Unofficial Comment Form

# Project 2019-04 Modifications to PRC-005-6

**Do not** use this form for submitting comments. Use the [Standards Balloting and Commenting System (SBS)](https://sbs.nerc.net/) to submit comments on the **Project 2019-04 Modifications to PRC-005-6 Standard Authorization Request** by **8 p.m. Eastern, Wednesday, August 28, 2019.  
m. Eastern, Thursday, August 20, 2015**

See the [project page](https://auth.internal.nerc.com/pa/Stand/Pages/Project-2019-04-Modifications-to-PRC-005-6.aspx) or contact Standards Developer, [Mat Bunch](mailto:mat.bunch@nerc.net) (via email) or at (404) 446-9785 for more information or assistance.

## Background Information

On May 14, 2019, NERC received a SAR from the North American Generator Forum (NAGF) seeking to revise Reliability Standard PRC-005-6 – Protection System, Automatic Reclosing, and Sudden Pressure Relaying Maintenance to clarify the applicability of PRC-005-6 to the protective functions within an Automatic Voltage Regulator (AVR) and provide the prescribed maintenance activities. The SAR also requests the PRC-005-6 Supplementary Reference and FAQ be updated to reflect the changes to the standard.

Purpose/Industry Need

The SAR proposes revisions to PRC-005-6 that provide clear, unambiguous guidance on the scope of applicability to AVR protective functions. Without clear applicability, the industry is struggling with how to implement PRC-005-6 and what testing is acceptable to meet the required maintenance activities prescribed by PRC-005-6. This topic is only applicable to a Generator Owner that owns a synchronous generating unit with an installed digital AVR. The SAR also proposes revising PRC-005-6 to add a new section under Facilities to clearly delineate the applicability of Protection Systems associated with AVR protective functions, limiting the scope of the AVR protective functions to those elements that open a breaker directly or via lockout or tripping auxiliary relays.

## Questions

1. Do you agree with the project scope as outlined in the SAR? If you do not agree, or if you agree but have comments or suggestions, provide your recommendation or proposed modification below.

Yes

No

Comments:

1. If you have any other comments on this SAR that you haven’t already mentioned above, provide them here:

Comments: