Review of TPL-003-3a—System Performance Following Loss of Two or More BES Elements (Filing 2)

http://www.nerc.com/files/TPL-003-0a.pdf

VSLs for Requirement R1:

Standard,	Requirement	Lower	Moderate	High	Severe	Comments
Require-	Language					
ment						
TPL-003-	The Planning	The responsible	The	The responsible	The responsible	FERC staff was
0a, R1	Authority and	entity has failed	responsible	entity is non-	entity did not	concerned that failing
	Transmission Planner	to demonstrate	entity has	compliant with	perform the	to address R1.3.7
	shall each	a valid	failed to	three of the sub-	transmission	represents a more
	demonstrate through	assessment for	demonstrate a	components of	assessments	significant violation
	a valid assessment	the long-term	valid	requirement R1.3.	annually. (R1.1)	than failing to address
	that its portion of the	period, but a	assessment for	(R1.3.1 through		R1.3's other
	interconnected	valid	the near-term	1 R1.3.6 or R1.3.8	OR	subrequirements,
	transmission systems	assessment for	period, but a	through R1.3.12)		because R1.3.7 is a
	is planned such that	the near-term	valid		The responsible	required level of
	the network can be	period exists. (R	assessment for	<u>OR</u>	entity has failed to	system performance,
	operated to supply	1.2)	the long-term		demonstrate a valid	not a parameter like
	projected customer		period exists.	The responsible	assessment for the	the other R1.3
	demands and	OR	(R1.2)	entity is non-	near-term period and	subrequirements.
	projected Firm (non-			compliant with	long-term planning	
	recallable reserved)	The responsible	OR	<u>subcomponent</u>	period. (R1.2)	NERC staff agrees that
	Transmission	entity is non-		R1.3.7 of R1.3.		R1.3.7 is distinct from
	Services, at all	compliant with	The		OR	the other R1.3
	demand Levels over	one of the sub-	responsible	OR		subrequirements and
	the range of forecast	components of	entity is non-		The responsible	separated non-
	system demands,	requirement	compliant with	The responsible	entity is non-	compliance with
	under the	R1.3. (R1.3.1	two of the sub-	entity has	compliant with four	R1.3.7 out as its own
	contingency	through R1.3. <u>6</u>	components of	considered the	or more of the sub-	violation, assigned a
	conditions as defined	<u>or R1.3.8</u>	requirement	NERC Category C	components of	High VSL.
	in Category C of	<u>through</u>	R1.3. (R1.3.1	contingencies	requirement R1.3.	

Table I (attached).	<u>R1.3.</u> 12)	through	applicable to their	(R1.3.1 through	
The controlled	OD	1 R1.3.6 or	system, but was	1.3.12)	
interruption of	OR	R1.3.8 through	deficient with	OD	
customer Demand,	T I	<u>R1</u> .3.12)	respect to more	OR	
the planned removal	The responsible	0.0	than 10% up to	T I	
of generators, or the	entity has	OR	(and including)	The responsible	
Curtailment of firm	considered the		15% of all	entity has failed to	
(non-recallable	NERC Category	The	applicable .	demonstrate that a	
reserved) power	C contingencies	responsible	contingencies.	corrective action plan	
transfers may be	applicable to	entity has	(R1.5)	exists in order to	
necessary to meet	their system,	considered the		satisfy Category C	
this standard. To be	but was	NERC Category		planning	
valid, the Planning	deficient with	C contingencies		requirements. (R1.4)	
Authority and	respect to 5% or	applicable to			
Transmission Planner	less of all	their system,		OR	
assessments shall:	applicable	but was			
	contingencies.	deficient with		The responsible	
R1.1. Be made	(R1.5)	respect to		entity has considered	
annually.		more than 5%		the NERC Category C	
		up to (and		contingencies	
R1.2. Be conducted		including) 10%		applicable to their	
for near-term (years		of all applicable		system, but was	
one through five) and		contingencies.		deficient with	
longer-term (years		(R1.5)		respect to more than	
six through ten)				15% of all applicable	
planning horizons.				contingencies. (R1.5)	
R1.3. Be supported					
by a current or past					
study and/or system					
simulation testing					
that addresses each					
of the following					
categories, showing					

system performance			
following Category C			
of Table 1 (multiple			
contingencies). The			
specific elements			
selected (from each			
of the following			
categories) for			
inclusion in these			
studies and			
simulations shall be			
acceptable to the			
associated Regional			
Reliability			
Organization(s).			
R1.3.1. Be performed			
and evaluated only			
for those Category C			
contingencies that			
would produce the			
more severe system			
results or impacts.			
The rationale for the			
contingencies			
selected for			
evaluation shall be			
available as			
supporting			
information. An			
explanation of why			
the remaining			
simulations would			
produce less severe			

a cata a a a a calta ala all			
system results shall			
be available as			
supporting			
information.			
R1.3.2. Cover critical			
system conditions			
and study years as			
deemed appropriate			
by the responsible			
entity.			
R1.3.3. Be conducted			
annually unless			
changes to system			
conditions do not			
warrant such			
analyses.			
R1.3.4. Be conducted			
beyond the five-year			
horizon only as			
needed to address			
identified marginal			
conditions that may			
have longer lead-			
time solutions.			
time solutions.			
D4.3.E. House all			
R1.3.5. Have all			
projected firm			
transfers modeled.			
R1.3.6. Be performed			
and evaluated for			

selected demand			
levels over the range			
_			
of forecast system			
demands.			
R1.3.7. Demonstrate			
that System			
performance meets			
Table 1 for Category			
C contingencies.			
R1.3.8. Include			
existing and planned			
facilities.			
R1.3.9. Include			
Reactive Power			
resources to ensure			
that adequate			
reactive resources			
are available to meet			
System performance.			
R1.3.10. Include the			
effects of existing			
and planned			
protection systems,			
including any backup			
or redundant			
systems.			
•			
R1.3.11. Include the			
effects of existing			
and planned control			
and planned control			

devices.			
R1.3.12. Include the			
planned (including			
maintenance) outage			
of any bulk electric			
equipment (including			
protection systems			
or their components)			
at those Demand			
levels for which			
planned (including			
maintenance)			
outages are			
performed.			

