compliant for the full time period since the last audit.

The Transmission Owner, Generator Owner, and Distribution Provider shall each keep data or evidence to show compliance as identified below unless directed by its Compliance Enforcement Authority to retain specific evidence for a longer period of time as part of an investigation.

For Requirement R1, the Transmission Owner, Generator Owner, and Distribution Provider shall each keep its current dated Protection System Maintenance Program, as well as any superseded versions since the preceding compliance audit, including the documentation that specifies the type of maintenance program applied for each Protection System Component Type.

For Requirement R2, Requirement R3, Requirement R4, and Requirement R5, the Transmission Owner, Generator Owner, and Distribution Provider shall each keep documentation of the two most recent performances of each distinct maintenance activity for the Protection System <u>or Automatic Reclosing</u> Component, or all performances of each distinct maintenance activity for the Protection System <u>or Automatic Reclosing</u> Component, or Automatic Reclosing Component since the previous scheduled audit date, whichever is longer.

The Compliance Enforcement Authority shall keep the last audit records and all requested and submitted subsequent audit records.

#### **1.4.** Additional Compliance Information

None.

# 2. Violation Severity Levels

Requirement Number	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL
R1	The responsible entity's PSMP failed to specify whether one Component Type is being addressed by time- based or performance-based maintenance, or a combination of both. (Part 1.1) OR The responsible entity's PSMP failed to include applicable station batteries in a time-based program. (Part 1.1)	The responsible entity's PSMP failed to specify whether two Component Types are being addressed by time-based or performance-based maintenance, or a combination of both. (Part 1.1)	The responsible entity's PSMP failed to specify whether three Component Types are being addressed by time-based or performance-based maintenance, or a combination of both. (Part 1.1). OR The responsible entity's PSMP failed to include the applicable monitoring attributes applied to each Component Type consistent with the maintenance intervals specified in Tables 1-1 through 1-5, Table 2, Table 3, and Tables 4-1 through 4-2 where monitoring is used to extend the maintenance intervals beyond those specified for unmonitored Components. (Part 1.2).	The responsible entity failed to establish a PSMP. OR The responsible entity's PSMP failed to specify whether four or more Component Types are being addressed by time-based or performance-based maintenance, or a combination of both. (Part 1.1).
R2	The responsible entity uses performance-based maintenance intervals in its PSMP but failed to reduce Countable Events to no more than 4% within three years.	NA	The responsible entity uses performance-based maintenance intervals in its PSMP but failed to reduce Countable Events to no more than 4% within four years.	The responsible entity uses performance-based maintenance intervals in its PSMP but: 1) Failed to establish the technical justification described within Requirement R2 for the initial use of the performance-based PSMP OR 2) Failed to reduce Countable Events to no more than 4% within five years OR 3) Maintained a Segment with

Requirement Number	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL
[				<ul> <li>less than 60 Components OR</li> <li>4) Failed to: <ul> <li>Annually update the list of Components,</li> <li>OR</li> </ul> </li> <li>Annually perform maintenance on the greater of 5% of the Segment population or 3 Components, OR</li> <li>Annually analyze the program activities and results for each Segment.</li> </ul>
R3	For Components included within a time-based maintenance program, the responsible entity failed to maintain 5% or less of the total Components included within a specific Component Type, in accordance with the minimum maintenance activities and maximum maintenance intervals prescribed within Tables 1-1 through 1-5, Table 2, Table 3, and Tables 4:-1 through 4-2.	For Components included within a time-based maintenance program, the responsible entity failed to maintain more than 5% but 10% or less of the total Components included within a specific Component Type, in accordance with the minimum maintenance activities and maximum maintenance intervals prescribed within Tables 1-1 through 1-5, Table 2, Table 3, and Tables 4-1 through 4-2.	For Components included within a time-based maintenance program, the responsible entity failed to maintain more than 10% but 15% or less of the total Components included within a specific Component Type, in accordance with the minimum maintenance activities and maximum maintenance intervals prescribed within Tables 1-1 through 1-5, Table 2, Table 3, and Tables 4-1 through 4-2.	For Components included within a time-based maintenance program, the responsible entity failed to maintain more than 15% of the total Components included within a specific Component Type, in accordance with the minimum maintenance activities and maximum maintenance intervals prescribed within Tables 1-1 through 1-5, Table 2, Table 3, and Tables 4-1 through 4-2.
R4	For Components included within a performance-based maintenance program, the responsible entity failed to maintain 5% or less of the annual scheduled maintenance for a specific Component Type in accordance with	For Components included within a performance-based maintenance program, the responsible entity failed to maintain more than 5% but 10% or less of the annual scheduled maintenance for a specific Component Type in accordance	For Components included within a performance-based maintenance program, the responsible entity failed to maintain more than 10% but 15% or less of the annual scheduled maintenance for a specific Component Type in accordance with	For Components included within a performance-based maintenance program, the responsible entity failed to maintain more than 15% of the annual scheduled maintenance for a specific Component Type in accordance

