Survey Report

Survey Details

Name 2010-14.2.1 Phase 2 of Balancing Authority Reliability-based Controls: Inadvertent Interchange | BAL-006 Survey

Description

Start Date 9/16/2015

End Date 9/25/2015

Associated Ballots

Survey Questions

1. Based on comments related to the SAR, the Independent Expert Review Report, and the Periodic Review Team' recommendations, the industry agrees that BAL-006 is an energy accounting standard and not a Reliability Standard, however, it is unclear what the industry supports as a replacement. The SDT has developed a white paper for the industry to consider. Based on the concepts within the white paper, do you support maintaining Reliability Standard BAL-006?[1]

[1] When responding to this survey and providing comments, please keep in mind that draft proposed Reliability Standard BAL-006-3 has been posted under 2010-14.2.1 Phase 2 of Balancing Authority Reliability-based Controls, in connection with draft proposed Reliability Standards BAL-005-1 and FAC-001-3. Proposed Reliability Standard BAL-005-1, at Requirements R1 and R8, would include the obligations currently under Requirement R3 of Reliability Standard BAL-006-2.

Modify and maintain BAL-006 as a Reliability Standard. Maintain BAL-006 (with no changes) as a Reliability Standard. Eliminate BAL-006 as a Reliability Standard. 2. If you support maintaining BAL-006 as a Reliability Standard, are you in favor of the PRT recommendation as noted in the attached draft Reliability Standard BAL-006? If not, then what aspects of BAL-006 should be retained in a standard?

Yes No

3. If you support eliminating BAL-006 as a Reliability Standard, are you in favor of the SDT recommendation that these requirements be included in a commercial alternative arrangement, such as a NAESB standard or a process established by FERC? What aspects of BAL-006 should be retained in an alternative arrangement?

Yes

No

4. If neither maintaining nor eliminating BAL-006 is preferred, please describe your suggestion for the disposition of this standard.

5. If you have any other comments or reliability concerns, please provide them in the space below.

Responses By Question

1. Based on comments related to the SAR, the Independent Expert Review Report, and the Periodic Review Team' recommendations, the industry agrees that BAL-006 is an energy accounting standard and not a Reliability Standard, however, it is unclear what the industry supports as a replacement. The SDT has developed a white paper for the industry to consider. Based on the concepts within the white paper, do you support maintaining Reliability Standard BAL-006?[1]

[1] When responding to this survey and providing comments, please keep in mind that draft proposed Reliability Standard BAL-006-3 has been posted under 2010-14.2.1 Phase 2 of Balancing Authority Reliability-based Controls, in connection with draft proposed Reliability Standards BAL-005-1 and FAC-001-3. Proposed Reliability Standard BAL-005-1, at Requirements R1 and R8, would include the obligations currently under Requirement R3 of Reliability Standard BAL-006-2.

Laurel Brandt - Tennessee Valley Authority - 1,3,5,6 - SERC			
Selected Answer:	Eliminate BAL-006 as a Reliability Standard.		
Answer Comment:			
Document Name:			
Likes:	0		
Dislikes:	0		
Matthew Beilfuss -	WEC Energy Group, Inc 3,4,5,6 - MRO,RFC		
Selected Answer:	Maintain BAL-006 (with no changes) as a Reliability Standard.		
Answer Comment:	The current effective version of BAL-006 requires metering at all BAA interconnection points (R3). The proposed version of BAL-006 removes the requirement for metering. Although requirement for metering may be addressed in changes to other BAL or FAC Standards, until that occurs BAL-006 should remain as written.		
Document Name:			
Likes:	0		
Dislikes:	0		
Nick Vtyurin - Manitoba Hydro - 1,3,5,6 - MRO			
Selected Answer:	Eliminate BAL-006 as a Reliability Standard.		
Answer Comment:			
Document Name:			
Likes:	0		
Dislikes:	0		

0

Selected Answer: Eliminate BAL-006 as a Reliability Standard.

Answer Comment:

Document Name:

Likes: 0

Dislikes:

Terry Bllke - Midcontinent ISO, Inc. - 2 -

Group Information

Group Name: IRC-SRC

Group Member Name	Entity	Region	Segments
Christina Bigelow	ERCOT	TRE	2
Kathleen Goodman	ISONE	NPCC	2
Ben Li	IESO	NPCC	2
Terry Bilke	MISO	RFC	2
Greg Campoli	NYISO	NPCC	2
Mark Holman	PJM	RFC	2
Charles Yeung	SPP	SPP	2

Voter	Segment		
Terry Bllke	2		
Entity	Region(s)		
Midcontinent ISO, Ir	nc.		
Selected Answer:	Eliminate BAL-006 as a Reliability Standard.		
Answer Comment:	Our preference is to eliminate this standard with one caveat. We believe BAL- 006 should be converted to a guide and placed in the NERC Operating Manual. The tasks done under this standard are useful housekeeping tasks that support validation of balancing data.		
Document Name:			
Likes:	0		
Dislikes:	0		

Marsha Morgan - Southern Company - Southern Company Services, Inc. - 1,3,5,6 - SERC

Group Information

Group Name: Southern Company

Group Member Name	Entity	Region	Segments
Robert Schaffeld	Southern Company Services, Inc	SERC	1
John Ciza	Southern Company Generation and Energy Marketing	SERC	6
R Scott Moore	Alabama Power Company	SERC	3
William Shultz	Southern Company Generation	SERC	5