Original R1 Guideline Explanation in the <u>December 1, 2010 VSL Filing</u>:

The VSLS were modified to be consistent with Guideline 3. Consistent with Guidelines filed with FERC on August 10, 2009, the VSLDT incorporated the subrequirements into the main requirement VSL so that compliance is based on meeting criteria specified in components.

- Guideline 1: See P. 1039-1041 of the Guideline 1 Analysis Filing.
- Guideline 2: The VSLs comply with Guideline 2. The requirement has gradated VSLs; therefore, Guideline 2a is not applicable. The gradated VSLs ensure uniformity and consistency among all approved Reliability Standards in the determination of penalties. Therefore, no changes to the VSLs were required for consistency with FERC Guideline 2. Additionally, the VSL DT has reviewed the VSL text and has determined that, as written, the VSL text is clear, specific and objective and does not contain general, relative or subjective language, satisfying Guideline 2b. Therefore, the text is not subject to the possibility of multiple interpretations of the VSLs and provides the clarity needed to permit the consistent and objective application of the VSLs in the determination of penalties by the Compliance Enforcement Authority.
- Guideline 3: In accordance with Guideline 3, the VSL DT has revised the VSL assignments as noted in the redline text because the VSL assignments either redefined or undermined the requirement. It was identified that the previous VSLs for R1.3.2 and R1.3.8 evaluated aspects of the near-term and long-term planning horizons that were not consistent with the requirement. As revised, and incorporated

- into the roll-up VSLs, the VSL assignments are consistent with the requirement and the degree of compliance can be determined objectively and with certainty.
- Guideline 4: The VSL Assignments comply with Guideline 4, because they are based on a single violation of a Reliability Standard and are not based on a cumulative number of violations of the same requirement over a period of time.

VSLs for Requirement R2:

Standard,	Requirement	Lower	Moderate	High	Severe	Notes
Require-	Language					
ment						
TPL-003- 0a, R2	when system simulations indicate an inability of the systems to respond as prescribed in Reliability Standard TPL-003-0_R1, the Planning Authority and Transmission Planner shall each: R2.1. Provide a written summary of its plans to achieve the required system performance as described above throughout the planning horizon:	N/A	The responsible entity has failed to review the continuing need for previously identified facility additions through subsequent annual assessments. (R2.2)	The responsible entity provided documented evidence of corrective action plans in order to satisfy Category C planning requirements, but failed to include an implementation schedule with inservice dates (R2.1.).1-and R2.1.2)	The responsible entity has failed to provide documented evidence of corrective action plans in order to satisfy Category C planning requirements. (R2.1)	FERC staff was concerned that R2.1.1 and R2.1.2 were not appropriately accounted for in the VSL assignments. NERC agreed with FERC and modified the VSLs to account for R2.1.1, R2.1.2., and R2.1.3.
	R2.1.1. Including a schedule for implementation.			The responsible entity provided documented		

	evidence of	
R2.1.2. Including a	corrective action	
discussion of	plans in order to	
expected required in-	satisfy Category C	
service dates of	planning	
facilities.	requirements, but	
Tadille Co.	failed to include a	
P2 4 2 Canaidan land	discussion of	
R2.1.3. Consider lead	expected required	
times necessary to	in-service dates of	
implement plans.	facilities (R2.1.2)	
R2.2. Review, in subsequent annual assessments, (where sufficient lead time exists), the continuing need for	OR The responsible entity provided documented evidence of	
identified system facilities. Detailed implementation	corrective action plans in order to satisfy Category C	
plans are not	planning	
needed.	requirements, but	
	failed to consider	
	necessary lead	
	times to	
	implement its	
	corrective action	
	plan. (R2.1.3)	

Original R2 Guideline Explanation in the December 1, 2010 VSL Filing:

The VSLS were modified to be consistent with Guideline 3. Consistent with Guidelines filed with FERC on August 10, 2009, the VSLDT incorporated the subrequirements into the main requirement VSL so that compliance is based on meeting criteria specified in components.

- Guideline 1: See P. 1039-1041 of the Guideline 1 Analysis Filing.
- Guideline 2: The VSLs comply with Guideline 2. The requirement has gradated VSLs; therefore, Guideline 2a is not applicable. The gradated VSLs ensure uniformity and consistency among all approved Reliability Standards in the determination of penalties. Therefore, no changes to the VSLs were required for consistency with FERC Guideline 2. Additionally, the VSL DT has reviewed the VSL text and has determined that, with the correction of typographical errors, stylistic edits, or format changes, the VSL text is clear, specific and objective and does not contain general, relative or subjective language, satisfying Guideline 2b. Therefore, the text is not subject to the possibility of multiple interpretations of the VSLs and provides the clarity needed to permit the consistent and objective application of the VSLs in the determination of penalties by the Compliance Enforcement Authority.
- Guideline 3: NERC compared the existing requirement language to ensure the VSLs do not redefine or undermine the reliability goal of the requirement. In accordance with Guideline 3, the VSL assignments are consistent with the requirement and the degree of compliance can be determined objectively and with certainty.
- Guideline 4: The VSL Assignments comply with Guideline 4, because they are based on a single violation of a Reliability Standard and are not based on a cumulative number of violations of the same requirement over a period of time.