Requirement Number	Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL
	their performance-based PSMP.	with their performance-based PSMP.	their performance-based PSMP.	with their performance-based PSMP.
R5	The responsible entity failed to undertake efforts to correct 5 or fewer identified Unresolved Maintenance Issues.	The responsible entity failed to undertake efforts to correct greater than 5, but less than or equal to 10 identified Unresolved Maintenance Issues.	The responsible entity failed to undertake efforts to correct greater than 10, but less than or equal to 15 identified Unresolved Maintenance Issues.	The responsible entity failed to undertake efforts to correct greater than 15 identified Unresolved Maintenance Issues.

# E. Regional Variances

None

# F. Supplemental Reference Document

The following documents present a detailed discussion about determination of maintenance intervals and other useful information regarding establishment of a maintenance program.

- 1. PRC-005-2 Protection System Maintenance Supplementary Reference and FAQ March 2013.
- 2. Considerations for Maintenance and Testing of Autoreclosing Schemes November 2012.

# **Version History**

Version	Date	Action	Change Tracking
<u>0</u>	<u>April 1, 2005</u>	Effective Date	New
<u>1</u>	<u>December 1,</u> 2005	<ol> <li><u>Changed incorrect use of certain</u> <u>hyphens (-) to "en dash" (-) and "em</u> <u>dash ()."</u></li> <li><u>Added "periods" to items where</u> <u>appropriate.</u></li> <li><u>Changed "Timeframe" to "Time Frame"</u> <u>in item D, 1.2.</u></li> </ol>	01/20/05
<u>1a</u>	<u>February 17,</u> 2011	Added Appendix 1 - Interpretation regarding applicability of standard to protection of radially connected transformers	Project 2009-17 interpretation
<u>1a</u>	<u>February 17,</u> 2011	Adopted by Board of Trustees	
<u>1a</u>	<u>September 26,</u> <u>2011</u>	FERC Order issued approving interpretation of R1 and R2 (FERC's Order is effective as of September 26, 2011)	
<u>1.1a</u>	<u>February 1,</u> 2012	Errata change: Clarified inclusion of generator interconnection Facility in Generator Owner's responsibility	Revision under Project 2010-07
<u>1b</u>	<u>February 3,</u> 2012	FERC Order issued approving interpretation of R1, R1.1, and R1.2 (FERC's Order dated March 14, 2012). Updated version from 1a to 1b.	Project 2009-10 Interpretation
<u>1.1b</u>	<u>April 23, 2012</u>	Updated standard version to 1.1b to reflect FERC approval of PRC-005-1b.	Revision under Project 2010-07