Voter		Segment
Marsha Morgan		1,3,5,6
Entity		Region(s)
Southern Company - Southern Company Services, Inc.		SERC
Selected Answer:	Eliminate BAL-006 as a Relia	ability Standard.
Answer Comment:	Southern agrees with the PR and not a Reliability Standard	T that BAL-006 is an energy accounting standard d.
Document Name:		
Likes:	0	
Dislikes:	0	

	- E				
-	ke Energy				
Group Member Nar	ne Entity Region Segments				
Doug Hils	Duke Energy RFC 1				
Lee Schuster	Duke Energy	FRCC	3		
Dale Goodwine	Duke Energy	SERC	5		
Greg Cecil	Duke Energy	RFC	6		
Voter Information					
Voter		Segment			
Colby Bellville		1,3,5,6			
Entity		Region(s)			
Duke Energy		FRCC,SERC,RFC			
Answer Comment:	 Iswer: Eliminate BAL-006 as a Reliability Standard. nment: Duke Energy supports the elimination of BAL-006 as a Reliability Standa based on the belief that the requirements, with the exception of certain provisions of R4 incorporated into the proposed BAL-005-1, are busines nature and are not needed to support the reliable operation of the Bulk 				
Document Name:	Power System.				
Likes:	0				

Mark Holman - PJM Interconnection, L.L.C 2 -				
Selected Answer:	Eliminate BAL-006 as a Reliability Standard.			
Answer Comment:	While PJM agrees it is important to maintain requirements to calculate and account for Inadvertent Interchange, PJM suggest this be moved to a NAESB standard.			
Document Name:				
Likes:	0			
Dislikes:	0			

Group Information			
Group Name: PPL I	NERC Registered Affiliates		
Group Member Name	e Entity	Region	Segments
Charlie Freibert	LG&E and KU Energy, LLC	SERC	3
Brenda Truhe	PPL Electric Utilities Corporation	RFC	1
Dan Wilson	LG&E and KU Energy, LLC	SERC	5
Linn Oelker	LG&E and KU Energy, LLC	SERC	6
Voter Information			
Voter	Segn	nent	
Wayne Van Liere	1,3,5,	6	
Entity	Regio	on(s)	
PPL - Louisville Gas a	_		
	In order to maintain enforcement ca Standard.	apability, BAL-	006 should remain
Document Name:			
L ikes : 0			
Dislikes: 0			

Richard Hoag - FirstEnergy - FirstEnergy Corporation - 1,3,4,5,6 - RFC

Group Information

Group Name: FE RBB

Group Member Name	Entity	Region	Segments
William Smith	FirstenergyCorp	RFC	1
Cindy Stewart	FirstEnergy Corp.	RFC	3
Doug Hohlbaugh	Ohio Edison	RFC	4
Robert Loy	FirstEnergy Solutions	RFC	5
Richard Hoag	FirstenergyCorp	RFC	NA - Not Applicable
Ann Ivanc	FirstEnergy Solutions	FRCC	6

Voter		Segment	
Richard Hoag		1,3,4,5,6	
Entity		Region(s)	
FirstEnergy - FirstEr	nergy Corporation	RFC	
Selected Answer:	Eliminate BAL-006 as a Relia	bility Standard.	
Answer Comment:	FE supports PJM comments on this issue. While PJM agrees it is important to maintain requirements to calculate and account for Inadvertent Interchange, PJM suggest this be moved to a NAESB standard.		
Document Name:			
Likes:	0		
Dislikes:	0		

Cain Braveheart - Bonneville Power Administration - 1,3,5,6 - WECC					
Selected Answer:	Selected Answer: Eliminate BAL-006 as a Reliability Standard.				
Answer Comment:					
Document Name:					
Likes:	0				
Dislikes:	0				
Shawn Abrams - S	ante	e Cooper - 1 -			
Group Information					
Group Name: Santee Cooper					
Group Member Na	Group Member Name Entity Region Segment				
Shawn Abrams		Santee Cooper	SERC	1	
James Poston		Santee Cooper	SERC	3	
Michael Brown		Santee Cooper	SERC	6	
Voter Information					
Voter		Segm	ent		
Shawn Abrams		1			
Entity		Regio	on(s)		
Santee Cooper					

Selected Answer: Eliminate BAL-006 as a Reliability Standard.

Answer Comment:

Document Name:

Likes:

0

Dislikes: 0

Adam Padgett - TEC	CO - Tampa Electric Co 1,3,5,6 - FRCC
Selected Answer:	Eliminate BAL-006 as a Reliability Standard.
Answer Comment:	
Document Name:	
Likes:	0
Dislikes:	0
Don Schmit - Nebra	iska Public Power District - 5 -
Selected Answer:	Eliminate BAL-006 as a Reliability Standard.
Answer Comment:	
Document Name:	
Likes:	0
Dislikes:	0

Group Information

Group Name: ACES Standards Collaborators

Group Member Name	Entity	Region	Segments
Bob Solomon	Hoosier Energy Rural Electric Cooperative, Inc.	RFC	1
Ginger Mercier	Prairie Power, Inc.	SERC	1,3
Ellen Watkins	Sunflower Electric Power Corporation	SPP	1
Michael Brytowski	Great River Energy	MRO	1,3,5,6

Voter		Segment
Brian Van Gheem		6
Entity		Region(s)
ACES Power Market	ting	NA - Not Applicable
Selected Answer:	Eliminate BAL-006 as a Relia	ability Standard.
Answer Comment:	eliminate BAL-006. Inadverte reliability and commercial rec have provided better docume each requirement are addres	vided adequate analysis on supporting rationale to ent Interchange is addressed through other existing juirements. However, we believe the SDT could entation to support its conclusions by identifying how sed individually. We believe the SDT should nt" that accompanies its white paper to better
Document Name:		
Likes:	0	
Dislikes:	0	

2. If you support maintaining BAL-006 as a Reliability Standard, are you in favor of the PRT recommendation as noted in the attached draft Reliability Standard BAL-006? If not, then what aspects of BAL-006 should be retained in a standard?

Laurel Brandt - Tennessee Valley Authority - 1,3,5,6 - SERC			
Selected Answer:			
Answer Comment:			
Document Name:			
Likes:	0		
Dislikes:	0		
Matthew Beilfuss - N	WEC Energy Group, Inc 3,4,5,6 - MRO,RFC		
Selected Answer:	No		
Answer Comment:	Comments: The purpose listed in the draft of BAL-006 has not been changed from the previously approved standard and does not appear directly related to the drafted requirements.		
	The elimination of the currently effective BAL-006 R4 in the draft removes a requirement that no other standard addresses.		
	See also answer to question 1.		
Document Name:			
Likes:	0		
Dislikes:	0		

Nick Vtyurin - Manitoba Hydro - 1,3,5,6 - MRO		
Selected Answer:		
Answer Comment:		
Document Name:		
Likes:	0	
Dislikes:	0	
Jeri Freimuth - APS	- Arizona Public Service Co 3 -	
Selected Answer:		
Answer Comment:	NA as AZPS does not support retaining as a NERC standard.	
Document Name:		
Likes:	0	
Dislikes:	0	

Terry Bllke - Midcontinent ISO, Inc. - 2 -

Group Information

Group Name: IRC-SRC

Group Member Name	Entity	Region	Segments
Christina Bigelow	ERCOT	TRE	2
Kathleen Goodman	ISONE	NPCC	2
Ben Li	IESO	NPCC	2
Terry Bilke	MISO	RFC	2
Greg Campoli	NYISO	NPCC	2
Mark Holman	РЈМ	RFC	2
Charles Yeung	SPP	SPP	2

Voter		Segment
Terry Bllke		2
Entity		Region(s)
Midcontinent ISO,	Inc.	
Selected Answer	:	
Answer Commen	t:	
Document Name:		
Likes:	0	
Dislikes:	0	

Marsha Morgan - Southern Company - Southern Company Services, Inc. - 1,3,5,6 - SERC

Group Information

Group Name: Southern Company

Group Member Name	Entity	Region	Segments
Robert Schaffeld	Southern Company Services, Inc	SERC	1
John Ciza	Southern Company Generation and Energy Marketing	SERC	6
R Scott Moore	Alabama Power Company	SERC	3
William Shultz	Southern Company Generation	SERC	5

Voter		Segment
Marsha Morgan		1,3,5,6
Entity		Region(s)
Southern Company Services, Inc.	- Southern Company	SERC
Selected Answer:	No	
Answer Comment:	We suggest BAL-006 be reti	red.
Document Name:		
Likes:	0	
Dislikes:	0	

Group Information

Group Name: Duke Energy

Group Member Name	Entity	Region	Segments
Doug Hils	Duke Energy	RFC	1
Lee Schuster	Duke Energy	FRCC	3
Dale Goodwine	Duke Energy	SERC	5
Greg Cecil	Duke Energy	RFC	6

Voter		Segment
Colby Bellville		1,3,5,6
Entity		Region(s)
Duke Energy		FRCC,SERC,RFC
Selected Answer:		
Answer Comment:		
Document Name:		
Likee	0	
Likes:	0	
Dislikes:	0	

Mark Holman - PJM Interconnection, L.L.C. - 2 -

0

Selected Answer:

Answer Comment:

Document Name:

Likes: 0

Dislikes:

Wayne Van Liere - PPL - Louisville Gas and Electric Co. - 1,3,5,6 - SERC

Group Information

Group Name: PPL NERC Registered Affiliates

Group Member Name	Entity	Region	Segments
Charlie Freibert	LG&E and KU Energy, LLC	SERC	3
Brenda Truhe	PPL Electric Utilities Corporation	RFC	1
Dan Wilson	LG&E and KU Energy, LLC	SERC	5
Linn Oelker	LG&E and KU Energy, LLC	SERC	6

Voter		Segment
Wayne Van Liere		1,3,5,6
Entity		Region(s)
PPL - Louisville Gas	and Electric Co.	SERC
Selected Answer:	Yes	
Answer Comment:	inadvertent accumulation, LG example, for a BA with an L1	endation for a metric to bind the magnitude of a BA's &E and KU suggest a multiplier of L10. For 0 of 100, a multiplier of 250 would permit an 0 MWHs. The limit on the accumulation needs to BA.
Document Name:		
Likes:	0	
Dislikes:	0	

Richard Hoag - FirstEnergy - FirstEnergy Corporation - 1,3,4,5,6 - RFC

Group Information

Group Name: FE RBB

Group Member Name	Entity	Region	Segments
William Smith	FirstenergyCorp	RFC	1
Cindy Stewart	FirstEnergy Corp.	RFC	3
Doug Hohlbaugh	Ohio Edison	RFC	4
Robert Loy	FirstEnergy Solutions	RFC	5
Richard Hoag	FirstenergyCorp	RFC	NA - Not Applicable
Ann Ivanc	FirstEnergy Solutions	FRCC	6

Voter		Segment
Richard Hoag		1,3,4,5,6
Entity		Region(s)
FirstEnergy - FirstE	nergy Corporation	RFC
Selected Answer:	Yes	
Answer Comment:		
Document Name:		
Likes:	0	
Dislikes:	0	

Cain Braveheart - Bonneville Power Administration - 1,3,5,6 - WECC	
Selected Answer:	No
Answer Comment:	BPA supports eliminating NERC BAL-006-2 as a reliability standard based on the NERC SDT (Standard Drafting Team) white paper provided for consideration. As the white paper suggests, the current requirements in NERC BAL-006-2 of a reliability nature should be addressed through the requirements of the proposed BAL-005-1.
Document Name:	
Likes:	0
Dislikes:	0

Shawn Abrams - Sante	ee Cooper - 1 -		
Group Information			
Group Name: Sante	e Cooper		
Group Member Name	Entity	Region	Segments
Shawn Abrams	Santee Cooper	SERC	1
James Poston	Santee Cooper	SERC	3
Michael Brown	Santee Cooper	SERC	6
Voter Information			
Voter		Segment	
Shawn Abrams		1	
Entity		Region(s)	
Santee Cooper		,	
Selected Answer: Y	/es		
Answer Comment:			
Document Name:			
Likes: 0			
Dislikes: 0			
Adam Padgett - TECO - Tampa Electric Co 1,3,5,6 - FRCC			
Adam Padgett - TECO	- Tampa Electric Co 1,3,5		
	- Tampa Electric Co 1,3,5	5,6 - FRCC	
Adam Padgett - TECO Selected Answer:	- Tampa Electric Co 1,3,5	5,6 - FRCC	
	- Tampa Electric Co 1,3,5	5,6 - FRCC	
Selected Answer:	- Tampa Electric Co 1,3,5	5,6 - FRCC	
Selected Answer: Answer Comment: Document Name:	- Tampa Electric Co 1,3,5	5,6 - FRCC	
Selected Answer: Answer Comment:	- Tampa Electric Co 1,3,5	5,6 - FRCC	

Don Schmit - Nebraska Public Power District - 5 -

0

Selected Answer:

Answer Comment:

Document Name:

Likes: 0

Dislikes:

Brian Van Gheem - ACES Power Marketing - 6 - NA - Not Applicable

Group Information

Group Name: ACES Standards Collaborators

Group Member Name	Entity	Region	Segments
Bob Solomon	Hoosier Energy Rural Electric Cooperative, Inc.	RFC	1
Ginger Mercier	Prairie Power, Inc.	SERC	1,3
Ellen Watkins	Sunflower Electric Power Corporation	SPP	1
Michael Brytowski	Great River Energy	MRO	1,3,5,6

Voter		Segment
Brian Van Gheem		6
Entity		Region(s)
ACES Power Marke	ting	NA - Not Applicable
Selected Answer:	No	
Answer Comment:	BAL-006 should be eliminate be applied to eliminate the re- Criteria for Administrative and technical basis to substantiat and is needlessly burdensom BAs do not agree upon interor to Regional Entities aligns wi Criterion. This specific criterior responsible entities to report discernible impact on promot	vided adequate analysis on supporting reasons why d. We also believe that Paragraph 81 criteria could maining requirements. Based on Paragraph 81 d Reporting, we feel the SDT has provided sufficient e that these requirements do "not support reliability ne." We also feel that in the instance when Adjacent change quantities, the need to report such disputes th the definition of the Paragraph 81 Reporting ion states that "these are requirements that obligate to a Regional Entity on activities which have no ing the reliable operation of the BES and if the entity nt there would be little reliability impact."
Document Name:		
Likes:	0	

Dislikes:	0

3. If you support eliminating BAL-006 as a Reliability Standard, are you in favor of the SDT recommendation that these requirements be included in a commercial alternative arrangement, such as a NAESB standard or a process established by FERC? What aspects of BAL-006 should be retained in an alternative arrangement?

Laurel Brandt - Tennessee Valley Authority - 1,3,5,6 - SERC		
Selected Answer:	Yes	
Answer Comment:		
Document Name:		
Likes:	0	
Dislikes:	0	
Matthew Beilfuss -	WEC Energy Group, Inc 3,4,5,6 - MRO,RFC	
Selected Answer:	Yes	
Answer Comment:	NERC could accomplish the data collection under rules of procedure as opposed to a reliability standard.	
	See answers to question 1 and 2 for elements of the current BAL-006 that would need to be addressed in reliability standards.	
Document Name:		
Likes:	0	
Dislikes:	0	
Nick Vtyurin - Mani	toba Hydro - 1,3,5,6 - MRO	
Selected Answer:	Yes	
Answer Comment:		
Document Name:		
Likes:	0	
Dislikes:	0	

Jeri Freimuth - APS	- Arizona Public Service Co 3 -
Selected Answer:	Yes
Answer Comment:	Reconciliation of inadvertent
Document Name:	
Likes:	0
Dislikes:	0

Terry Bllke - Midcontinent ISO, Inc. - 2 -

Group Information

Group Name: IRC-SRC

Group Member Name	Entity	Region	Segments
Christina Bigelow	ERCOT	TRE	2
Kathleen Goodman	ISONE	NPCC	2
Ben Li	IESO	NPCC	2
Terry Bilke	MISO	RFC	2
Greg Campoli	NYISO	NPCC	2
Mark Holman	РЈМ	RFC	2
Charles Yeung	SPP	SPP	2

Voter		Segment		
Terry Bllke		2		
Entity		Region(s)		
Midcontinent ISO, In	С.			
Selected Answer:	No			
Answer Comment:	We do not support turning this over to NAESB or FERC. NAESB business practices ultimately become part of a transmission provider's tariff. Not all transmission providers are Balancing Authorities. Additionally, not all Balancing Authorities are FERC jurisdictional. Rather than creating gaps and make the data unverifiable, our preference is that BAL-006 be converted to a guide or procedure and placed in the NERC Operating Manual. The guidelines or procedure could be drafted and maintained in the operating manual by taking the existing verbiage and replace "shall" with "will", "needs to", or "should".			
Document Name:				
Likes:	0			

Dislikes:	0

Marsha Morgan - Southern Company - Southern Company Services, Inc. - 1,3,5,6 - SERC

Group Information

Group Name: Southern Company

Group Member Name	Entity	Region	Segments
Robert Schaffeld	Southern Company Services, Inc	SERC	1
John Ciza Southern Company Generation and Energy Marketing		SERC	6
R Scott Moore	Alabama Power Company	SERC	3
William Shultz	Southern Company Generation	SERC	5

Voter		Segment
Marsha Morgan		1,3,5,6
Entity		Region(s)
Southern Company - Services, Inc.	- Southern Company	SERC
Selected Answer:	No	
Answer Comment:	Southern would prefer this be handled with agreements between the entities. However, if a standard is required, we suggest it be within NAESB and not a NERC Reliability Standard.	
Document Name:		
Likes:	0	
Dislikes:	0	

Group Information	Energy - 1,3,5,6 - FRCC,	SERC,RFC		
-	F			
-	Energy			
Group Member Name	Entity	Region	Segments	
Doug Hils	Duke Energy	RFC	1	
Lee Schuster	Duke Energy	FRCC	3	
Dale Goodwine	Duke Energy	SERC	5	
Greg Cecil	Duke Energy	RFC	6	
Voter Information				
Voter		Segment		
Colby Bellville		1,3,5,6		
Entity		Region(s)		
Duke Energy		FRCC,SERC,RFC		
Selected Answer:	/es			
	Duke Energy recommends well as R4.1 of BAL-006 to certain aspects of Intercha be a business practice or equirements listed above noving to NAESB, as we 005-1 upon approval.	o the NAESB standar ange, and Inadverter commercial in nature of that description.	ds. NAESB already har at accounting is conside e. We believe the We have excluded R4	ndles ered t from
Document Name:				
Likes: 0				
Dislikes: 0				

Mark Holman - PJM	Interconnection, L.L.C 2 -
Selected Answer:	Yes
Answer Comment:	PJM believes the requirements in BAL-006 should be moved to a NAESB standard. In order for Inadvertent Interchange to be calculated appropriately the standard should include requirements similar to what the PRT has suggested for BAL-006. However PJM also believes that Adjacent Balancing Authorities should operate to a Net Interchange Schedule as this is important to avoid many potential dispute resolutions.
Document Name:	
Likes:	0
Dislikes:	0

Wayne Van Liere - PPL - Louisville Gas and Electric Co. - 1,3,5,6 - SERC

Group Information

Group Name: PPL NERC Registered Affiliates

Group Member Name	Entity	Region	Segments
Charlie Freibert	LG&E and KU Energy, LLC	SERC	3
Brenda Truhe	PPL Electric Utilities Corporation	RFC	1
Dan Wilson	LG&E and KU Energy, LLC	SERC	5
Linn Oelker	LG&E and KU Energy, LLC	SERC	6

Voter		Segment	
Wayne Van Lie	ere	1,3,5,6	
Entity		Region(s)	
PPL - Louisville	e Gas and Electric Co.	SERC	
Selected Ansv	ver:		
Answer Comm	nent:		
Document Nar	me:		
Likes:	0		
Dislikes:	0		

Richard Hoag - FirstEnergy - FirstEnergy Corporation - 1,3,4,5,6 - RFC

Group Information

Group Name: FE RBB

Group Member Name	Entity	Region	Segments
William Smith	FirstenergyCorp	RFC	1
Cindy Stewart	FirstEnergy Corp.	RFC	3
Doug Hohlbaugh	Ohio Edison	RFC	4
Robert Loy	FirstEnergy Solutions	RFC	5
Richard Hoag	FirstenergyCorp	RFC	NA - Not Applicable
Ann Ivanc	FirstEnergy Solutions	FRCC	6

Voter		Segment
Richard Hoag		1,3,4,5,6
Entity		Region(s)
FirstEnergy - FirstEr	nergy Corporation	RFC
Selected Answer:	Yes	
Answer Comment:	FE supports PJM comments	on this issue.
	standard. In order for Inadve standard should include requ BAL-006. However PJM also	nts in BAL-006 should be moved to a NAESB rtent Interchange to be calculated appropriately the nirements similar to what the PRT has suggested for believes that Adjacent Balancing Authorities should Schedule as this is important to avoid many
Document Name:		
Likes:	0	
Dislikes:	0	

Cain Braveheart - Bonneville Power Administration - 1,3,5,6 - WECC			
Selected Answer: N	0		
Answer Comment:			
Document Name:			
Likes: 0			
Dislikes: 0			
Shawn Abrams - Sante	e Cooper - 1 -		
Group Information			
Group Name: Santee	e Cooper		
Group Member Name	Entity	Region	Segments
Shawn Abrams	Santee Cooper	SERC	1
James Poston	Santee Cooper	SERC	3
Michael Brown	Santee Cooper	SERC	6
Voter Information			
Voter	Segme	ent	
Shawn Abrams	1		
Entity	Regio	n(s)	
Santee Cooper	-	. ,	
Selected Answer: Y	es		
	/e support maintaining the current re advertent Interchange Reporting Ap		nents through the CERTS
Document Name:			
Likes : 0			

Dislikes:	0
Adam Padgett - TE	CO - Tampa Electric Co 1,3,5,6 - FRCC
Selected Answer:	Yes
Answer Comment:	Refer it to NAESB and incorporate all of the BAL-006 requirements in a NAESB standard.
Document Name:	
Likes:	0

