

Violation Risk Factor and Violation Severity Level Justifications

Project 2009-02 Real-time Monitoring and Analysis Capabilities

This document provides the Standard Drafting Team's (SDT) justification for assignment of Violation Risk Factors (VRFs) and Violation Severity Levels (VSLs) for each requirement in Project 2009-02.

Each requirement is assigned a VRF and a VSL. These elements support the determination of an initial value range for the Base Penalty Amount regarding violations of requirements in FERC-approved Reliability Standards, as defined in the ERO Sanction Guidelines.

The SDT applied the following NERC criteria and FERC Guidelines when proposing VRFs and VSLs for the requirements under this project.

NERC Criteria - Violation Risk Factors

High Risk Requirement

A requirement that, if violated, could directly cause or contribute to Bulk Electric System instability, separation, or a cascading sequence of failures, or could place the Bulk Electric System at an unacceptable risk of instability, separation, or cascading failures; or, a requirement in a planning time frame that, if violated, could, under emergency, abnormal, or restorative conditions anticipated by the preparations, directly cause or contribute to Bulk Electric System instability, separation, or a cascading sequence of failures, or could place the Bulk Electric System at an unacceptable risk of instability, separation, or cascading failures, or could hinder restoration to a normal condition.

Medium Risk Requirement

A requirement that, if violated, could directly affect the electrical state or the capability of the Bulk Electric System, or the ability to effectively monitor and control the Bulk Electric System. However, violation of a medium risk requirement is unlikely to lead to Bulk Electric System instability, separation, or cascading failures; or, a requirement in a planning time frame that, if violated, could, under emergency, abnormal, or restorative conditions anticipated by the preparations, directly and adversely affect the electrical state or capability of the Bulk Electric System, or the ability to effectively monitor, control, or restore the Bulk Electric System. However, violation of a medium risk



requirement is unlikely, under emergency, abnormal, or restoration conditions anticipated by the preparations, to lead to Bulk Electric System instability, separation, or cascading failures, nor to hinder restoration to a normal condition.

Lower Risk Requirement

A requirement that is administrative in nature and a requirement that, if violated, would not be expected to adversely affect the electrical state or capability of the Bulk Electric System, or the ability to effectively monitor and control the Bulk Electric System; or, a requirement that is administrative in nature and a requirement in a planning time frame that, if violated, would not, under the emergency, abnormal, or restorative conditions anticipated by the preparations, be expected to adversely affect the electrical state or capability of the Bulk Electric System, or the ability to effectively monitor, control, or restore the Bulk Electric System.

FERC Violation Risk Factor Guidelines

Guideline (1) – Consistency with the Conclusions of the Final Blackout Report

The Commission seeks to ensure that VRFs assigned to Requirements of Reliability Standards in these identified areas appropriately reflect their historical critical impact on the reliability of the Bulk-Power System. In the VSL Order, FERC listed critical areas (from the Final Blackout Report) where violations could severely affect the reliability of the Bulk-Power System:

- Emergency operations
- Vegetation management
- Operator personnel training
- Protection systems and their coordination
- Operating tools and backup facilities
- Reactive power and voltage control
- System modeling and data exchange
- Communication protocol and facilities



- Requirements to determine equipment ratings
- Synchronized data recorders
- Clearer criteria for operationally critical facilities
- Appropriate use of transmission loading relief.

Guideline (2) – Consistency within a Reliability Standard

The Commission expects a rational connection between the sub-Requirement VRF assignments and the main Requirement VRF assignment.

Guideline (3) – Consistency among Reliability Standards

The Commission expects the assignment of VRFs corresponding to requirements that address similar reliability goals in different Reliability Standards would be treated comparably.

Guideline (4) – Consistency with NERC's Definition of the Violation Risk Factor Level

Guideline (4) was developed to evaluate whether the assignment of a particular VRF level conforms to NERC's definition of that risk level.

Guideline (5) -Treatment of Requirements that Co-mingle More Than One Obligation

Where a single Requirement co-mingles a higher risk reliability objective and a lesser risk reliability objective, the VRF assignment for such requirements must not be watered down to reflect the lower risk level associated with the less important objective of the Reliability Standard.

NERC Criteria - Violation Severity Levels

VSLs define the degree to which compliance with a requirement was not achieved. Each requirement must have at least one VSL. While it is preferable to have four VSLs for each requirement, some requirements do not have multiple "degrees" of noncompliant performance and may have only one, two, or three VSLs.