<u>1.1b</u>	<u>May 9, 2012</u>	PRC-005-1.1b was adopted by the Board of Trustees as part of Project 2010-07 (GOTO).	
2	November <u>7.</u> 2012	Complete revision, absorbing maintenance requirements from PRC 005 1b, PRC 008- 0, PRC 011 0, PRC 017 0Adopted by Board of Trustees	Complete revisionProject 2007-17 - Complete revision, absorbing maintenance requirements from PRC- 005-1.1b, PRC-008-0, PRC-011-0, PRC-017-0
3	TBD	Revision <u>Revised</u> to include Automatic Reclosing into existing Version in maintenance programs	Inclusion of Project 2007- 17.2 Revision to address the FERC directive in Order No.758 regarding Automatic Reclosing only

Table 1-1 Component Type - Protective Relay Excluding distributed UFLS and distributed UVLS (see Table 3)			
Component Attributes	Maximum Maintenance Interval <sup>2</sup>	Maintenance Activities	
Any unmonitored protective relay not having all the monitoring attributes of a category below.	6 Calendar Years	<ul> <li>For all unmonitored relays:</li> <li>Verify that settings are as specified</li> <li>For non-microprocessor relays:</li> <li>Test and, if necessary calibrate</li> <li>For microprocessor relays:</li> <li>Verify operation of the relay inputs and outputs that are essential to proper functioning of the Protection System.</li> <li>Verify acceptable measurement of power system input values.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Monitored microprocessor protective relay with the following:</li> <li>Internal self-diagnosis and alarming (see Table 2).</li> <li>Voltage and/or current waveform sampling three or more times per power cycle, and conversion of samples to numeric values for measurement calculations by microprocessor electronics.</li> <li>Alarming for power supply failure (see Table 2).</li> </ul>	12 Calendar Years	<ul> <li>Verify:</li> <li>Settings are as specified.</li> <li>Operation of the relay inputs and outputs that are essential to proper functioning of the Protection System.</li> <li>Acceptable measurement of power system input values.</li> </ul>	

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  For the tables in this standard, a calendar year starts on the first day of a new year (January 1) after a maintenance activity has been completed. For the tables in this standard, a calendar month starts on the first day of the first month after a maintenance activity has been completed.

Table 1-1 Component Type - Protective Relay Excluding distributed UFLS and distributed UVLS (see Table 3)			
Component Attributes	Maximum Maintenance Interval <sup>2</sup>	Maintenance Activities	
<ul> <li>Monitored microprocessor protective relay with preceding row attributes and the following:</li> <li>Ac measurements are continuously verified by comparison to an independent ac measurement source, with alarming for excessive error (See Table 2).</li> <li>Some or all binary or status inputs and control outputs are monitored by a process that continuously demonstrates ability to perform as designed, with alarming for failure (See Table 2).</li> <li>Alarming for change of settings (See Table 2).</li> </ul>	12 Calendar Years	Verify only the unmonitored relay inputs and outputs that are essential to proper functioning of the Protection System.	

Table 1-2 Component Type - Communications Systems Excluding distributed UFLS and distributed UVLS (see Table 3)			
Component Attributes	Maximum Maintenance Interval	Maintenance Activities	
	4 Calendar Months	Verify that the communications system is functional.	
Any unmonitored communications system necessary for correct operation of protective functions, and not having all the monitoring attributes of a category below.	6 Calendar Years	Verify that the communications system meets performance criteria pertinent to the communications technology applied (e.g. signal level, reflected power, or data error rate).	
		Verify operation of communications system inputs and outputs that are essential to proper functioning of the Protection System.	
Any communications system with continuous monitoring or periodic automated testing for the presence of the channel function, and alarming for	12 Calendar Years	Verify that the communications system meets performance criteria pertinent to the communications technology applied (e.g. signal level, reflected power, or data error rate).	
loss of function (See Table 2).		Verify operation of communications system inputs and outputs that are essential to proper functioning of the Protection System.	
Any communications system with all of the following:			
• Continuous monitoring or periodic automated testing for the performance of the channel using criteria pertinent to the communications technology applied (e.g. signal level, reflected power, or data error rate, and alarming for excessive performance degradation). (See Table 2)	12 Calendar Years	Verify only the unmonitored communications system inputs and outputs that are essential to proper functioning of the Protection System	
• Some or all binary or status inputs and control outputs are monitored by a process that continuously demonstrates ability to perform as designed, with alarming for failure (See Table 2).			