Dislikes:	0
Don Schmit - Nebra	aska Public Power District - 5 -
Selected Answer:	Yes
Answer Comment:	
Document Name:	
Likes:	0
Dislikes:	0

Group Name: AC	ES Standards Collaborators		
Group Member Nar	ne Entity	Region	Segments
Bob Solomon	Hoosier Energy Rural Electric Cooperative, Inc.	RFC	1
Ginger Mercier	Prairie Power, Inc.	SERC	1,3
Ellen Watkins	Sunflower Electric Power Corporation	SPP	1
Michael Brytowski	Great River Energy	MRO	1,3,5,6
Voter Information			
Voter	Seg	ment	
Brian Van Gheem	6		
Entity	Regi	on(s)	
ACES Power Market	ing NA -	Not Applicable)
Selected Answer:	Yes		
Answer Comment:	We agree that commercial alternation		
	Practices, are a better fit for Inadve		90.
Document Name:	Practices, are a better fit for Inadve		90.
Document Name: Likes:	Practices, are a better fit for Inadve		90.
ikes:	0		901
			90.
Likes:	0		y

4. If neither maintaining nor eliminating BAL-006 is preferred, please describe your suggestion for the disposition of this standard.

Laurel Brandt - Ter	Laurel Brandt - Tennessee Valley Authority - 1,3,5,6 - SERC		
Selected Answer:			
Answer Comment:			
Document Name:			
Likes:	0		
Dislikes:	0		
Matthew Beilfuss -	WEC Energy Group, Inc 3,4,5,6 - MRO,RFC		
Selected Answer:			
Answer Comment:			
Document Name:			
Likes:	0		
Dislikes:	0		
Nick Vtyurin - Man	itoba Hydro - 1,3,5,6 - MRO		
Selected Answer:			
Answer Comment:			
Document Name:			
Likes:	0		
Dislikes:	0		

Jeri Freimuth - APS - Arizona Public Service Co. - 3 -

0

0

Selected Answer:

Answer Comment:

Support transferring to NAESB

Document Name:

Likes:

Terry Bllke - Midcontinent ISO, Inc. - 2 -

Group Information

Group Name: IRC-SRC

Group Member Name	Entity	Region	Segments
Christina Bigelow	ERCOT	TRE	2
Kathleen Goodman	ISONE	NPCC	2
Ben Li	IESO	NPCC	2
Terry Bilke	MISO	RFC	2
Greg Campoli	NYISO	NPCC	2
Mark Holman	РЈМ	RFC	2
Charles Yeung	SPP	SPP	2

Voter		Segment
Terry Blike		2
Entity		Region(s)
Midcontinent ISO,	Inc.	
Selected Answer	:	
Answer Commen	t:	
Document Name:		
Likes:	0	
Dislikes:	0	

Marsha Morgan - Southern Company - Southern Company Services, Inc. - 1,3,5,6 - SERC

Group Information

Group Name: Southern Company

Group Member Name	Entity	Region	Segments
Robert Schaffeld	Southern Company Services, Inc	SERC	1
John Ciza	Southern Company Generation and Energy Marketing	SERC	6
R Scott Moore	Alabama Power Company	SERC	3
William Shultz	Southern Company Generation	SERC	5

Voter		Segment	
Marsha Morgan		1,3,5,6	
Entity		Region(s)	
Southern Company Services, Inc.	- Southern Company	SERC	
Selected Answer:			
Answer Comment:	NA		
Document Name:			
Likes:	0		
Dislikes:	0		

Group Information

Group Name: Duke Energy

Group Member Name	Entity	Region	Segments
Doug Hils	Duke Energy	RFC	1
Lee Schuster	Duke Energy	FRCC	3
Dale Goodwine	Duke Energy	SERC	5
Greg Cecil	Duke Energy	RFC	6

Voter		Segment
Colby Bellville		1,3,5,6
Entity		Region(s)
Duke Energy		FRCC,SERC,RFC
Selected Answer:		
Answer Comment:		
Document Name:		
Likee	0	
Likes:	0	
Dislikes:	0	

Mark Holman - PJM Interconnection, L.L.C. - 2 -

0

Selected Answer:

Answer Comment:

Document Name:

Likes: 0

Wayne Van Liere - PPL - Louisville Gas and Electric Co. - 1,3,5,6 - SERC

Group Information

Group Name: PPL NERC Registered Affiliates

Group Member Name	Entity	Region	Segments
Charlie Freibert	LG&E and KU Energy, LLC	SERC	3
Brenda Truhe	PPL Electric Utilities Corporation	RFC	1
Dan Wilson	LG&E and KU Energy, LLC	SERC	5
Linn Oelker	LG&E and KU Energy, LLC	SERC	6

Voter		Segment	
Wayne Van Lie	ere	1,3,5,6	
Entity		Region(s)	
PPL - Louisville	e Gas and Electric Co.	SERC	
Selected Ansv	ver:		
Answer Comm	nent:		
Document Nar	me:		
Likes:	0		
Dislikes:	0		

Richard Hoag - FirstEnergy - FirstEnergy Corporation - 1,3,4,5,6 - RFC

Group Information

Group Name: FE RBB

Group Member Name	Entity	Region	Segments
William Smith	FirstenergyCorp	RFC	1
Cindy Stewart	FirstEnergy Corp.	RFC	3
Doug Hohlbaugh	Ohio Edison	RFC	4
Robert Loy	FirstEnergy Solutions	RFC	5
Richard Hoag	FirstenergyCorp	RFC	NA - Not Applicable
Ann Ivanc	FirstEnergy Solutions	FRCC	6