VSLs should be based on NERC's overarching criteria shown in the table below:



Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL
The performance or product measured almost meets the full intent of the requirement.	The performance or product measured meets the majority of the intent of the requirement.	The performance or product measured does not meet the majority of the intent of the requirement, but does meet some of the intent.	The performance or product measured does not substantively meet the intent of the requirement.

FERC Order of Violation Severity Levels

FERC's VSL guidelines are presented below, followed by an analysis of whether the VSLs proposed for each requirement in the standard meet the FERC Guidelines for assessing VSLs:

Guideline 1 – Violation Severity Level Assignments Should Not Have the Unintended Consequence of Lowering the Current Level of Compliance

Compare the VSLs to any prior levels of non-compliance and avoid significant changes that may encourage a lower level of compliance than was required when levels of non-compliance were used.

Guideline 2 – Violation Severity Level Assignments Should Ensure Uniformity and Consistency in the Determination of Penalties

A violation of a "binary" type requirement must be a "Severe" VSL.

Do not use ambiguous terms such as "minor" and "significant" to describe noncompliant performance.

Guideline 3 – Violation Severity Level Assignment Should Be Consistent with the Corresponding Requirement VSLs should not expand on what is required in the requirement.

Guideline 4 — Violation Severity Level Assignment Should Be Based on A Single Violation, Not on A Cumulative Number of Violations

NERC

Unless otherwise stated in the requirement, each instance of non-compliance with a requirement is a separate violation. Section 4 of the Sanction Guidelines states that assessing penalties on a per-violation per-day basis is the "default" for penalty calculations.

VRF Justification

The requirements in IRO-018-1 and TOP-010-1 were developed to address certain issues related to the Real-time monitoring and analysis capabilities used by operators of the BES. IRO-018-1 contains five requirements applicable to Reliability Coordinators (RCs), while TOP-010-1 contains seven analogous requirements for Transmission Operators (TOPs) and Balancing Authorities (BAs). A Medium VRF is proposed for all requirements in both standards according to the guidelines as explained below.

VRF Justifications — IRO-018-1 (R1- R5 R3) and TOP-010-1 (R1- R7 R4)		
Proposed VRF	Medium	
FERC VRF G1 Discussion	Guideline 1- Consistency w/ Blackout Report. N/A. The requirements are not directly connected to conclusions from the 2003 Blackout, but rather address specific recommendations from NERC Technical Committees.	
FERC VRF G2 Discussion	Guideline 2- Consistency within a Reliability Standard. The requirements have no sub-requirements so a single VRF was assigned.	
FERC VRF G3 Discussion	Guideline 3- Consistency among Reliability Standards. These are new requirements. The VRFs in IRO-018-1 are consistent with those contained in TOP-010-1.	
FERC VRF G4 Discussion	Guideline 4- Consistency with NERC Definitions of VRFs. A VRF of Medium is consistent with the NERC VRF definition. The requirements in IRO-018-1 and TOP-010-1 address issues related to the quality and availability of monitoring and analysis capabilities used by RCs, TOPs, and BAs in maintaining reliable operations. Violation of any of these requirements could directly affect the ability to effectively monitor and control the Bulk Electric System. However, violation of any of these requirements is unlikely to lead to Bulk Electric System instability, separation, or cascading failures. Therefore, a VRF of Medium is appropriate.	



VRF Justifications — IRO-018-1 (R1-R5R3) and TOP-010-1 (R1-R7R4)		
FERC VRF G5 Discussion	Guideline 5- Treatment of Requirements that Co-mingle More than One Obligation. Each requirement contains one objective, therefore a single VRF is assigned to each requirement.	

VSL Justification

Proposed VSLs – IRO-018-1, R1			
Lower	Moderate	High	Severe
N/A	The Reliability Coordinator's Operating Process or Operating Procedure to address the quality of the Real-time data necessary to perform its Real-time monitoring and Real-time Assessments did not include one of the elements listed in Part 1.1 through Part 1.3.N/A	The Reliability Coordinator's Operating Process or Operating Procedure to address the quality of the Real-time data necessary to perform its Real-time monitoring and Real-time Assessments did not include two of the elements listed in Part 1.1 through Part 1.3. The Reliability Coordinator's Operating Process or Operating Procedure to address the quality of the Real time data necessary to perform its Real time monitoring and Real time Assessments did not include one	The Reliability Coordinator's Operating Process or Operating Procedure to address the quality of the Real-time data necessary to perform its Real-time monitoring and Real-time Assessments did not include any of the elements listed in Part 1.1 through Part 1.3; OR The Reliability Coordinator did not implement an Operating Process or Operating Procedure to address the quality of the Real-time data necessary to