Table 1-3 Component Type - Voltage and Current Sensing Devices Providing Inputs to Protective Relays Excluding distributed UFLS and distributed UVLS (see Table 3)			
Component Attributes	Maximum Maintenance Interval	Maintenance Activities	
Any voltage and current sensing devices not having monitoring attributes of the category below.	12 Calendar Years	Verify that current and voltage signal values are provided to the protective relays.	
Voltage and Current Sensing devices connected to microprocessor relays with AC measurements are continuously verified by comparison of sensing input value, as measured by the microprocessor relay, to an independent ac measurement source, with alarming for unacceptable error or failure (see Table 2).	No periodic maintenance specified	None.	

Table 1-4(a)Component Type – Protection System Station dc Supply Using Vented Lead-Acid (VLA) BatteriesExcluding distributed UFLS and distributed UVLS (see Table 3)

Component Attributes	Maximum Maintenance Interval	Maintenance Activities
	4 Calendar Months	Verify: • Station dc supply voltage Inspect: • Electrolyte level • For unintentional grounds
Protection System Station dc supply using <b>Vented Lead-Acid</b> ( <b>VLA</b> ) <b>batteries</b> not having monitoring attributes of Table 1- 4(f).	18 Calendar Months	<ul> <li>Verify:</li> <li>Float voltage of battery charger</li> <li>Battery continuity</li> <li>Battery terminal connection resistance</li> <li>Battery intercell or unit-to-unit connection resistance</li> <li>Inspect:</li> <li>Cell condition of all individual battery cells where cells are visible – or measure battery cell/unit internal ohmic values where the cells are not visible</li> <li>Physical condition of battery rack</li> </ul>

Table 1-4(a)Component Type – Protection System Station dc Supply Using Vented Lead-Acid (VLA) BatteriesExcluding distributed UFLS and distributed UVLS (see Table 3)

Component Attributes	Maximum Maintenance Interval	Maintenance Activities
	18 Calendar Months -or- 6 Calendar Years	Verify that the station battery can perform as manufactured by evaluating cell/unit measurements indicative of battery performance (e.g. internal ohmic values or float current) against the station battery baseline. -or- Verify that the station battery can perform as manufactured by conducting a performance or modified performance capacity test of the entire battery bank.

# Table 1-4(b)

Component Type – Protection System Station dc Supply Using Valve-Regulated Lead-Acid (VRLA) Batteries Excluding distributed UFLS and distributed UVLS (see Table 3)

Component Attributes	Maximum Maintenance Interval	Maintenance Activities
	4 Calendar Months	Verify: • Station dc supply voltage Inspect: • For unintentional grounds
Protection System Station dc supply <b>with Valve Regulated</b> Lead-Acid (VRLA) batteries not having monitoring attributes	6 Calendar Months	<ul><li>Inspect:</li><li>Condition of all individual units by measuring battery cell/unit internal ohmic values.</li></ul>
of Table 1-4(f).	18 Calendar Months	<ul> <li>Verify:</li> <li>Float voltage of battery charger</li> <li>Battery continuity</li> <li>Battery terminal connection resistance</li> <li>Battery intercell or unit-to-unit connection resistance</li> <li>Inspect:</li> <li>Physical condition of battery rack</li> </ul>

 Table 1-4(b)

 Component Type – Protection System Station dc Supply Using Valve-Regulated Lead-Acid (VRLA) Batteries

 Excluding distributed UFLS and distributed UVLS (see Table 3)

Component Attributes	Maximum Maintenance Interval	Maintenance Activities
	6 Calendar Months -or- 3 Calendar Years	Verify that the station battery can perform as manufactured by evaluating cell/unit measurements indicative of battery performance (e.g. internal ohmic values or float current) against the station battery baseline. -or- Verify that the station battery can perform as manufactured by conducting a performance or modified performance capacity test of the entire battery bank.