Voter		Segment
Richard Hoag		1,3,4,5,6
Entity		Region(s)
FirstEnergy - FirstEr	nergy Corporation	RFC
Selected Answer:		
Answer Comment:	FE supports PJM comments	on this issue.
	This question is not applicab requirements should be mov	le as PJM feels that Inadvertent Interchange ed to a NAESB standard.
Document Name:		
Likes:	0	
Dislikes:	0	

Cain Bravehear	t - Bonr	neville Power Adminis	stration - 1,3	3,5,6 - WECC	
Selected Answe	er:				
Answer Comme	ent:				
Document Nam	e:				
Likes:	0				
Dislikes:	0				
Shawn Abrams	- Sante	e Cooper - 1 -			
Group Informat	tion				
Group Name:	Santee	e Cooper			
•	Group Member Name Entity Region Segments				
Shawn Abrams	itaine	Santee Cooper		SERC	1
James Poston		Santee Cooper		SERC	3
Michael Brown		Santee CooperSERC6			
Voter Informati	on			1	
			Co area	1	
Voter			Segme	ent	
Shawn Abrams			1		
Entity			Regio	n(s)	
Santee Cooper					
Selected Answe	er:				
Answer Comme	ent:				
	n/	a			
Document Nam	e:				
Likes:	0				
Dislikes:	0				

Adam Padgett - TE	CO - Tampa Electric Co 1,3,5,6 - FRCC
Selected Answer:	
Answer Comment:	
Document Name:	
Likes:	0
Dislikes:	0

Don Schmit - Nebraska Public Power District - 5 -

0

Selected Answer:

Answer Comment:

Document Name:

Likes: 0

Brian Van Gheem - ACES Power Marketing - 6 - NA - Not Applicable

Group Information

Group Name: ACES Standards Collaborators

Group Member Name	Entity	Region	Segments
Bob Solomon	Hoosier Energy Rural Electric Cooperative, Inc.	RFC	1
Ginger Mercier	Prairie Power, Inc.	SERC	1,3
Ellen Watkins	Sunflower Electric Power Corporation	SPP	1
Michael Brytowski	Great River Energy	MRO	1,3,5,6

Voter		Segment
Brian Van Gheem		6
Entity		Region(s)
ACES Power Marke	ting	NA - Not Applicable
Selected Answer:		
Answer Comment:	We suggest that the SDT elir	ninate BAL-006.
Document Name:		
Likes:	0	
Dislikes:	0	

5. If you have any other comments or reliability concerns, please provide them in the space below.

Laurel Brandt - Ten	nessee Valley Authority - 1,3,5,6 - SERC
Selected Answer:	
Answer Comment:	
Document Name:	
Likes:	0
Dislikes:	0
Matthew Beilfuss -	WEC Energy Group, Inc 3,4,5,6 - MRO,RFC
Selected Answer:	
Answer Comment:	Requirements in BAL-006 as proposed for deletion are of value in a Standard, see answers to Question 1 and 2.
Document Name:	
Likes:	0
Dislikes:	0
Nick Vtyurin - Mani	toba Hydro - 1,3,5,6 - MRO
Selected Answer:	
Answer Comment:	
Document Name:	
Likes:	0
Dislikes:	0

Jeri Freimuth - APS - Arizona Public Service Co. - 3 -

0

Selected Answer:

Answer Comment:

Document Name:

Likes: 0

Terry Bllke - Midcontinent ISO, Inc. - 2 -

Group Information

Group Name: IRC-SRC

Group Member Name	Entity	Region	Segments
Christina Bigelow	ERCOT	TRE	2
Kathleen Goodman	ISONE	NPCC	2
Ben Li	IESO	NPCC	2
Terry Bilke	MISO	RFC	2
Greg Campoli	NYISO	NPCC	2
Mark Holman	РЈМ	RFC	2
Charles Yeung	SPP	SPP	2

Voter		Segment
Terry Bllke		2
Entity		Region(s)
Midcontinent ISO, Ir	NC.	
Selected Answer:		
Answer Comment:	If our ourgestion is not ourse	arted, we would suggest ballating the posted
		orted, we would suggest balloting the posted s and VSLs reflect the fact that the requirements in impact on reliability.
Document Name:		
Likes:	0	
Dislikes:	0	

Marsha Morgan - Southern Company - Southern Company Services, Inc. - 1,3,5,6 - SERC

Group Information

Group Name: Southern Company

Group Member Name	Entity	Region	Segments
Robert Schaffeld	Southern Company Services, Inc	SERC	1
John Ciza	Southern Company Generation and Energy Marketing	SERC	6
R Scott Moore	Alabama Power Company	SERC	3
William Shultz	Southern Company Generation	SERC	5

Voter		Segment
Marsha Morgan		1,3,5,6
Entity		Region(s)
Southern Company Services, Inc.	- Southern Company	SERC
Selected Answer:		
Answer Comment:	NA	
Document Name:		
Likes:	0	
Dislikes:	0	