of the elements listed in Part 1.1	perform its Real-time
and Part 1.2.	monitoring and Real-time
	Assessments. The Reliability
	Coordinator's Operating Process
	or Operating Procedure to
	address the quality of the Real-
	time data necessary to perform
	its Real-time monitoring and
	Real-time Assessments did not
	include any of the elements
	listed in Part 1.1 and Part 1.2;
	OR
	The Reliability Coordinator did
	not implement an Operating
	Process or Operating Procedure
	to address the quality of the
	Real time data necessary to
	perform its Real time
	monitoring and Real-time
	Assessments.

	VSL Justifications – IRO-018-1, R1
NERC VSL Guidelines	Consistent with NERC's VSL Guidelines. The requirement may be described by elements or quantities to evaluate degrees of compliance. Two Three VSLs are specified for a graduated scale.
FERC VSL G1	There is no prior compliance obligation related to the subject of this standard.



Violation Severity Level Assignments Should Not Have the Unintended Consequence of Lowering the Current Level of Compliance	
FERC VSL G2	The proposed VSL is written to ensure uniformity and consistency in the determination of penalties.
Violation Severity Level Assignments Should Ensure Uniformity and Consistency in the Determination of Penalties	
Guideline 2a: The Single Violation Severity Level Assignment Category for "Binary" Requirements Is Not Consistent	Guideline 2a: The proposed VSL is not binary.
Guideline 2b: Violation Severity Level Assignments that Contain Ambiguous Language	Guideline 2b: The proposed VSL does not use ambiguous terms, supporting uniformity and consistency in the determination of similar penalties for similar violations.
FERC VSL G3	The proposed VSL is worded consistently with the corresponding requirement.
Violation Severity Level Assignment Should Be Consistent with the Corresponding Requirement	
FERC VSL G4	The proposed VSL is not based on a cumulative number of violations.



Proposed VSLs — IRO-018-1, R2			
Lower	Moderate	High	Severe
N/A	N/A	N/A	The Reliability Coordinator did not provide its System Operators with indication(s) of the quality of Real-time data used to perform its Real-time monitoring and Real-time Assessments.

VSL Justifications – IRO-018-1, R2		
NERC VSL Guidelines	Consistent with NERC's VSL Guidelines. The requirement does not have elements or quantities to	
	evaluate degrees of compliance. A VSL of Severe is assigned for non-compliance.	
FERC VSL G1	There is no prior compliance obligation related to the subject of this standard.	
Violation Severity Level		
Assignments Should Not Have		
the Unintended Consequence of		



Lowering the Current Level of Compliance	
FERC VSL G2	The proposed VSL is written to ensure uniformity and consistency in the determination of penalties.
Violation Severity Level	
Assignments Should Ensure	
Uniformity and Consistency in	
the Determination of Penalties	
Guideline 2a: The Single	C. Maltan 2a. The consend vici to bit an end anti-sed a Conservice
Violation Severity Level	Guideline 2a: The proposed VSL is binary and assigned a Severe VSL.
Assignment Category for	
"Binary" Requirements Is Not	
Consistent	
Guideline 2b: Violation Severity	Guideline 2b: The proposed VSL does not use ambiguous terms, supporting uniformity and consistency
Level Assignments that Contain	in the determination of similar penalties for similar violations.
Ambiguous Language	·
FERC VSL G3	The proposed VSL is worded consistently with the corresponding requirement.
Violation Severity Level	
Assignment Should Be	
Consistent with the	
Corresponding Requirement	
FERC VSL G4	The proposed VSL is not based on a cumulative number of violations.
Violation Severity Level	
Assignment Should Be Based on	
A Single Violation, Not on A	