Table 1-4(c)Component Type – Protection System Station dc Supply Using Nickel-Cadmium (NiCad) BatteriesExcluding distributed UFLS and distributed UVLS (see Table 3)

Component Attributes	Maximum Maintenance Interval	Maintenance Activities
Protection System Station dc supply <b>Nickel-Cadmium</b> ( <b>NiCad</b> ) <b>batteries</b> not having monitoring attributes of Table 1- 4(f).	4 Calendar Months 18 Calendar Months	<ul> <li>Verify:</li> <li>Station dc supply voltage</li> <li>Inspect:</li> <li>Electrolyte level</li> <li>For unintentional grounds</li> <li>Verify:</li> <li>Float voltage of battery charger</li> <li>Battery continuity</li> <li>Battery terminal connection resistance</li> <li>Battery intercell or unit-to-unit connection resistance</li> <li>Inspect:</li> <li>Cell condition of all individual battery cells.</li> <li>Physical condition of battery rack</li> </ul>
	6 Calendar Years	Verify that the station battery can perform as manufactured by conducting a performance or modified performance capacity test of the entire battery bank.

Table 1-4(d)Component Type – Protection System Station dc Supply Using Non Battery Based Energy StorageExcluding distributed UFLS and distributed UVLS (see Table 3)

Component Attributes	Maximum Maintenance Interval	Maintenance Activities
Any Protection System station dc supply not using a battery	4 Calendar Months	Verify: • Station dc supply voltage Inspect: • For unintentional grounds
and not having monitoring attributes of Table 1-4(f).	18 Calendar Months	Inspect: Condition of non-battery based dc supply
	6 Calendar Years	Verify that the dc supply can perform as manufactured when ac power is not present.

Table 1-4(e) Component Type – Protection System Station dc Supply for non-BES Interrupting Devices for SPS, non-distributed UFLS, and non- distributed UVLS systems			
Component AttributesMaximum MaintenanceMaintenance ActivitiesInterval			
Any Protection System dc supply used for tripping only non- BES interrupting devices as part of a SPS, non-distributed UFLS, or non-distributed UVLS system and not having monitoring attributes of Table 1-4(f).	When control circuits are verified (See Table 1-5)	Verify Station dc supply voltage.	

Table 1-4(f)           Exclusions for Protection System Station dc Supply Monitoring Devices and Systems			
Component Attributes	Maximum Maintenance Interval	Maintenance Activities	
Any station dc supply with high and low voltage monitoring and alarming of the battery charger voltage to detect charger overvoltage and charger failure (See Table 2).		No periodic verification of station dc supply voltage is required.	
Any battery based station dc supply with electrolyte level monitoring and alarming in every cell (See Table 2).		No periodic inspection of the electrolyte level for each cell is required.	
Any station dc supply with unintentional dc ground monitoring and alarming (See Table 2).		No periodic inspection of unintentional dc grounds is required.	
Any station dc supply with charger float voltage monitoring and alarming to ensure correct float voltage is being applied on the station dc supply (See Table 2).	No periodic maintenance specified	No periodic verification of float voltage of battery charger is required.	
Any battery based station dc supply with monitoring and alarming of battery string continuity (See Table 2).		No periodic verification of the battery continuity is required.	
Any battery based station dc supply with monitoring and alarming of the intercell and/or terminal connection detail resistance of the entire battery (See Table 2).		No periodic verification of the intercell and terminal connection resistance is required.	
Any Valve Regulated Lead-Acid (VRLA) or Vented Lead- Acid (VLA) station battery with internal ohmic value or float current monitoring and alarming, and evaluating present values relative to baseline internal ohmic values for every cell/unit (See Table 2).		No periodic evaluation relative to baseline of battery cell/unit measurements indicative of battery performance is required to verify the station battery can perform as manufactured.	
Any Valve Regulated Lead-Acid (VRLA) or Vented Lead- Acid (VLA) station battery with monitoring and alarming of each cell/unit internal ohmic value (See Table 2).		No periodic inspection of the condition of all individual units by measuring battery cell/unit internal ohmic values of a station VRLA or Vented Lead-Acid (VLA) battery is required.	