Froup Name: Du	ike Energy		
Group Member Na	me Entity	Region	Segments
Doug Hils	Duke Energy	RFC	1
Lee Schuster	Duke Energy	FRCC	3
Dale Goodwine	Duke Energy	SERC	5
Greg Cecil	Duke Energy	RFC	6
Voter		Segment	
Colby Bellville		1,3,5,6	
Entity		Region(s)	
Duke Energy		FRCC,SERC,RFC	
Answer Comment: Duke Energy's support for the elimination of BAL-006 as a Reliability Standard, and the aforementioned requirements transition to the NAESB standards, predicated on the assumption that the Real-time reliability requirements of BAL-006 will be covered in one way (approval of propose BAL-005-1) or another (incorporated into an existing BAL standard). Given that the proposed BAL-005-1 will include a requirement covering the current BAL-006 R4, Duke Energy recommends that the BAL-005-1 implementation plan factor in the possible hand over of BAL-006 responsibilities from NERC to NAESB so that there isn't the possibility of			
	Given that the proposed B current BAL-006 R4, Duke implementation plan facto	AL-005-1 will include Energy recommend r in the possible han C to NAESB so that t	s that the BAL-005-1 d over of BAL-006 here isn't the possibility

Mark Holman - PJM	Interconnection, L.L.C 2 -
Selected Answer:	
Answer Comment:	As part of Project 2010-14.2.1 Phase 2 it was suggested that BAL-006-2 Requirement R3 be moved into BAL-005-3. While PJM agrees it is important to calculate MWh values for Inadvertent Interchange, PJM suggests this be moved to a NAESB standard.
Document Name:	
Likes:	0
Dislikes:	0

Wayne Van Liere - PPL - Louisville Gas and Electric Co. - 1,3,5,6 - SERC

Group Information

Group Name: PPL NERC Registered Affiliates

Group Member Name	Entity	Region	Segments
Charlie Freibert	LG&E and KU Energy, LLC	SERC	3
Brenda Truhe	PPL Electric Utilities Corporation	RFC	1
Dan Wilson	LG&E and KU Energy, LLC	SERC	5
Linn Oelker	LG&E and KU Energy, LLC	SERC	6

Voter Information

Voter	Segment
Wayne Van Liere	1,3,5,6
Entity	Region(s)
PPL - Louisville Gas and Electric Co.	SERC

Selected Answer:

Answer Comment:

LG&E and KU are not opposed to handling inadvertent via a NAESB standard or business practice; the concern is enforceability. A NAESB standard or business practice for inadvertent would lack enforcement "teeth." Thus LG&E and KU question whether a NAESB standard can as effectivelty achieve the desired result.

LG&E and KU are not in favor of financial or FERC established processes for settlement of accumulated inadvertent accounts.

Document Name:

Likes:

0

0

Richard Hoag - FirstEnergy - FirstEnergy Corporation - 1,3,4,5,6 - RFC

Group Information

Group Name: FE RBB

Group Member Name	Entity	Region	Segments
William Smith	FirstenergyCorp	RFC	1
Cindy Stewart	FirstEnergy Corp.	RFC	3
Doug Hohlbaugh	Ohio Edison	RFC	4
Robert Loy	FirstEnergy Solutions	RFC	5
Richard Hoag	FirstenergyCorp	RFC	NA - Not Applicable
Ann Ivanc	FirstEnergy Solutions	FRCC	6

Voter		Segment
Richard Hoag		1,3,4,5,6
Entity		Region(s)
FirstEnergy - FirstEnergy Corporation		RFC
Selected Answer:		
Answer Comment:	FE supports PJM comments on this issue. As part of Project 2010-14.2.1 Phase 2 it was suggested that BAL-006-2 Requirement R3 be moved into BAL-005-3. While PJM agrees it is important to calculate MWh values for Inadvertent Interchange, PJM suggests this be moved to a NAESB standard.	
Document Name:		
Likes:	0	
Dislikes:	0	

Cain Bravehear	rt - Bonr	neville Power Admini	stration - 1,3	5,5,6 - WECC	
Selected Answe	er:				
Answer Comme	ent:				
Document Nam	e:				
Likes:	0				
Dislikes:	0				
<u> </u>					
Shawn Abrams	- Sante	e Cooper - 1 -			
Group Informa	tion				
Group Name:	Santee	e Cooper			
Group Membe	r Name	Entity		Region	Segments
Shawn Abrams		Santee Cooper		SERC	1
James Poston		Santee Cooper		SERC	3
Michael Brown		Santee Cooper		SERC	6
Voter Informat	ion				
Voter			Segme	ent	
Shawn Abrams	-				
Entity			Regio	n(s)	
Santee Cooper					
Selected Answe	er:				
Answer Comme	ent: n/	' a			
	11/	u			
Document Nam	ie:				
Likes:	0				
Dislikes:	0				

Adam Padgett - TE	CO - Tampa Electric Co 1,3,5,6 - FRCC
Selected Answer:	
Answer Comment:	
Document Name:	
Likes:	0
Dislikes:	0

Don Schmit - Nebraska Public Power District - 5 -

0

Selected Answer:

Answer Comment:

Document Name:

Likes: 0

Brian Van Gheem - ACES Power Marketing - 6 - NA - Not Applicable

Group Information

Group Name: ACES Standards Collaborators

Group Member Name	Entity	Region	Segments
Bob Solomon	Hoosier Energy Rural Electric Cooperative, Inc.	RFC	1
Ginger Mercier	Prairie Power, Inc.	SERC	1,3
Ellen Watkins	Sunflower Electric Power Corporation	SPP	1
Michael Brytowski	Great River Energy	MRO	1,3,5,6

Voter		Segment
Brian Van Gheem		6
Entity		Region(s)
ACES Power Marke	ting	NA - Not Applicable
Selected Answer:		
nine-day, weekend included, to standard. This survey was po Committee meetings, which lik NERC subject matter experts to		NERC posting this survey with the expectation of a turnaround for the possible elimination of a reliability osted during a week with NERC Technical likely impacted the availability of many industry and s to provide comments. We hope this condensed versight and a one-time occurrence.
Document Name:		
Likes:	0	
Dislikes:	0	