Cumulative Number of	
Violations	

Proposed VSLs – IRO-018-1, R3R2				
Lower	Moderate	High	Severe	
N/A	The Reliability Coordinator's Operating Process or Operating Procedure to address the quality of analysis used in its Real-time Assessments did not include one of the elements listed in Part 2.1 through Part 2.3.N/A	The Reliability Coordinator's Operating Process or Operating Procedure to address the quality of analysis used in its Real-time Assessments did not include two of the elements listed in Part 2.1 through Part 2.3. The Reliability Coordinator's Operating Process or Operating Procedure to maintain the quality of any analysis used in its Real-time Assessments did not include one of the elements listed in Part 3.1 and Part 3.2.	The Reliability Coordinator's Operating Process or Operating Procedure to address the quality of analysis used in its Real-time Assessments did not include any of the elements listed in Part 2.1 through Part 2.3; OR The Reliability Coordinator did not implement an Operating Process or Operating Procedure to address the quality of analysis used in its Real-time Assessments. The Reliability Coordinator's Operating Process or Operating Procedure to maintain the quality of any analysis used in its Real time Assessments did not include any	



	of the elements listed in Part 3.1 and Part 3.2.;
	OR
	The Reliability Coordinator did not implement an Operating Process or Operating Procedure to maintain the quality of any analysis used in its Real-time Assessments.

VSL Justifications – IRO-018-1, R3R2				
NERC VSL Guidelines	Consistent with NERC's VSL Guidelines. The requirement may be described by elements or quantities to evaluate degrees of compliance. Three VSLs are specified for a graduated scale.			
FERC VSL G1	There is no prior compliance obligation related to the subject of this standard.			
Violation Severity Level Assignments Should Not Have the Unintended Consequence of Lowering the Current Level of Compliance				
FERC VSL G2 Violation Severity Level Assignments Should Ensure Uniformity and Consistency in the Determination of Penalties	The proposed VSL is written to ensure uniformity and consistency in the determination of penalties.			



Guideline 2a: The Single Violation Severity Level Assignment Category for "Binary" Requirements Is Not Consistent	Guideline 2a: The proposed VSL is not binary.
Guideline 2b: Violation Severity Level Assignments that Contain Ambiguous Language	Guideline 2b: The proposed VSL does not use ambiguous terms, supporting uniformity and consistency in the determination of similar penalties for similar violations.
FERC VSL G3 Violation Severity Level Assignment Should Be Consistent with the Corresponding Requirement	The proposed VSL is worded consistently with the corresponding requirement.
FERC VSL G4 Violation Severity Level Assignment Should Be Based on A Single Violation, Not on A Cumulative Number of Violations	The proposed VSL is not based on a cumulative number of violations.



Lower	Moderate	High	Severe	
N/A	N/A	N/A	The Reliability Coordinator did not provide its System Operators with indication(s) of the quality of any analysis used in its Real time Assessments.	

NERC VSL Guidelines	Consistent with NERC's VSL Guidelines. The requirement does not have elements or quantities to evaluate degrees of compliance. A VSL of Severe is assigned for non-compliance.			
FERC VSL G1 Violation Severity Level Assignments Should Not Have the Unintended Consequence of Lowering the Current Level of Compliance	There is no prior compliance obligation related to the subject of this standard.			
FERC VSL G2 Violation Severity Level Assignments Should Ensure Uniformity and Consistency in the Determination of Penalties	The proposed VSL is written to ensure uniformity and consistency in the determination of penalties.			



Guideline 2a: The Single	Guideline 2a: The proposed VSL is binary and assigned a Severe VSL.
Violation Severity Level	
Assignment Category for	
"Binary" Requirements Is Not	
Consistent	
Guideline 2b: Violation Severity	Guideline 2b: The proposed VSL does not use ambiguous terms, supporting uniformity and consistency
Level Assignments that Contain	in the determination of similar penalties for similar violations.
Ambiguous Language	
FERC VSL G3	The proposed VSL is worded consistently with the corresponding requirement.
Violation Severity Level	
Assignment Should Be	
Consistent with the	
Corresponding Requirement	
FERC VSL G4	The proposed VSL is not based on a cumulative number of violations.
Violation Severity Level	
Assignment Should Be Based on	
A Single Violation, Not on A	
Cumulative Number of	
Violations	

Proposed VSLs – IRO-018-1, R5R3			
Lower	Moderate	High	Severe
N/A	N/A	The Reliability Coordinator has an alarm process monitor but	The Reliability Coordinator does not have an alarm process



	the alarm process monitor did	monitor that provides
	not provide a notification(s) to	notification(s) to its System
	its System Operators when a	Operators when a failure of its
	failure of its Real-time	Real-time monitoring alarm
	monitoring alarm processor	processor has occurred. The
	occurred. N/A	Reliability Coordinator did not
		utilize an independent alarm
		process monitor that provides
		notification(s) to its System
		Operators when a failure of its
		Real time monitoring alarm
		processor has occurred.