Table 1-5 Component Type - Control Circuitry Associated With Protective Functions Excluding distributed UFLS and distributed UVLS (see Table 3) Note: Table requirements apply to all Control Circuitry Components of Protection Systems, and SPSs except as noted.				
Component Attributes	Maximum Maintenance Interval	Maintenance Activities		
Trip coils or actuators of circuit breakers, interrupting devices, or mitigating devices (regardless of any monitoring of the control circuitry).	6 Calendar Years	Verify that each trip coil is able to operate the circuit breaker, interrupting device, or mitigating device.		
Electromechanical lockout devices which are directly in a trip path from the protective relay to the interrupting device trip coil (regardless of any monitoring of the control circuitry).	6 Calendar Years	Verify electrical operation of electromechanical lockout devices.		
Unmonitored control circuitry associated with SPS. (See Table 4-2(b) for SPS which include Automatic Reclosing.)	12 Calendar Years	Verify all paths of the control circuits essential for proper operation of the SPS.		
Unmonitored control circuitry associated with protective functions inclusive of all auxiliary relays.	12 Calendar Years	Verify all paths of the trip circuits inclusive of all auxiliary relays through the trip coil(s) of the circuit breakers or other interrupting devices.		
Control circuitry associated with protective functions and/or SPSs whose integrity is monitored and alarmed (See Table 2).	No periodic maintenance specified	None.		

Table 2 – Alarming Paths and Monitoring In Tables 1-1 through 1-5, Table 3, and Table <mark>s</mark> 4 <u>-1 through 4-2,</u> alarm attributes used to justify extended maximum maintenance intervals and/or reduced maintenance activities are subject to the following maintenance requirements			
Component Attributes	Maximum Maintenance Interval	Maintenance Activities	
<ul> <li>Any alarm path through which alarms in Tables 1-1 through 1-5, Table 3, and Tables 4-1 through 4-2 are conveyed from the alarm origin to the location where corrective action can be initiated, and not having all the attributes of the "Alarm Path with monitoring" category below.</li> <li>Alarms are reported within 24 hours of detection to a location where corrective action can be initiated.</li> </ul>	12 Calendar Years	Verify that the alarm path conveys alarm signals to a location where corrective action can be initiated.	
Alarm Path with monitoring: The location where corrective action is taken receives an alarm within 24 hours for failure of any portion of the alarming path from the alarm origin to the location where corrective action can be initiated.	No periodic maintenance specified	None.	

Table 3           Maintenance Activities and Intervals for distributed UFLS and distributed UVLS Systems				
Component Attributes	Maximum Maintenance Interval	Maintenance Activities		
Any unmonitored protective relay not having all the monitoring attributes of a category below.	6 Calendar Years	<ul> <li>Verify that settings are as specified.</li> <li>For non-microprocessor relays:</li> <li>Test and, if necessary calibrate.</li> <li>For microprocessor relays:</li> <li>Verify operation of the relay inputs and outputs that are essential to proper functioning of the Protection System.</li> <li>Verify acceptable measurement of power system input values.</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Monitored microprocessor protective relay with the following:</li> <li>Internal self diagnosis and alarming (See Table 2).</li> <li>Voltage and/or current waveform sampling three or more times per power cycle, and conversion of samples to numeric values for measurement calculations by microprocessor electronics.</li> <li>Alarming for power supply failure (See Table 2).</li> </ul>	12 Calendar Years	<ul> <li>Verify:</li> <li>Settings are as specified.</li> <li>Operation of the relay inputs and outputs that are essential to proper functioning of the Protection System.</li> <li>Acceptable measurement of power system input values</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Monitored microprocessor protective relay with preceding row attributes and the following:</li> <li>Ac measurements are continuously verified by comparison to an independent ac measurement source, with alarming for excessive error (See Table 2).</li> <li>Some or all binary or status inputs and control outputs are monitored by a process that continuously demonstrates ability to perform as designed, with alarming for failure (See Table 2).</li> <li>Alarming for change of settings (See Table 2).</li> </ul>	12 Calendar Years	Verify only the unmonitored relay inputs and outputs that are essential to proper functioning of the Protection System.		

