

## Meeting Notes

### Project 2010-11TPL Table 1, Footnote 'b'

December 6, 2012

Conference Call

#### Administrative

##### 1. Introductions

The meeting was brought to order by the Chair, John Odom at 11:00 a.m. ET on December 6, 2012. Participants were introduced and those in attendance were:

Name	Company	Member/ Observer
Darrin Church	TVA	Member
Julius Horvath	Wind Energy	Member
Tom Mielnik	Mid-American	Member
Bob Pierce	Duke	Member
Bill Harm	PJM	Member
Bob Jones	Southern	Member
John Odom, Chair	FRCC	Member
Ed Dobrowolski	NERC	Member
Doug Hohlbaugh, Vice Chair	First Energy	Member
Brian Keel	SRP	Member
Bernie Pasternack	Transmission Strategies	Member
Eugene Blick	FERC	Observer
Mark Olson	NERC	Observer

Name	Company	Member/ Observer
Andres Lopez	FERC	Observer
Chifong Thomas	Bright Source	Observer
Larisa Loyferman	Center Point	Observer

**2. Determination of Quorum**

The rule for NERC Standard Drafting Teams (SDT) states that a quorum requires two-thirds of the voting members of the SDT to be physically present. Quorum was achieved.

**3. NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines and Public Announcement**

NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines and public announcement were delivered.

**4. Roster Updates**

The team reviewed the team roster and confirmed that it was accurate and up to date.

**Agenda**

**1. Review of Meeting Agenda and Objectives**

The agenda was accepted as published.

The objective of the meeting was to finalize comment responses and any subsequent changes to the standards so that the project can submit documents to NERC Quality Review for the next posting.

**2. Review Voting Results**

John Odom reviewed the voting results for the initial ballot. The approval rating of 56% was actually lower than what was achieved in the original project prior to the mandated changes and clarifications.

**3. Review Draft Comment Responses**

The SDT reviewed the draft comment responses and suggested several changes to the wording to improve the clarity and focus of the responses. Once these changes were finalized, the SDT accepted the comment responses.

#### 4. Review Proposed Footnote Changes

The SDT reviewed the proposed changes to the footnote that had been generated by the comments received from the industry. The SDT proposed changes to the draft wording to clarify that Consequential Load (footnote 12) wasn't counted against the thresholds for the footnote. In the presently enforceable TPL-002-0b standard (footnote 'b'), the newly defined term Consequential Load is not used so similar changes had to be made to clarify when load could be removed from service and not be part of the established footnote threshold (25MW) or maximum (75MW). Once that matter was finalized, the SDT accepted the proposed changes to the footnote.

No additional changes to the footnote were deemed as required over and above those necessitated by the comments.

#### 5. Next Steps

The SDT discussed whether to proceed directly to recirculation or to go through a successive ballot. There is danger if the recirculation path is chosen as a failed ballot would essentially terminate the project. However, a successive ballot with a subsequent recirculation ballot would push the schedule right up to the days before the NERC Board of Trustees (BOT) meeting. Given the requirements for prior delivery of discussion material to the BOT, technically, the SDT would not be meeting the agreed upon project schedule to deliver a final product to the BOT at its February 2013 meeting.

The SDT decided that the successive ballot approach was the correct path to take. This will allow for the maximum amount of industry participation in the process before the project is presented to the BOT. Every attempt will be made to deliver the needed information to the BOT for its February 2013 meeting but it may be that a special BOT conference call will be required to finalize the process. The SDT asked Ed Dobrowolski to process the required documents for delivery to NERC Quality Review as quickly as possible with the request that they be posted for a successive ballot that would end no later than Friday, January 11, 2013.

SDT members were encouraged to perform industry outreach to those who voted no, abstained, or didn't vote in the initial ballot. The goal is to make certain that these entities or individuals fully understand the intent of the SDT in this project and understand that a negative vote puts the BOT in a position where they can't meet their commitments to FERC due to philosophical differences in the industry. In addition, the industry needs to understand that continuing to delay this project will delay the FERC approval of TPL-001-2, which addresses many FERC directives and adds clarity to the planning requirements.

#### 6. Schedule

By accepting the successive ballot option, the schedule will be stretched to its limit. The week of January 14-18, 2013 will be key to meeting schedule. If the comments and balloting close on January 11, 2013 as requested, the SDT will need to resolve comments and move to recirculation no later than Monday, January 21, 2013.

## 7. Future Meetings

The SDT will sponsor a webinar on Tuesday, January 8, 2013, 1:00 to 3:00 p.m. ET. This webinar will feature operator-assisted questions and answers, as opposed to the normal chat-only, to facilitate dialogue during the successive ballot stage. SDT members are encouraged to submit items for inclusion in the webinar presentation to John Odom who will lead the session.

A conference call has been scheduled for Friday, January 18, 2013, 11:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. ET to resolve comment responses from the successive ballot posting. Details will be forwarded later.

## 8. Action Item Review

There were no specific action items created during this call.

## 9. Adjourn

The chair adjourned the call at 4:15 p.m. ET.