VSL Justifications — IRO-018-1, R5R3				
NERC VSL Guidelines	Consistent with NERC's VSL Guidelines. <u>The requirement may be described by elements or quantities</u> to evaluate degrees of compliance. Two VSLs are specified for a graduated scale. The requirement does not have elements or quantities to evaluate degrees of compliance. A VSL of Severe is assigned for non-compliance.			
FERC VSL G1 Violation Severity Level Assignments Should Not Have the Unintended Consequence of Lowering the Current Level of Compliance	There is no prior compliance obligation related to the subject of this standard.			
FERC VSL G2	The proposed VSL is written to ensure uniformity and consistency in the determination of penalties.			



Violation Severity Level Assignments Should Ensure Uniformity and Consistency in the Determination of Penalties	
Guideline 2a: The Single Violation Severity Level Assignment Category for "Binary" Requirements Is Not Consistent	Guideline 2a: The proposed VSL is binary and assigned a Severe VSL.
Guideline 2b: Violation Severity Level Assignments that Contain Ambiguous Language	Guideline 2b: The proposed VSL does not use ambiguous terms, supporting uniformity and consistency in the determination of similar penalties for similar violations.
FERC VSL G3	The proposed VSL is worded consistently with the corresponding requirement.
Violation Severity Level Assignment Should Be Consistent with the Corresponding Requirement	
FERC VSL G4	The proposed VSL is not based on a cumulative number of violations.
Violation Severity Level Assignment Should Be Based on A Single Violation, Not on A Cumulative Number of Violations	

Proposed VSLs – TOP-010-1, R1



Lower	Moderate	High	Severe
N/A	The Transmission Operator's Operating Process or Operating Procedure to address the quality of the Real-time data necessary to perform its Real-time monitoring and Real-time Assessments did not include one of the elements listed in Part 1.1 through Part 1.3.N/A	The Transmission Operator's Operating Process or Operating Procedure to address the quality of the Real-time data necessary to perform its Real-time monitoring and Real-time Assessments did not include two of the elements listed in Part 1.1 through Part 1.3. The Transmission Operator's Operating Process or Operating Procedure to address the quality of the Real-time data necessary to perform its Real-time monitoring and Real-time Assessments did not include one of the elements listed in Part 1.1 and Part 1.2.	The Transmission Operator's Operating Process or Operating Procedure to address the quality of the Real-time data necessary to perform its Real-time monitoring and Real-time Assessments did not include any of the elements listed in Part 1.1 through Part 1.3; OR The Transmission Operator did not implement an Operating Process or Operating Procedure to address the quality of the Real-time data necessary to perform its Real-time monitoring and Real-time Assessments.The Transmission Operator's Operating Process or Operating Procedure to address the quality of the Real-time data necessary to perform its Real- time monitoring and Real-time Assessments did not include any



	of the elements listed in Part 1.1 and Part 1.2;
	OR
	The Transmission Operator did
	not implement an Operating
	Process or Operating Procedure
	to address the quality of the
	Real-time data necessary to
	perform its Real-time
	monitoring and Real-time
	Assessments.

VSL Justifications — TOP-010-1, R1				
NERC VSL Guidelines	Consistent with NERC's VSL Guidelines. The requirement may be described by elements or quantities to evaluate degrees of compliance. Three VSLs are specified for a graduated scale.			
FERC VSL G1	There is no prior compliance obligation related to the subject of this standard.			
Violation Severity Level Assignments Should Not Have the Unintended Consequence of Lowering the Current Level of Compliance				
FERC VSL G2 Violation Severity Level Assignments Should Ensure	The proposed VSL is written to ensure uniformity and consistency in the determination of penalties.			