Table 3           Maintenance Activities and Intervals for distributed UFLS and distributed UVLS Systems				
Component Attributes	Maximum Maintenance Interval	Maintenance Activities		
Voltage and/or current sensing devices associated with UFLS or UVLS systems.	12 Calendar Years	Verify that current and/or voltage signal values are provided to the protective relays.		
Protection System dc supply for tripping non-BES interrupting devices used only for a UFLS or UVLS system.	12 Calendar Years	Verify Protection System dc supply voltage.		
Control circuitry between the UFLS or UVLS relays and electromechanical lockout and/or tripping auxiliary devices (excludes non-BES interrupting device trip coils).	12 Calendar Years	Verify the path from the relay to the lockout and/or tripping auxiliary relay (including essential supervisory logic).		
Electromechanical lockout and/or tripping auxiliary devices associated only with UFLS or UVLS systems (excludes non-BES interrupting device trip coils).	12 Calendar Years	Verify electrical operation of electromechanical lockout and/or tripping auxiliary devices.		
Control circuitry between the electromechanical lockout and/or tripping auxiliary devices and the non-BES interrupting devices in UFLS or UVLS systems, or between UFLS or UVLS relays (with no interposing electromechanical lockout or auxiliary device) and the non-BES interrupting devices (excludes non-BES interrupting device trip coils).	No periodic maintenance specified	None.		
Trip coils of non-BES interrupting devices in UFLS or UVLS systems.	No periodic maintenance specified	None.		

Table 4-1         Maintenance Activities and Intervals for Automatic Reclosing Components         Component Type – Reclosing Relay				
Component Attributes	Maximum Maintenance Interval	Maintenance Activities		
Any unmonitored reclosing relay not having all the monitoring attributes of a category below.	6 Calendar Years	<ul> <li>Verify that settings are as specified.</li> <li>For non-microprocessor relays: <ul> <li>Test and, if necessary calibrate</li> </ul> </li> <li>For microprocessor relays: <ul> <li>Verify operation of the relay inputs and outputs that are essential to proper functioning of the Automatic Reclosing.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Monitored microprocessor reclosing relay with the following:</li> <li>Internal self diagnosis and alarming (See Table 2).</li> <li>Alarming for power supply failure (See Table 2).</li> </ul>	12 Calendar Years	<ul> <li>Verify:</li> <li>Settings are as specified.</li> <li>Operation of the relay inputs and outputs that are essential to proper functioning of the Automatic Reclosing.</li> <li>Verify the Automatic Reclosing control path including the</li> </ul>		
including the close coil. Control circuitry associated with Automatic Reclosing including the close coil whose integrity is monitored and alarmed (See Table 2).	12 Calendar Ye No periodic maintenance specified	ars     close coil.       None.		

<u>Table 4-2(a)</u> <u>Maintenance Activities and Intervals for Automatic Reclosing Components</u> <u>Component Type – Control Circuitry Associated with Reclosing Relays that are NOT an Integral Part of an SPS</u>				
Component Attributes	<u>Maximum</u> <u>Maintenance</u> <u>Interval</u>	Maintenance Activities		
Unmonitored Control circuitry associated with Automatic Reclosing that is not an integral part of an SPS.	<u>12 Calendar</u> <u>Years</u>	<u>Verify that Automatic Reclosing, upon initiation, does not</u> issue a premature closing command to the close circuitry.		
Control circuitry associated with Automatic Reclosing that is not part of an SPS and is monitored and alarmed for conditions that would result in a premature closing command. (See Table 2)	No periodic maintenance specified	None.		

