

Meeting Notes Project 2008-12 Coordinate Interchange Standards Drafting Team

May 8-10, 2013

Carmel, IN

Administrative

1. Introductions

The meeting was brought to order by the Chair at 2:00 p.m. ET on Wednesday, May 8, 2013. MISO staff provided the team with building and safety information/logistics. Participants were introduced and those in attendance were:

Name	Company	Member/ Observer	In-person (Y/N)	Conference Call/Web (Y/N)
Cheryl Mendrala	ISO New England	M	Y	
Bob Harshbarger	Puget Sound	M	Y	
Chris Pacella	PJM	M	Y	
Mary Willey	BPA	M		Y
Clint Aymond	Entergy	M	Y	
Kathy Anderson	Idaho Power	O	Y	
Kelly Bertholet	Manitoba Hydro	M	Y	
Narinder Saimi	Entergy	O	Y	
John Ciza	Southern Company	O		Y
Mallory Huggins	NERC	M	Y	
Stephen Crutchfield	NERC	M	Y	

Name	Company	Member/ Observer	In-person (Y/N)	Conference Call/Web (Y/N)
Sean Cavote	NERC	M	Y	
Ena Agbedia	FERC	O		Y
Don Lacen	PNM	M	Y	
Christopher Wakefield	Southern Company	O		Y
Ed Skiba	MISO	O	Y	
Nik Browning	MISO	O	Y	

2. NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines and Public Announcement

NERC staff reviewed the NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines and public meeting announcement. There were no questions raised.

3. Roster Updates

There were no changes to the team roster.

Agenda

- 1. Overview of upcoming industry webinar** – The team discussed the webinar to ensure that it had consensus on a few points prior to the meeting. The team reviewed the presentation.
- 2. Industry webinar (May 8, 2013 3:00-5:00 p.m. ET)** – The Chair led the industry webinar for a discussion of the work of the CISDT.
- 3. Review/discuss webinar** – During the webinar, the team posed a question for informal feedback: *Should concepts from the Dynamic Transfer Guideline document for implementation of Dynamic Schedules and Pseudo-Ties be transferred into requirements?* About one-third of about 70 respondents said “yes” and the other two-thirds said “no.” This information informed the team’s decision to not develop separate standards for the implementation of Dynamic Schedules and Pseudo-Ties, but rather to transfer the essential substance of the proposed INT-013 and INT-014 to INT-010 and INT-004, respectively.

4. **Review/revise standards and associated documents for next posting** - The team reviewed INT-013 and INT-014, and decided to not retain these standards in their entirety. The team felt that parts of the requirements were addressed or should be addressed in INT-004, INT-009, or INT-010. A couple of requirements were moved into INT-004 (INT-014, R1.3, and R5) and INT-010 (INT-013, R1.3). Several requirements in INT-013 and INT-014 relating to, for example, load, contingency reserve, and frequency response will be forwarded to the team working on the BAL standards for possible inclusion there.

The team retained INT-012, which is a new standard and thus must be posted for a 30-day comment period. Upon further review of INT-012, R2, the team determined that R2 could be better incorporated into another standard. Thus, the team added the components of R2 to INT-006 and INT-010 with some edits to the Purpose statement of those standards to “invoke” the standards for Requests for Interchange submitted on intra-Balancing Authority transactions. R2 has been removed from INT-012.

The requirements of INT-004, INT-006, INT-009, and INT-010 were reviewed and revised for the initial ballot posting. In INT-004, “Purchasing-Selling Entity” was changed to “Load-Serving Entity.” Also in INT-004, two requirements were developed that will take effect at different times. For now, there is a requirement that a Pseudo-Tie Arranged Interchange cannot be approved unless one of three conditions is met. Clint and Bob will be exploring the option of developing an option in the NAESB Electric Industry Registry (EIR) for Pseudo-Tie Interchanges. If that registry change is accepted, a new requirement will take effect that references the EIR.

The team worked on Rationales and the Guidelines and Technical Basis section of each standard. The team will be further exploring the best ways to refine the Rationales and incorporate them into the standards going forward. The following assignments were made:

- a. **Drafting Team (unassigned):** Review the INT-004 Guidelines and Technical Basis section and share any comments before the May 23 conference call
 - b. **Cheryl:** Background language for INT-004 and INT-010
 - c. **Chris:** Background language for INT-006
 - d. **Kelly:** Background language for INT-009.
 - e. **Clint and Bob:** Pursue a change to the NAESB EIR.
 - f. **NERC Staff:** Begin developing compliance elements.
5. **Discuss meeting date conflicts for July 30-August 1 dates** – There is a conflict with several members of the drafting team for this meeting. A Doodle poll was sent to the cisdt_plus list for possible dates in mid- to late-August. Final dates/location will be sent to the plus e-mail list.
 6. **Future Meeting(s)** – All dates are tentative and members / observers should not make travel plans until dates are confirmed.

- a. Conference Calls
 - a. May 23, 2013 – 1:30 p.m. – 4:00 p.m. ET
 - b. June 4, 2013 – 1:30 p.m. – 4:00 p.m. ET
 - c. June 19, 2013 – 12:30 p.m. – 2:30 p.m. ET
 - b. August 2013, to be determined
 - c. October 2013, to be determined
7. **Adjourn** – The meeting was adjourned at 1:30 p.m. ET on Friday, May 10, 2013.