Uniformity and Consistency in the Determination of Penalties	
Guideline 2a: The Single Violation Severity Level Assignment Category for "Binary" Requirements Is Not Consistent	Guideline 2a: The proposed VSL is not binary.
Guideline 2b: Violation Severity Level Assignments that Contain Ambiguous Language	Guideline 2b: The proposed VSL does not use ambiguous terms, supporting uniformity and consistency in the determination of similar penalties for similar violations.
FERC VSL G3	The proposed VSL is worded consistently with the corresponding requirement.
Violation Severity Level Assignment Should Be Consistent with the Corresponding Requirement	
FERC VSL G4	The proposed VSL is not based on a cumulative number of violations.
Violation Severity Level Assignment Should Be Based on A Single Violation, Not on A Cumulative Number of Violations	

Proposed VSLs - TOP-010-1, R2				
Lower	Moderate	High	Severe	
N/A	The Balancing Authority's Operating Process or Operating Procedure to address the quality of the Real-time data necessary to perform its analysis functions and Real-time monitoring did not include one of the elements listed in Part 2.1 through Part 2.3.N/A	The Balancing Authority's Operating Process or Operating Procedure to address the quality of the Real-time data necessary to perform its analysis functions and Real-time monitoring did not include two of the elements listed in Part 2.1 through Part 2.3. The Balancing Authority's Operating Process or Operating Procedure to address the quality of the Real-time data necessary to perform its analysis functions and Real-time monitoring did not include one of the elements listed in Part 2.1 and Part 2.2.	The Balancing Authority's Operating Process or Operating Procedure to address the quality of the Real-time data necessary to perform its analysis functions and Real-time monitoring did not include any of the elements listed in Part 2.1 through Part 2.3; OR The Balancing Authority did not implement an Operating Process or Operating Procedure to address the quality of the Real- time data necessary to perform its analysis functions and Real- time monitoring. The Balancing Authority's Operating Process or Operating Procedure to address the quality of the Real time data necessary to perform its analysis functions and Real time monitoring did not include any	



	of the elements listed in Part 2.1 and Part 2.2.;
	OR
	The Balancing Authority did not implement an Operating Process or Operating Procedure to address the quality of the Real-time data necessary to perform its analysis functions and Real-time monitoring.

VSL Justifications – TOP-010-1, R2			
NERC VSL Guidelines	Consistent with NERC's VSL Guidelines. The requirement may be described by elements or quantities to evaluate degrees of compliance. Two-Three VSLs are specified for a graduated scale.		
FERC VSL G1 Violation Severity Level Assignments Should Not Have the Unintended Consequence of Lowering the Current Level of Compliance	There is no prior compliance obligation related to the subject of this standard.		
FERC VSL G2 Violation Severity Level Assignments Should Ensure Uniformity and Consistency in the Determination of Penalties	The proposed VSL is written to ensure uniformity and consistency in the determination of penalties.		



Guideline 2a: The Single Violation Severity Level Assignment Category for "Binary" Requirements Is Not Consistent	Guideline 2a: The proposed VSL is not binary.
Guideline 2b: Violation Severity Level Assignments that Contain Ambiguous Language	Guideline 2b: The proposed VSL does not use ambiguous terms, supporting uniformity and consistency in the determination of similar penalties for similar violations.
FERC VSL G3 Violation Severity Level Assignment Should Be Consistent with the Corresponding Requirement	The proposed VSL is worded consistently with the corresponding requirement.
FERC VSL G4 Violation Severity Level Assignment Should Be Based on A Single Violation, Not on A Cumulative Number of Violations	The proposed VSL is not based on a cumulative number of violations.

Proposed VSLs — TOP-010-1, R3				
Lower	Moderate	High	Severe	
N/A	N/A	N/A	The Transmission Operator did not provide its System	



	Operators with indication(s) of
	the quality of Real time data
	used to perform its Real time
	monitoring and Real time
	Assessments.

NERC VSL Guidelines	Consistent with NERC's VSL Guidelines. The requirement does not have elements or quantities to		
EEDC VCL C4	evaluate degrees of compliance. A VSL of Severe is assigned for non-compliance. There is no prior compliance obligation related to the subject of this standard.		
FERC VSL G1	There is no prior compliance obligation related to the subject of this standard.		
Violation Severity Level			
Assignments Should Not Have			
the Unintended Consequence of			
Lowering the Current Level of			
Compliance			
FERC VSL G2	The proposed VSL is written to ensure uniformity and consistency in the determination of penalties.		
Violation Severity Level			
Assignments Should Ensure			
Uniformity and Consistency in			
the Determination of Penalties			
Guideline 2a: The Single			
Violation Severity Level	Guideline 2a: The proposed VSL is binary and assigned a Severe VSL.		
Assignment Category for			
"Binary" Requirements Is Not			
Consistent			



Guideline 2b: Violation Severity Level Assignments that Contain Ambiguous Language	Guideline 2b: The proposed VSL does not use ambiguous terms, supporting uniformity and consistency in the determination of similar penalties for similar violations.
FERC VSL G3 Violation Severity Level Assignment Should Be Consistent with the Corresponding Requirement	The proposed VSL is worded consistently with the corresponding requirement.
FERC VSL G4 Violation Severity Level Assignment Should Be Based on A Single Violation, Not on A Cumulative Number of Violations	The proposed VSL is not based on a cumulative number of violations.