<u>Table 4-2(b)</u> <u>Maintenance Activities and Intervals for Automatic Reclosing Components</u> <u>Component Type – Control Circuitry Associated with Reclosing Relays that ARE an Integral Part of an SPS</u>		
Component Attributes	<u>Maximum</u> <u>Maintenance</u> <u>Interval</u>	Maintenance Activities
Close coils or actuators of circuit breakers or similar devices that are used in conjunction with Automatic Reclosing as part of an SPS (regardless of any monitoring of the control circuitry).	<u>6 Calendar</u> <u>Years</u>	Verify that each close coil or actuator is able to operate the circuit breaker or mitigating device.
Unmonitored close control circuitry associated with Automatic Reclosing used as an integral part of an SPS.	<u>12 Calendar</u> <u>Years</u>	Verify all paths of the control circuits associated with Automatic Reclosing that are essential for proper operation of the SPS.
Control circuitry associated with Automatic Reclosing that is an integral part of an SPS whose integrity is monitored and alarmed. (See Table 2)	No periodic maintenance specified	None.

# PRC-005 — Attachment A

# Criteria for a Performance-Based Protection System Maintenance Program

**Purpose:** To establish a technical basis for initial and continued use of a performance-based Protection System Maintenance Program (PSMP).

# To establish the technical justification for the initial use of a performance-based PSMP:

- Develop a list with a description of Components included in each designated Segment, with a minimum Segment population of 60 Components.
- 2. Maintain the Components in each Segment according to the time-based maximum allowable intervals established in Tables 1-1 through 1-5, Table 3, and Tables 4-1 through 4-2 until results of

Segment – Components of a consistent design standard, or a particular model or type from a single manufacturer that typically share other common elements. Consistent performance is expected across the entire population of a Segment. A Segment must contain at least sixty (60) individual eComponents.

maintenance activities for the Segment are available for a minimum of 30 individual Components of the Segment.

- 3. Document the maintenance program activities and results for each Segment, including maintenance dates and Countable Events for each included Component.
- 4. Analyze the maintenance program activities and results for each Segment to determine the overall performance of the Segment and develop maintenance intervals.
- 5. Determine the maximum allowable maintenance interval for each Segment such that the Segment experiences

**Countable Event** – A failure of a component requiring repair or replacement, any condition discovered during the maintenance activities in Tables 1-1 through 1-5, Table 3, and Table<u>5</u> 4-<u>1</u> through 4-2 which requires corrective action, or a <u>Protection System</u> Misoperation attributed to hardware failure or calibration failure. Misoperations due to product design errors, software errors, relay settings different from specified settings, Protection System Component or Automatic Reclosing configuration or application errors are not included in Countable Events.

**Countable Events** on no more than 4% of the Components within the Segment, for the greater of either the last 30 Components maintained or all Components maintained in the previous year.

# To maintain the technical justification for the ongoing use of a performance-based PSMP:

- 1. At least annually, update the list of Components and Segments and/or description if any changes occur within the Segment.
- 2. Perform maintenance on the greater of 5% of the Components (addressed in the performance based PSMP) in each Segment or 3 individual Components within the Segment in each year.
- 3. For the prior year, analyze the maintenance program activities and results for each Segment to determine the overall performance of the Segment.

- 4. Using the prior year's data, determine the maximum allowable maintenance interval for each Segment such that the Segment experiences Countable Events on no more than 4% of the Components within the Segment, for the greater of either the last 30 Components maintained or all Components maintained in the previous year.
- 5. If the Components in a Segment maintained through a performance-based PSMP experience 4% or more Countable Events, develop, document, and implement an action plan to reduce the Countable Events to less than 4% of the Segment population within 3 years.