Proposed VSLs — TOP-010-1, R4				
Lower	Moderate	High	Severe	
N/A	N/A	N/A	The Balancing Authority did not provide its System Operators with indication(s) of the quality of Real time data used to perform its analysis functions and Real time monitoring.	

VSL Justifications - TOP-010-1, R4		
NERC VSL Guidelines	Consistent with NERC's VSL Guidelines. The requirement does not have elements or quantities to evaluate degrees of compliance. A VSL of Severe is assigned for non-compliance.	
FERC VSL G1	There is no prior compliance obligation related to the subject of this standard.	
Violation Severity Level Assignments Should Not Have the Unintended Consequence of Lowering the Current Level of Compliance		
FERC VSL G2	The proposed VSL is written to ensure uniformity and consistency in the determination of penalties.	
Violation Severity Level Assignments Should Ensure Uniformity and Consistency in the Determination of Penalties Guideline 2a: The Single Violation Severity Level	Guideline 2a: The proposed VSL is binary and assigned a Severe VSL.	
Assignment Category for "Binary" Requirements Is Not Consistent		
Guideline 2b: Violation Severity Level Assignments that Contain Ambiguous Language	Guideline 2b: The proposed VSL does not use ambiguous terms, supporting uniformity and consistency in the determination of similar penalties for similar violations.	
FERC VSL G3	The proposed VSL is worded consistently with the corresponding requirement.	



Violation Severity Level	
Assignment Should Be	
Consistent with the	
Corresponding Requirement	
FERC VSL G4	The proposed VSL is not based on a cumulative number of violations.
Violation Severity Level	
Assignment Should Be Based on	
A Single Violation, Not on A	
Cumulative Number of	
Violations	

Proposed VSLs – TOP-010-1, R5R3			
Lower	Moderate	High	Severe
N/A	The Transmission Operator's Operating Process or Operating Procedure to address the quality of analysis used in its Real-time Assessments did not include one of the elements listed in Part 3.1 through Part 3.3.N/A	The Transmission Operator's Operating Process or Operating Procedure to address the quality of analysis used in its Real-time Assessments did not include two of the elements listed in Part 3.1 through Part 3.3.The Transmission Operator's Operating Process or Operating Procedure to maintain the quality of any analysis used in its Real-time Assessments did not	The Transmission Operator's Operating Process or Operating Procedure to address the quality of analysis used in its Real-time Assessments did not include any of the elements listed in Part 3.1 through Part 3.3; OR The Transmission Operator did not implement an Operating Process or Operating Procedure



include one of the elements	to address the quality of analysis
listed in Part 5.1 and Part 5.2.	used in its Real-time
	Assessments. The Transmission
	Operator's Operating Process or
	Operating Procedure to
	maintain the quality of any
	analysis used in its Real-time
	Assessments did not include any
	of the elements listed in Part 5.1
	and Part 5.2.;
	OR
	The Transmission Operator did
	not implement an Operating
	Process or Operating Procedure
	to maintain the quality of any
	analysis used in its Real time
	Assessments.

VSL Justifications – TOP-010-1, R5R3		
NERC VSL Guidelines	Consistent with NERC's VSL Guidelines. The requirement may be described by elements or quantities to evaluate degrees of compliance. Two-Three VSLs are specified for a graduated scale.	
FERC VSL G1	There is no prior compliance obligation related to the subject of this standard.	
Violation Severity Level Assignments Should Not Have the Unintended Consequence of		



Lowering the Current Level of Compliance	
FERC VSL G2	The proposed VSL is written to ensure uniformity and consistency in the determination of penalties.
Violation Severity Level Assignments Should Ensure Uniformity and Consistency in the Determination of Penalties	
Guideline 2a: The Single Violation Severity Level Assignment Category for "Binary" Requirements Is Not Consistent	Guideline 2a: The proposed VSL is not binary.
Guideline 2b: Violation Severity Level Assignments that Contain Ambiguous Language	Guideline 2b: The proposed VSL does not use ambiguous terms, supporting uniformity and consistency in the determination of similar penalties for similar violations.
FERC VSL G3	The proposed VSL is worded consistently with the corresponding requirement.
Violation Severity Level Assignment Should Be Consistent with the Corresponding Requirement	
FERC VSL G4	The proposed VSL is not based on a cumulative number of violations.
Violation Severity Level Assignment Should Be Based on A Single Violation, Not on A	



Cumulative Number of	
Violations	

Lower	Moderate	High	Severe
N/A	N/A	N/A	The Transmission Operator did not provide its System Operators with indication(s) of the quality of any analysis used in its Real-time Assessments.

	VSL Justifications – TOP-010-1, R6
NERC VSL Guidelines	Consistent with NERC's VSL Guidelines. The requirement does not have elements or quantities to evaluate degrees of compliance. A VSL of Severe is assigned for non-compliance.
FERC VSL G1 Violation Severity Level Assignments Should Not Have the Unintended Consequence of Lowering the Current Level of Compliance	There is no prior compliance obligation related to the subject of this standard.
FERC VSL G2 Violation Severity Level Assignments Should Ensure	The proposed VSL is written to ensure uniformity and consistency in the determination of penalties.

NERC

Uniformity and Consistency in the Determination of Penalties Guideline 2a: The Single Violation Severity Level	Guideline 2a: The proposed VSL is binary and assigned a Severe VSL.
Assignment Category for "Binary" Requirements Is Not Consistent	
Guideline 2b: Violation Severity Level Assignments that Contain Ambiguous Language	Guideline 2b: The proposed VSL does not use ambiguous terms, supporting uniformity and consistency in the determination of similar penalties for similar violations.
FERC VSL G3 Violation Severity Level Assignment Should Be Consistent with the Corresponding Requirement	The proposed VSL is worded consistently with the corresponding requirement.
FERC VSL G4 Violation Severity Level Assignment Should Be Based on A Single Violation, Not on A Cumulative Number of Violations	The proposed VSL is not based on a cumulative number of violations.

Proposed VSLs – TOP-010-1, R7R4			
Lower	Moderate	High	Severe
N/A	N/A	The responsible entity has an alarm process monitor but the alarm process monitor did not provide notification(s) to its System Operators when a failure of its Real-time monitoring alarm processor occurred. N/A	The responsible entity does not have an alarm process monitor that provides notification(s) to its System Operators when a failure of its Real-time monitoring alarm processor has occurred. The responsible entity did not utilize an independent alarm process monitor that provides notification(s) to its System Operators when a failure of its Real-time monitoring alarm processor has occurred.

	VSL Justifications - TOP-010-1, R7R4
NERC VSL Guidelines	Consistent with NERC's VSL Guidelines. <u>The requirement may be described by elements or quantities</u> to evaluate degrees of compliance. Two VSLs are specified for a graduated scale. The requirement does not have elements or quantities to evaluate degrees of compliance. A VSL of Severe is assigned for non-compliance.
FERC VSL G1	There is no prior compliance obligation related to the subject of this standard.



Violation Severity Level Assignments Should Not Have the Unintended Consequence of Lowering the Current Level of Compliance	
FERC VSL G2	The proposed VSL is written to ensure uniformity and consistency in the determination of penalties.
Violation Severity Level Assignments Should Ensure Uniformity and Consistency in the Determination of Penalties	
Guideline 2a: The Single Violation Severity Level Assignment Category for "Binary" Requirements Is Not Consistent	Guideline 2a: The proposed VSL is binary and assigned a Severe VSL.
Guideline 2b: Violation Severity Level Assignments that Contain Ambiguous Language	Guideline 2b: The proposed VSL does not use ambiguous terms, supporting uniformity and consistency in the determination of similar penalties for similar violations.
FERC VSL G3	The proposed VSL is worded consistently with the corresponding requirement.
Violation Severity Level Assignment Should Be Consistent with the Corresponding Requirement	
FERC VSL G4	The proposed VSL is not based on a cumulative number of violations.



Violation Severity Level
Assignment Should Be Based on
A Single Violation, Not on A
Cumulative Number of
Violations